## SAND CREEK ROAD COMPLETE STREETS STUDY

## Final Concept Report

February 2024



## Acknowledgements

Study Advisory Committee:

| Jacob Beeman | Capital Region Transportation Council |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rima Shamieh | Capital Region Transportation Council |
| Tom Tobin | Mayor, Village of Colonie |
| Ed Sim | Deputy Mayor, Village of Colonie |
| Jim Rubino | Trustee, Village of Colonie |
| Frank Prevratil | Trustee, Village of Colonie |
| Jamie Blot | Clerk, Village of Colonie |
| Hannah Curran | Deputy Clerk, Village of Colonie |
| Andy Acker | Town of Colonie |
| Lisa Ramundo | Albany County |
| Audrey Burneson | NYSDOT |
| Susan Olsen | NYSDOT |
| Brian Sim | South Colonie School District |
| Kerry Bytner | Sunset Boulevard Neighborhood Association |
| Cindy Isidoro | Capital District Regional Planning Commission |
| Megan Quirk | Capital District Transportation Authority |

This report was prepared in cooperation with the Village of Colonie, the Capital Region Transportation Council, and the Town of Colonie. This report was funded in part through grants from the Federal Highway Administration and the U.S. Department of Transportation. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U. S. Department of Transportation.

The Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Study is intended to provide a framework for advancing Complete Streets implementation and connectivity for all modes of transportation in the Sand Creek Road corridor consistent with the Village's vision for the community. The Concept Plan recommendations are conceptual in nature, and do not commit the Village of Colonie, Transportation Council, or the Town of Colonie to funding any improvements. The concepts presented in this report may need to be investigated in more detail before any funding commitment is made. Undertaking additional engineering or other follow-up work will be based upon funding availability.

## Table of Contents

Chapter 1 - Introduction ..... 7
Study Approach ..... 7
Study Objectives ..... 8
Study Area ..... 9
Chapter 2 - Existing Conditions ..... 11
Data Collection ..... 11
Zoning and Land Use ..... 11
Property Ownership and Right of Way ..... 12
Roadway Physical Characteristics ..... 12
Pedestrian Accommodations ..... 23
Bicycle Routes and Accommodations ..... 24
Freight ..... 25
Transit ..... 25
Parking ..... 25
Existing Traffic Data ..... 26
Existing Traffic Analysis ..... 26
Pedestrian and Bicycle Counts ..... 28
Crash History ..... 29
Environmental Resources ..... 29
Environmental Justice \& Limited English Proficiency ..... 31
Chapter 3 - Past Planning Efforts ..... 32
MASTER PLAN (Village of Colonie, 2003) ..... 32
DESIGN GUIDELINES (Village of Colonie, 2006) ..... 32
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN (Town of Colonie, 2019) ..... 33
CAPITAL DISTRICT TRAILS PLAN (Transportation Council, formerly CDTC, 2019) ..... 33
CAPITAL DISTRICT COMPLETE STREETS DESIGN GUIDE (Transportation Council, formerly CDTC, 2019) ..... 34
SAND CREEK ROAD PARK ..... 34
Chapter 4 - Public Outreach ..... 35
Summary of Focus Group Session \#1 ..... 35
Summary of Focus Group Session \#2 ..... 35
Summary of Focus Group Session \#3 ..... 35
Summary of Public Input Session \#1 ..... 36
Summary of Public Input Session \#2 ..... 36
Study Survey ..... 36
Chapter 5 - Alternatives Evaluation ..... 37
Corridor Needs ..... 37
Design Concept Alternatives... ..... 37
Intersection Improvements ..... 37
Pedestrian Signals ..... 42
Bicycle Accommodations ..... 43
Reconstruction of Sidewalks, Curbs and Curb Ramps ..... 45
Midblock Crossings ..... 45
Pedestrian / Bicyclist Amenities ..... 47
Access Management ..... 47
Lighting ..... 48
Vehicle Weight Limit and Speed Enforcement ..... 49
Corridor Enhancements. ..... 49
Chapter 6 - Recommended Improvements ..... 50
Intersection Improvements ..... 50
Sand Creek Road and Hunting Road ..... 50
Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South ..... 51
Sand Creek Road Corridor Improvements ..... 52
Pedestrian Signals ..... 52
Bicycle Accommodations ..... 53
Reconstruction of Sidewalks, Curbs and Curb Ramps ..... 53
Midblock Crossings ..... 53
Pedestrian / Bicyclist Amenities ..... 56
Access Management ..... 56
Lighting ..... 58
Vehicle Weight Limit and Speed Enforcement ..... 58
Corridor Enhancements. ..... 58
Chapter 7 - Implementation Strategies ..... 60
Potential Funding Source ..... 60
Implementation ..... 63
Planning-Level Cost Estimates ..... 64
Public Education ..... 65
Maintenance ..... 65
Coordination and Approvals ..... 66

## APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: PROPERTY OWNERSHIP / ZONING MAPS
APPENDIX B: TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
APPENDIX C: CRASH ANALYSIS
APPENDIX D: ENVIROMENTAL INFORMATION
APPENDIX E: ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE \& LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY
APPENDIX F: PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETINGS
APPENDIX G: CONCPETUAL PLANS
APPENDIX H: COST ESTIMATES

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Village of Colonie Map ..... 9
Figure 1.2: Study Area ..... 10
Figure 2.1: Watervliet Shaker Road to Shaker Run Cross-Section ..... 13
Figure 2.2: Shaker Run to Wolf Road Cross-Section ..... 13
Figure 2.3: Intersection Location Map ..... 14
Figure 2.4: Sand Creek Road and Watervliet Shaker Road Intersection ..... 14
Figure 2.5: Sand Creek Road and South Family Drive Intersection ..... 15
Figure 2.6: Sand Creek Road and Shaker Run Intersection ..... 16
Figure 2.7: Sand Creek Road and Hunting Road Intersection ..... 16
Figure 2.8: Sand Creek Road and Peter Drive Intersection ..... 17
Figure 2.9: Sand Creek Road and Delafield Drive Intersection ..... 17
Figure 2.10: Sand Creek Road and Mordella Road Intersection ..... 18
Figure 2.11: Sand Creek Road and Terry Court Intersection ..... 18
Figure 2.12: Sand Creek Road and Myers Court Intersection ..... 19
Figure 2.13: Sand Creek Road and Space Boulevard Intersection ..... 19
Figure 2.14: Sand Creek Road and Sunset Boulevard Intersection ..... 20
Figure 2.15: Sand Creek Road and Jo Ann Court Intersection ..... 20
Figure 2.16: Sand Creek Road and Jodiro Lane Intersection ..... 21
Figure 2.17: Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South Intersection ..... 21
Figure 2.18: Sand Creek Road and Wolf Road Intersection ..... 22
Figure 5.1: Hunting Road Traffic Signal Alternative ..... 38
Figure 5.2: Alternative A - Computer Drive South Signal Improvements ..... 40
Figure 5.3: Alternative B - Computer Drive South Roundabout ..... 40
Figure 5.4: Shared Use Lanes Example ..... 43
Figure 5.5: Bicycle Lanes Example ..... 43
Figure 5.6: Multi-Use Path Example ..... 43
Figure 5.7: Sand Creek Road looking south at South Family Drive ..... 46
Figure 5.8: Sand Creek Road looking south at Mordella Road ..... 46
Figure 5.9: Sand Creek Road looking south near Wolf Road ..... 46
Figure 5.10: Picotte Office Driveway and Barnsider Driveway ..... 48
Figure 5.11: Smile Zone / Tiny Town Driveway ..... 48
Figure 6.1: Hunting Road Intersection Recommendation ..... 51
Figure 6.2: Computer Drive South Intersection Improvements ..... 52
Figure 6.3: Raised Crosswalk Example ..... 54
Figure 6.4: South Family Drive and Sand Creek Road Mid-Block Crossing ..... 54
Figure 6.5: Delafield Drive and Sand Creek Road Mid-Block Crossing ..... 55
Figure 6.6: Sand Creek Road Mid-Block Crossing near Wolf Road ..... 56
Figure 6.7: Smile Zone / Tiny Town Driveways ..... 57
Figure 6.8: Curbed Median on Sand Creek Road, between Computer Drive and Wolf Road ..... 57
Figure 6.9: Village of Colonie Gateway Rendering ..... 59
Figure 6.10: Bauer Park Trailhead Rendering ..... 59
Figure 6.11: Computer Drive South / Wolf Road Boulevard Rendering ..... 59

## Chapter 1 - Introduction

The Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Study was conducted by the Capital Region Transportation Council (formerly known as the Capital District Transportation Committee) and the Village of Colonie to improve the pedestrian, bicycle, and transit experience along a critical transportation link in the Village and Town of Colonie along Sand Creek Road. The Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Study explored options to evaluate how to best incorporate Complete Streets elements along the Sand Creek Road corridor and improve level of service (LOS) at the intersection of Hunting Road and Computer Drive South.

The purpose of the study was to determine feasible concepts along with an opinion of probable costs that can be used by the Village to prioritize improvements and apply for funding to ultimately design and construct the recommended improvements. This study identified potential improvements to the Sand Creek Road corridor that would enhance its walkability and bikeability, calm traffic, and improve its appearance, making the area more appealing to everyone.

## Complete Streets

Complete Streets are streets designed for all users, all modes of transportation, and all ability levels. They balance the needs of drivers, pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, emergency responders, and goods movement based on local context.

- Capital District Complete Streets Design Guide


## Study Approach

The main tasks for this study included:

- Data collection and existing conditions assessment
- Development of a project website to share information with stakeholders and the public
- Public engagement
- Development of corridor plans

The study was conducted with input and direction from the Study Advisory Committee (SAC), which is comprised of representatives from the Village of Colonie, Transportation Council, Town of Colonie, Albany County, New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT), Village Traffic Advisory Committee, South Colonie School District, Sunset Boulevard Neighborhood Association, Capital District Regional Planning Commission (CDRPC), and the Capital District Transportation Authority (CDTA). The purpose of the SAC was the following:

- Provide input and guidance during the life of the study
- Meet with the consultant on, at a minimum, six occasions as described below:
- Confirm understanding of the scope of work and study area boundaries
- Confirm study principles and objectives
- Provide guidance on expected outcomes and measures of effectiveness
- Provide oversight on the overall study process including the roles and responsibilities of the study partners
- Review and comment on public information materials
- Review and comment on recommendations
- Participate in one focus group session and the final public input session
- Review and comment on study deliverables
- Serve as a two-way information conduit for groups they represent


## Study Objectives

At the beginning of the study, the SAC developed the following purpose and need statement that was reviewed and refined with the committee and the public. It establishes the framework for the study, and the resulting conclusions and recommendations.
"Sand Creek Road is a critical transportation link in the Village and Town of Colonie that is lacking in pedestrian, bicycle, and transit facilities. Pedestrian accommodations are available on limited segments along the north side of the Sand Creek Road, and there is no dedicated bicycle infrastructure along the corridor. During the pandemic, there was an increase in bicycle and pedestrian traffic along the corridor, particularly due to increased use of recreational destinations like Bauer Park, and growth in nearby developments, including the Albany International Airport. Unfortunately, the lack of infrastructure for active transportation modes has created safety concerns for Village and Town residents. The study will develop a plan to implement a Complete Street that is safe and convenient for travel by all modes of transportation by promoting elements that can reduce vehicular speed and congestion, improve safety for bicyclists and pedestrians, and encourage economic growth in the study area."

The main objectives of the study were to:

- Improve sidewalks and crosswalks for safe accessible pedestrian travel
- Improve bicycle infrastructure to encourage active transportation
- Slow vehicular traffic and create a safer environment
- Enhance the public transportation options to make travel more convenient and accessible
- Enhance the look of the corridor with gateway features and green areas to create a more attractive and sustainable

The overall goal of the study is to develop a plan, endorsed by the Village, that will be used to pursue funding opportunities and guide implementation of improvements. environment

## Study Area

The study area consisted of a 1.6-mile segment of Sand Creek Road in the Village and Town of Colonie and included the intersections of Watervliet Shaker Road (Albany County Route 157), South Family Drive, Shaker Run, Hunting Road, Peter Drive, Delafield Drive, Mordella Road, Terry Court, Myers Court, Space Boulevard, Sunset Boulevard, Jo Ann Court, Jodiro Lane, Computer Drive South and Wolf Road (NY Route 910B)

Sand Creek Road is a Village and Town-owned roadway that is a highly traveled route and provides vital links between the Village of Colonie and Town of Colonie (See Figure 1.1). There are numerous public points of interest along the corridor, including local businesses, schools, recreation, community service, and religious establishments that impact daily traffic within the study area. A few main points of interest can be seen on the Study Area Map below (See Figure 1.2). Several of these points of interest, such as the nearby schools and Bauer Park, are key generators of pedestrian and bicycle traffic along the study corridor.


Figure 1.1: Village of Colonie Map


Figure 1.2: Study Area

## Chapter 2 - Existing Conditions

## Data Collection

An inventory of the physical characteristics was performed along the length of the study corridor. A site visit was performed on January 10, 2023, to document existing conditions along Sand Creek Road and note conditions for vehicles, transit users, pedestrians, bicyclists, and emergency service vehicles.

Additional existing conditions information was obtained from readily available sources as described throughout the remainder of this chapter.

## Zoning and Land Use

Land use along the Sand Creek Road corridor is primarily Commercial with a few Religious Centers from Watervliet Shaker Road to Shaker Run, Residential and Residential - High Density with a few Commercial parcels from Shaker Run to Computer Drive South, and fully Commercial from Computer Drive South to Wolf Road. There are a several vacant land parcels along Sand Creek Road. The Town of Colonie has several designated zoning overlays. Within the study area, there is a historic and conservation overlay located north of Shaker Run. The zoning and land use maps are illustrated in Appendix A.

The Village Code divides the Village into Zoning Districts. Within the study area the following districts are present, Residential A, Residential B, and the Commercial B District. The enumeration of districts and boundaries are described in the Village Code §245-2.

Village Code §245-5 describes in the Residential District A, no building or premises shall be used and no building, structure, or any part of either shall be erected which is arranged, intended, or designed, in whole or in part, for any purpose, except the following uses:

1. One-family dwelling for use by one family.
2. Churches, schools, or public libraries.
3. A farm, truck garden or nursery.
4. Two-family dwellings, subject to the provisions of Subsection F below.
5. A noncommercial playground or park.
6. Accessory apartment in a one-family dwelling, subject to the provisions of Subsection G below.
7. A home occupation in a one-family or two-family dwelling.

Village Code §245-6 describes in the Residential District B, no building or premises shall be used and no building, structure, or any part of either shall be erected which is arranged, intended, or designed, in whole or in part, for any purpose, except the following uses:

1. All uses specified and as regulated in § 242-5 subject to all restrictions, regulations, requirements, and procedures as specified in said section Churches, schools, or public libraries.
2. Multifamily housing.
3. Attached single-family dwellings.

Village Code §245-8 describes in the Commercial District B, no building or premises shall be used and no building, structure, or any part of either shall be erected which is arranged, intended, or designed, in whole or in part, for any purpose, except the following uses:

1. All uses specified and as regulated in § 242-5 subject to all restrictions, regulations, requirements, and procedures as specified in said sections.
2. Retail, professional services, restaurants, entertainment, and similar uses.
3. The sale and service of motor vehicles, mobile homes, recreational vehicle or boats, provided that all service and repair take place in a completely enclosed building.
4. Gasoline stations.
5. Motels, hotels, tourist homes, hospitals, or convalescent homes.
6. Greenhouses, private garages, utility substations and warehouses.

## Property Ownership and Right of Way

Properties along the Sand Creek Road corridor are privately and publicly owned within the Town and Village of Colonie with parcels consisting of residential ownership, religious institutions, and the commercial area at the southern end of the corridor. The municipal right-of-way limit is located 50-60 feet from the center of the road on either side from Watervliet Shaker Road to Shaker Run Apartments. The municipal right-of-way limits reduce to approximately $20-40$ feet from the center of the road for the remainder of the corridor. There are a total of 89 parcels that front Sand Creek Road within the study area. The ownership is illustrated on the Property Ownership Map located in Appendix A.

## Roadway Physical Characteristics

## Sand Creek Road Corridor

The Sand Creek Road corridor is in an urban area in the Village and Town of Colonie and serves as a primary route for north-southeast travel. Sand Creek Road has a NYSDOT functional classification of Urban Minor Arterial (16). Of the fifteen intersections in the study area, five (5) are signalized and eleven are stop-controlled on the side street. A description of each intersection is provided at the end of this section.

## Urban Minor Arterial

Minor Arterials provide service for trips of moderate length, serve geographic areas that are smaller than their higher Arterial counterparts and offer connectivity to the higher Arterial system. In an urban context, they interconnect and augment the higher Arterial system, provide intra-community continuity, and may carry local bus routes.

- Federal Highway Administration


## Segment 1 (Watervliet Shaker Road to Shaker Run)

The right-of-way width generally varies from 60 to 90 feet. Land uses include religious centers and commercial buildings. A double yellow center pavement marking is present. The intersections within this segment have pavement markings for turning lanes, as well as stop bars and no crosswalks, except at Watervliet Shaker Road. Recent private development between the intersections of Watervliet Shaker Road and South Family Drive included the installation of sidewalks along the property frontage. Existing sidewalk widths vary from 4 feet to 5 feet within the segment; however, several gaps in the sidewalk continuity exist. In 2009, the Town of Colonie rehabilitated this section of Sand Creek Road utilizing a cold-in-place recycling method with a $1.5^{\prime \prime}$ overlay. The posted speed limit along the segment of the corridor is 30 mph .


Figure 2.1: Watervliet Shaker Road to Shaker Run Cross-Section

## Segment 2 (Shaker Run to Wolf Road)

The right-of-way width generally varies from 40 to 60 feet. Land uses include residential homes with the exception of the commercial buildings between Computer Drive South and Wolf Road. Sidewalks are present along the east side of Sand Creek Road for the entire length of the segment, with widths varying between 4 and 5 feet. The curbed side of the road has closed drainage to collect roadway runoff. A double yellow center pavement marking is present. Some intersections within this segment have pavement markings for turning lanes, as well as stop bars and crosswalks. The posted speed limit along the segment of the corridor is 30 mph .


Figure 2.2: Shaker Run to Wolf Road Cross-Section

A detailed summary of the intersections within the study area is described below.


Figure 2.3: Intersection Location Map
Intersection 1: Sand Creek Road and Watervliet Shaker Road
The Sand Creek Road / Watervliet Shaker Road intersection is a signalized intersection located at the northern limit of the study area. The signal is owned by Albany County and maintained by the NYSDOT.


Figure 2.4: Sand Creek Road and Watervliet Shaker Road Intersection

Currently there is a construction project that is underway along Watervliet Shaker Road that includes the intersection of Watervliet Shaker and Sand Creek Road. The work at the intersection consists of traffic signal improvements, additional travel lanes, ending a multi-use path at the southwest corner of the intersection and pavement rehabilitation. The limits of work for this project end along Sand Creek Road end at the south most driveway entrance to the Community Reformed Church of Colonie.

The proposed southbound approach (Afrim's Driveway) consists of a 10-foot through right lane and a 10foot left turn only lane. The eastbound and westbound approaches on Watervliet Shaker Road consists of three lanes, an 11-foot left turn only lane, a 11-foot through lane and a 11-foot through/right lane. The northbound Sand Creek Road approach consists of three lanes, two left turn only lanes and a through/right lane. There are crosswalks across the westbound and northbound approaches.

## Intersection 2: Sand Creek Road and South Family Drive

The Sand Creek Road / South Family Drive intersection is an unsignalized intersection located at the northern limits of the study area.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of one 11-foot lane in either direction. The South Family Drive approach is stop-controlled and consists of one 11-foot shared left/right turn lane. There are no marked crosswalks at the intersection.


Figure 2.5: Sand Creek Road and South Family Drive Intersection

## Intersection 3: Sand Creek Road and Shaker Run

The Sand Creek Road / Shaker Run intersection is a signalized intersection located in the middle of the study area. The signal is owned and maintained by the Village of Colonie.

The southbound approach on Sand Creek Road consists of two 11-foot lanes, a through lane and a left turn only lane. The Shaker Run approach consists of two 12-foot lanes, a left turn only and a right turn only lane. The northbound approach on Sand Creek Road consists of two 11-foot lanes, a through lane and a right turn only lane. There are no marked crosswalks at the intersection.


Figure 2.6: Sand Creek Road and Shaker Run Intersection

## Intersection 4: Sand Creek Road and Hunting Road

The Sand Creek Road / Hunting Road intersection is an unsignalized intersection located in the middle of the study area.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of one 11-foot lane in either direction. The Hunting Road approach is stop-controlled and consists of a single 11-foot shared left/right turn lane. Hunting Road is frequently utilized by residents and commuters as a connection to Central Avenue. There are no marked crosswalks at the intersection.


Figure 2.7: Sand Creek Road and Hunting Road Intersection

## Intersection 5: Sand Creek Road and Peter Drive

The Sand Creek Road / Peter Drive intersection is an unsignalized intersection located in the middle of the study area.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of one 11-foot lane in either direction. The Peter Drive approach is stop-controlled and consists of a single 12-foot shared left/right turn lane. There are no marked crosswalks at the intersection.


Figure 2.8: Sand Creek Road and Peter Drive Intersection

## Intersection 6: Sand Creek Road and Delafield Drive

The Sand Creek Road / Delafield Drive intersection is an unsignalized intersection located in the middle of the study area.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of one 11-foot lane in either direction. The Delafield Drive approach is stop-controlled and consists of a single 11-foot shared left/right turn lane. Delafield Drive is frequently utilized by residents and commuters as a connection to Central Avenue. There are no marked crosswalks at the intersection.


Figure 2.9: Sand Creek Road and Delafield Drive Intersection

## Intersection 7: Sand Creek Road and Mordella Road

The Sand Creek Road / Mordella Road intersection is an unsignalized intersection located in the middle of the study area.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of one 11-foot lane in either direction. The Mordella Road approach is stop-controlled and consists of a single 11-foot shared left/right turn lane. Mordella Road is frequently utilized by residents and commuters as a connection to Central Avenue. There are no marked crosswalks at the intersection.


Figure 2.10: Sand Creek Road and Mordella Road Intersection

## Intersection 8: Sand Creek Road and Terry Court

The Sand Creek Road / Terry Court intersection is an unsignalized intersection located in the middle of the study area.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of one 11-foot lane in either direction. The Terry Court approach is stop-controlled and consists of a single 11-foot shared left/right turn lane. There are no marked crosswalks at the intersection.


Figure 2.11: Sand Creek Road and Terry Court Intersection

## Intersection 9: Sand Creek Road and Myers Court

The Sand Creek Road / Myers Court intersection is an unsignalized intersection located in the middle of the study area.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of one 11-foot lane in either direction. The Myers Court approach is stop-controlled and consists of a single 11 -foot shared left/right turn lane. There are no marked crosswalks at the intersection.


Figure 2.12: Sand Creek Road and Myers Court Intersection

## Intersection 10: Sand Creek Road and Space Boulevard

The Sand Creek Road / Space Boulevard intersection is an unsignalized intersection located in the middle of the study area.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of one 11-foot lane in either direction. The Space Boulevard approach is stop-controlled and consists of a single 11-foot shared left/right turn lane. There are no marked crosswalks at the intersection.


Figure 2.13: Sand Creek Road and Space Boulevard Intersection

## Intersection 11: Sand Creek Road and Sunset Boulevard

The Sand Creek Road / Sunset Boulevard intersection is a signalized intersection located in the middle of the study area. The signal is owned and maintained by the Village of Colonie.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of one 11-foot lane in either direction. The Sunset Boulevard approach consists of a single 11-foot shared turn left/right lane. There is a marked crosswalk in poor condition along the Sunset Boulevard approach.


Figure 2.14: Sand Creek Road and Sunset Boulevard Intersection

## Intersection 12: Sand Creek Road and Jo Ann Court

The Sand Creek Road / Jo Ann Court intersection is an unsignalized intersection located in the middle of the study area.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of one 11-foot lane in either direction. The Jo Ann Court approach is stop-controlled and consists of a single 11-foot shared left/right turn lane. There are no marked crosswalks at the intersection.


Figure 2.15: Sand Creek Road and Jo Ann Court Intersection

## Intersection 13: Sand Creek Road and Jodiro Lane

The Sand Creek Road / Jodiro Lane intersection is an unsignalized intersection located in the middle of the study area.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of one 11-foot lane in either direction. The Jodiro Lane approach is stop-controlled and consists of a single 11-foot shared left/right turn lane. There are no marked crosswalks at the intersection.


Figure 2.16: Sand Creek Road and Jodiro Lane Intersection

## Intersection 14: Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South

The Sand Creek Road / Computer Drive South intersection is a signalized intersection located at the southern limit of the study area. The signal is owned and maintained by the Town of Colonie.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of a single 11-foot shared left/through/right lane. The eastbound and westbound approaches on Computer Drive South consist of a single 11 -foot left/through/right lane. This intersection sees substantial peak-hour traffic due to adjacent commercial and office spaces. There is a marked crosswalk along the Computer Drive South westbound approach of the intersection in poor condition.


Figure 2.17: Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South Intersection

## Intersection 15: Sand Creek Road and Wolf Road

The Sand Creek Road / Wolf Road intersection is a signalized intersection located at the southern limit of the study area. The signal is owned and maintained by the NYSDOT.

The southbound and northbound approaches on Sand Creek Road consist of three 11-foot lanes, a left turn only, through only and a right turn only lane. The eastbound and westbound approaches on Wolf Road consist of four 11-foot lanes, a left turn only lane, two through only lanes and a right turn only lane. There are marked crosswalks along all approaches to the intersection.


Figure 2.18: Sand Creek Road and Wolf Road Intersection

A summary of the existing roadways within the study area is provided in Table 2.1 below.

| Table 2.1: Summary of Roadways within Study Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway Name | Functional <br> Class ${ }^{\prime}$ | Number of <br> Lanes | Lane <br> Width | Shoulder <br> Width |  |
| Sand Creek Road | 16 | 1 | $111^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ |  |
| Watervliet Shaker Road | 14 | 2 | $11^{\prime}-12^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-4^{\prime}$ |  |
| South Family Drive | 19 | 1 | $11^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-1^{\prime}$ |  |
| Shaker Run | 19 | 1 | $11^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-1^{\prime}$ |  |
| Hunting Road | 19 | 1 | $11^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ |  |
| Peter Drive | 19 | 1 | $12^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ |  |
| Delafield Drive | 19 | 1 | $11^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ |  |
| Mordella Road | 19 | 1 | $111^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ |  |
| Terry Court | 19 | 1 | $11^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ |  |
| Myers Court | 19 | 1 | $11^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ |  |
| Space Boulevard | 19 | 1 | $11^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ |  |
| Sunset Boulevard | 19 | 1 | $11^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ |  |
| Jo Ann Court | 19 | 1 | $11^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ |  |
| Jodiro Lane | 19 | 1 | $12^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ |  |
| Computer Drive South | 19 | 1 | $16^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ |  |
| Wolf Road | 14 | 2 | $12^{\prime}$ | $2^{\prime}-4^{\prime}$ |  |

Notes: Functional Classes: 14 - Urban Principal Arterial, 16 - Urban Minor Arterial, 19 - Urban Local Road

## Pedestrian Accommodations

## Sidewalks and Crosswalks

In general, concrete sidewalks are located on the eastern side of Sand Creek Road throughout most of the study area. There are no sidewalks on the western side of Sand Creek Road. The widths vary between 4 feet and 5 feet. The sidewalks are in disrepair in several locations along the corridor. Curb ramps are present at each intersection pedestrian crossing location; however, most do not meet ADA standards due to at least one of the following elements:

- running grade
- cross slope
- detectable warning units or;
- exit/enter grades of the roadway versus ramp exceeds $1 / 4$-inch.

Striped pedestrian crosswalks are present at a few intersections requiring pedestrian crossing, but existing crosswalks are in poor condition, where the striping has some wear to it and less than optimum reflectivity. There are no crosswalks across Sand Creek Road between Watervliet Shaker Road and Wolf Road.

## Pedestrian Signal Equipment

The pedestrian signal equipment at each signalized intersection varies and is summarized below:

## Sand Creek Road and Watervliet Shaker Road

Accessible pedestrian crossings will be installed on the south and east approaches to the intersection as a part of the ongoing Watervliet Shaker Road reconstruction project, which is expected to be completed in the Fall of 2024. The current existing conditions are summarized below.

- Northeast Quadrant (to cross Watervliet Shaker Road)
- Pedestrian pole with the hand and man light and countdown timers. There is no pushbutton or sign, and the signals are currently covered.
- Northwest Quadrant (to cross Sand Creek Road or Watervliet Shaker Road)
- No pedestrian signal equipment to cross Sand Creek Road or Watervliet Shaker Road.
- Southwest Quadrant (to cross Sand Creek Road)
- One accessible pedestrian signal (APS) with push button and one (1) sign on the same pedestrian signal pole.
- Southeast Quadrant (to cross Sand Creek Road or Watervliet Shaker Road)
- Two (2) pedestrian signals on the same traffic signal pole to cross either Sand Creek Road or Watervliet Shaker Road.
- There is also a push button and sign to cross Sand Creek Road mounted on the same traffic signal pole. There is a push button post to cross Watervliet Shaker Road and is currently covered and not functional.


## Sand Creek Road and Shaker Run

- There are no pedestrian signals present at this intersection.

Sand Creek Road and Sunset Boulevard

- There are no pedestrian signals present at this intersection.


## Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South

- Northeast and Northwest Quadrant (to cross Computer Drive South)
- One APS with push button and one (1) sign on the same pedestrian signal pole. This push button does not appear to be in working order.
- Southwest and Southeast Quadrant (to cross either Computer Drive South or Sand Creek Road)
- No pedestrian signal equipment to cross Computer Drive South or Sand Creek Road.


## Sand Creek Road and Wolf Road

- Northeast / Northwest / Southeast / Southwest Quadrant (to cross either Wolf Road or Sand Creek Road)
- Two (2) pedestrian signals with push buttons on the same pedestrian signal pole to cross either Sand Creek Road or Wolf Road at each corner.


## Pedestrian Amenities

No pedestrian amenities, such as benches, trash receptacles, wayfinding signage, or other amenities currently exist along Sand Creek Road within the study area.

## Bicycle Routes and Accommodations

There are currently no bicycle routes or accommodations along the entirety of Sand Creek Road. The minimal shoulder width along the majority of the corridor requires bicyclists to share the road in the travel lane.

Sand Creek Road is designated as a priority road on the Transportation Council's Bike Pedestrian Priority Network. Roadways on this network connect major activity generators in the area, creating the need for pedestrian and bicycle travel accommodations. Pedestrian and bicycle improvements projects proposed on this network are prioritized for funding by the Transportation Council.

A multi-use path is currently being constructed along the eastbound side of Watervliet Shaker Road from New Karner Road to Sand Creek Road and will be considered an off-road segment of the Albany Loop Trail.

CDPHP Cycle! is a bike sharing program offered through CDTA in partnership with CDPHP aimed to enhance the Capital Region's transportation options. The hubs, which operates approximately from April to November every year, are located in Albany, Cohoes, Saratoga Springs, Schenectady, Troy, Glens Falls, Queensbury, and Lake George. The closest hub locations to the study area are the TRU Hotel ( 1.2 miles) and the Desmond Hotel (1.1 miles) in the Town of Colonie.

## Freight

Sand Creek Road for the entirety of the study area does not fall under the National Highway System as an Access Highway. The Village of Colonie has implemented a 4-ton weight-limit for heavy vehicles along Sand Creek Road.

The average truck percentage is 5\%, split equally between the northbound and southbound travel directions. Low average truck volumes are typically
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## Transit

The CDTA operates two (2) bus routes that pass through the study area, CDTA Route $155 \& 807$.

CDTA Route 155 runs from Crossgates Mall to Watervliet Shaker Road and Heritage Lane. The bus route has no stops within the study area. The closest stops to the study area are located at Airline Drive and Christian Brothers Academy.

CDTA Route 807 runs from the Christian Brothers Academy to North Pearl Street in downtown Albany. The bus route has no stops within the study area. The closest stops to the study area are located at Wolf Road and Newbury Plaza.

CDTA's FLEX transit program, launched in 2020, operates similar to a ridesharing program by allowing users to choose a time and location to be picked up within their designated service areas. Flex runs Monday through Saturday from 6:00 AM to 10:45 PM and Sunday from 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM. The Southern Saratoga service area was recently added and runs Monday through Friday from 7:00 AM to 8:00 PM and Saturday and Sunday from 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM. Sand Creek Road is located within the CDTA Flex service area.

There are 11 school bus stops along the Sand Creek Road corridor from Shaker Run to Jodiro Lane, including the stop at Tiny Town of Colonie. Buses stop at these locations approximately 3 times per day between the elementary, middle, and high schools.

## Parking

Currently there is no on-street parking available along Sand Creek Road for the entirety of the study area. Adjacent to Sand Creek is mostly residential neighborhoods and commercial areas. In the middle of the study area there is a parking lot to Bauer Park, across from Delafield Drive. At the southern end of the study area limits near Wolf Road, there are several public parking lots for the adjacent businesses and shopping centers.

## Existing Traffic Data

Existing traffic data for the corridor was obtained from a 72-hour automatic traffic recorder (ATR) volume count summarized in Table 2.2 below. The ATR counts were conducted from Monday, February $13^{\text {th }}$ to Friday, February $17^{\text {th }}$ and adjusted for seasonal variation. The full 72 -hour counts analyzed were from Tuesday to Thursday. Existing traffic data for the side streets to the study corridor was obtained from the NYSDOT Traffic Data Viewer and is also summarized in Table $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ below. If information was not available on a particular street, it has been omitted from the table.

| Roadway Name | Functional Class ${ }^{2}$ | AADT ${ }^{1}$ | Calculation Year | Truck AADT ${ }^{1}$ | Truck Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sand Creek Road | 16 | 10,114 | 2023 | 507 | 5\% |
| Watervliet Shaker Road (East) | 14 | 11,175 | 2015 | 571 | 5\% |
| Watervliet Shaker Road (West) | 14 | 16,208 | 2017 | 486 | 3\% |
| Terry Court | 19 | 230 | 2019 | 19 | 8\% |
| Wolf Road | 14 | 26,018 | 2019 | 538 | 2\% |

1. AADT - Average Annual Daily Traffic
2. Functional Classes: 14 - Urban Principal Arterial, 16 - Urban Minor Arterial, 19 - Urban Local Road

Existing speed data for Sand Creek Road was obtained from the ATR data collection and is summarized in Table 2.3 below. Existing speed data for the side streets to the study corridor was obtained from the NYSDOT Traffic Data Viewer and is also summarized in Table $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ below. If information was not available on a particular street, it has been omitted from the table.

| Table 2.3: Speed Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway Segment | Posted Speed <br> Limit | Average <br> Speed | $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile <br> Speed $^{1}$ | Year Data Was <br> Obtained |
| Sand Creek Road | 30 | 34 | 38 | 2023 |
| Wolf Road | 40 | 33 | 43 | 2017 |

1. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed is defined as the speed at or below which 85 percent of all vehicles are observed to travel under free-flowing conditions past a monitored point.

## Existing Traffic Analysis

## Study Methodology

The traffic data collected by MJ Engineering \& Land Surveying, was provided to the Transportation Council to aid with the development of the existing traffic model. A traffic model was developed for the corridor utilizing the traffic analysis software Synchro 11®, which is an industry standard design and analysis package. The software analyzes traffic conditions at intersections to provide a measure of effectiveness in terms of Level of Service (LOS). Procedures for the analysis are in conformance with the Transportation Research Board of the National Academies Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition. Intersection LOS is defined in terms of average delay per vehicle. The New NYSDOT Highway Design

Manual (HDM), Section 5.2.3.4, describes LOS as "a qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, based on service measures such as speed and travel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, comfort, and convenience. Levels of service are given letter designations, from A to F, with LOS A representing the best operating condition and LOS F the worst."

|  | Table 2.4: Intersection Level of Service (LOS) Ranges |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Service | Unsignalized <br> Intersection Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Signalized Intersection <br> Delay (sec/veh) | Description |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ | Excellent |
| B | $>10 \& \leq 15$ | $>10 \& \leq 20$ | Very Good |
| C | $>15 \& \leq 25$ | $>20 \& \leq 35$ | Good |
| D | $>25 \& \leq 35$ | $>35 \& \leq 55$ | Acceptable |
| E | $>35 \& \leq 50$ | $>55 \& \leq 80$ | Poor |
| F | $>50$ | $>80$ | Failing |

Intersection design practice, as determined by the NYSDOT, strives to provide a minimum LOS D or better for each lane group in urban areas and a minimum LOS C in rural areas. Although LOS D is acceptable in urban environments, LOS C is the preferred minimum for overall approach LOS.

Based on the feedback from the Village and Study Advisory Committee, the LOS of the Hunting Road and Computer Drive South intersections with Sand Creek needed to be evaluated based on lengthy, or perceived lengthy delays. To support this analysis, traffic movement counts were collected at those two (2) intersections only. The other 13 intersections within the study area are not included in this traffic analysis.

The existing traffic counts were conducted on Tuesday March $7^{\text {th }}, 2023$, for the AM peak hour and the PM peak hour. The turning movement data was collected for two (2) hours for the AM and the PM peak hours, at 8:00 AM to 10:00 AM and 5:00 PM to 7:00 PM, respectively. Based on the data collected, the peak hour periods for AM and PM are as shown below:

- AM Peak: 8:00-9:00 AM
- PM Peak: 5:00-6:00 PM

Other signalized intersections along the Sand Creek Corridor were not evaluated based on feedback from the Village. The existing turning movement counts and turning movement figures are presented in Appendix B.

## Results

The intersection capacity analysis results are summarized below in Table 2.5.
At Sand Creek Road and Hunting Road, under existing conditions, the lanes operate at LOS C or better for all approaches to the intersection for the AM and PM peak periods. Although the intersection is a 3-way Tintersection that is stop-controlled on the minor street, it is referred to as a two-way stop-controlled intersection by industry standards. The intersection does not have an overall intersection delay. However,
the worst delay occurs along the stop-controlled eastbound approach with an existing LOS B with 13.5 seconds of delay for the AM peak hour and LOS C with 16.5 seconds of delay for the PM peak hour.

At Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South, under existing conditions, the lanes operate at LOS C or better for all approaches to the intersections for the AM and PM peak periods. The overall existing intersection operates at LOS A with 6.5 seconds of delay during the AM peak hour and LOS B with 16.0 seconds of delay during the PM peak hour.

The Synchro output files are presented in Appendix B.

|  | Table 2.5: Existing LOS Table (Delay in Seconds) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Location | Approach | Movement | Existing | Existing |
| PM |  |  |  |  |  |

## Observed Traffic Conditions

Although modeling indicates that the intersections operate at acceptable level of services, continuous streams of traffic during peak times make left turns onto and off of Sand Creek Road difficult, causing large queues to form at Computer Drive South and Hunting Road.

Trucks over 4 tons are not permitted on Sand Creek Road within the Village limits. However, there are no warning signs prior to turning onto the road or logical turnarounds, so truck traffic still utilizes the route.

Residents have complained of truck traffic and speeding issues along the corridor. Several accidents occurred in the vicinity of the Village sign between Shaker Run and Hunting Road due to the unexpected bend in the road. This issue appears to have been mitigated since the speed limit reduction.

## Pedestrian and Bicycle Counts

Pedestrian and bicycle counts were collected by John Gillivan, of the Village of Colonie Traffic Advisory Committee. The counts were taken on three (3) separate days, at various times and locations along Sand Creek Road; June 30, 2023, from 9:30 AM to 10:45 AM at the Hunting Road intersection, July 1, 2023 from 11:30 AM to 12:15 PM at the Sunset Boulevard Intersection, and July 5, 2023 from 7:45 PM to 9:00

PM between Jo Ann Court and Delafield Drive. The weather conditions for all three (3) counts were warm, sunny, dry days with steady traffic. The results are summarized in Table $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ below. No wheeled, non-motorized devices were observed during the counts.

Table 2.6: Pedestrian \& Bicycle Counts

|  | June 30, 2023 |  |  | July 1, 2023 |  |  | July 5, 2023 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SB | NB | Total | SB | NB | Total | SB | NB | Total |
| Pedestrians (on road) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrians (on sidewalk) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Bicyclists (on road) | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bicyclists (on sidewalk) | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

## Crash History

Crash data was provided by the Transportation Council for the most recent five years of available data (August 1, 2017, to July 31, 2022). Crash data was examined on Sand Creek Road, from Watervliet Shaker Road to Wolf Road. Crashes on side-streets that occurred within 100 feet of the intersections were also examined. A summary of crash data received is located in Appendix C.

Of the 181 reported crashes within the study area, seven (7) crashes involved pedestrians and one (1) involved a bicycle. Of these eight (8) crashes, there were five (5) reported with injuries. One crash within the study area was a fatal crash. The fatal crash occurred on February $10^{\text {th }}, 2019$, between two motor vehicles at 12:05 P.M. on Sunset Boulevard. 75 out of the 181 crashes along Sand Creek Road were reported as rear-end crashes, making up approximately $40 \%$ of the crashes recorded.

The crash rate was 1.40 accidents per million vehicle miles (ACC/MVM) for Sand Creek Road within the study area. The NYSDOT average crash rate is 2.32 ACC/MVM for undivided two-lane roadway sections. Since AADT data was not available in the NYSDOT Traffic Data Viewer for the majority of side streets adjacent to Sand Creek Road, the intersection crash rates could not be calculated and have been omitted from this report.

## Environmental Resources

## Introduction

Per federal requirements, the Transportation Council undertakes an Environmental Features Scan in all Community and Transportation Linkage Planning Program (Linkage Program) initiatives. The Environmental Features Scan identifies the location of environmentally sensitive features, both natural and cultural in relation to project study areas. Although the conceptual planning stage is too early in the transportation planning process to identify specific potential impacts to environmentally sensitive features, the early identification of environmentally sensitive features is an important part of the environmental mitigation process. It should also be noted here that as specific projects advance through the project development process, the applicable NEPA and SEQRA regulations requiring potential
environmental impact identification, analysis and mitigation will be followed by the implementing agencies as required by federal and state law. The Transportation Council is not an implementing agency.

## Aquifers

The study area is located within the Schenectady - Niskayuna Sole Source Aquifer.

## Water Features

Stump Pond is located along the west side of Sand Creek Road, just north of the intersection with South Family Drive.

## Wetlands

Two (2) state-regulated wetlands are located within the study area. Wetland A-7, which is approximately 113.2 acres, is located along the west side of Sand Creek Road between Watervliet Shaker Road and Hunting Road. Wetland A-10, which is approximately 299.4 acres, is located along the east side of Sand Creek Road between Shaker Run and Computer Drive South.

## Rare Animal Populations

A review of the NYSDEC Environmental Resource Mapper (ERM) indicates that the study area is located within the vicinity of animals listed as endangered or threatened.

## Historic and Cultural Resources

The study area is located within the Watervliet Shaker Historic District. The northern project limits from Watervliet Shaker Road to approximately 400 feet north of Shaker Run are located within the historic district.

## NY Protected Lands

Bauer Park is a protected public open space, owned and maintained by the Village of Colonie. Lands classified as protected open spaces are permanently protected from development.

## Soils

The study area contains Class I and Class II soils. These soils appear in the northern end of the study as well as a small area north of Jodiro Lane. Class I soils consist of medium to well-graded sands and clayey sands. Class II soils include medium to well-graded sandy clays and sandy silts and clays with some mica. These soils generally have low volume change properties and good densities, to serve well as subgrade material.

A map of the above-mentioned features, as well as a list of all features scanned, can be found in Appendix D.

## Environmental Justice \& Limited English Proficiency

An Environmental Justice scan and a Limited English Proficiency scan was performed by the Transportation Council using data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS). Threshold values were assigned at the census tract level to identify geographic areas with significant populations of minority or low-income persons. Tracts with higher than the regional average percentage of low-income or minority residents are identified as Environmental Justice populations. A full analysis of the data obtained, as well as figures illustrating Environmental Justice Populations and the Limited English Proficiency populations, is located in Appendix E.

## Chapter 3 - Past Planning Efforts

The Village of Colonie, in cooperation with State and local agencies, has completed a series of planning efforts that support revitalization and community growth within the Village. The studies and plans relevant to the Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Study area are summarized below.

## MASTER PLAN (Village of Colonie, 2003)

In 2003, the Village of Colonie updated their Master Plan, originally established in 2001 by the Village Master Plan Advisory Committee. The Village of Colonie Master Plan identifies and examines a comprehensive list of existing conditions, while blending data analysis with the future needs of the community to create and establish goals, recommendations, and actions from which to construct a new future for the Village.

## Relevant Recommendations:

- Continue to maintain, enhance, and expand the sidewalk and trail system that connects residential and commercial areas throughout the Village.
- Improve traffic safety for pedestrians through the use of various traffic calming devices such as narrowing the streets, curb bump-outs, vegetated buffer strips to protect pedestrians and more.
- For Delafield Drive, Mordella Road, and Hunting Road, three phases of recommendations are provided:
- Phase 1: Install double yellow centerline markings (completed) \& install stop signs at various intersections to deter traffic.
- Phase 2: Install a portable speed-monitoring device and speed humps.
- Phase 3: Dead-end roads south of Sand Creek Road.


## DESIGN GUIDELINES (Village of Colonie, 2006)

In 2006, the Village of Colonie and the Capital District Transportation Committee (now known as the Capital Region Transportation Council) partnered through the Transportation Council's Linkage Planning Program to create design guidelines for the NYS Route 5 (Central Avenue) corridor and other commercial properties within the Village of Colonie. The intent of these guidelines was to help the Village create visually appealing and pedestrian friendly places while supporting economic activity along its commercial corridors. Several of the design guidelines for NYS Route 5 can be applied to the southern portion of this Study between Computer Drive and Wolf Road.

## Relevant Recommendations:

- Provide pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular links from the corridor to nearby neighborhoods, parks, schools, and other public destinations.
- Provide $5^{\prime}-0$ " sidewalks along the full length of commercial properties with a $5^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ landscaped buffer.


## COMPREHENSIVE PLAN (Town of Colonie, 2019)

In 2019, the Town of Colonie updated their original 2005 Comprehensive Plan to include relevant recommendations and new goals to meet the changing needs of the residents and businesses. Several relevant plans and studies were referenced in the Comprehensive Plan.

## PATHWAYS PLAN (2019)

During the public review portion of the 2005 Comprehensive Plan, pedestrian safety concerns initiated the creation of the Pathways Plan in 2008. The Pathways Plan was updated in 2019 with the goal of providing recommendations for pedestrian and bicyclist accommodations to encourage multi-modal travel within the Village. Sand Creek Road was designated as a Bike Pedestrian Priority Network by the Transportation Council.

## Relevant Recommendations:

- Increase the connectivity between key destinations, such as safe routes to school, providing better access to trails, and working with State, County, and other partners to implement projects.


## ALBANY SHAKER ROAD CORRIDOR STUDY (2018)

Completed in 2018, the Albany Shaker Road Corridor Study focused on the portion of Albany Shaker Road between Wolf Road and Everett Road. The objectives of the study were to enhance the character of the corridor, mitigate traffic concerns as well as addressing any safety and quality of life concerns for all modes and adjoining properties. Some recommendations from Albany Shaker Road study can be applied to the Sand Creek Road study due to the similar characteristics between the two corridors.

## Relevant Recommendations:

- Reduce the speed limit along the corridor and introduce traffic calming measures on applicable side streets.
- Improve pedestrian safety through the addition of pedestrian crossings, sidewalks, and bicycle lanes.


## CAPITAL DISTRICT TRAILS PLAN (Transportation Council, formerly CDTC, 2019)

This plan was developed to provide a safe space for walking and bicycling, protect the environment, improve quality of life, conserve energy, and promote tourism and economic development. The overall goal of the plan is to develop an updated vision for a seamless regional transportation network that connects cities, towns, and villages throughout the Capital District.

## Relevant Recommendations:

- Identify the economic benefits of a local trail system and project how these benefits might affect the Capital District as part of an expanded network.
- Provide trail connections with areas of concentrated residential and business activity to help support commuting travel.


## CAPITAL DISTRICT COMPLETE STREETS DESIGN GUIDE (Transportation Council, formerly CDTC, 2019)

The Capital Region Transportation Council (formerly the Capital District Transportation Committee) has developed a design guide for local governments in the Capital District area to aid in the incorporation of complete streets design elements on local roadways.
"Complete Streets are designed for all users, all modes of transportation, and all ability levels. They balance the needs of drivers, pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, emergency responders, and goods movement based on local context."

## Relevant Recommendations:

- A well-lit multi-use path that accommodates bicyclists and pedestrians.
- Narrow travel lanes to help reduce travel speeds while maintaining vehicle capacity and providing space for shoulders or bicycle lanes.
- One-way turning lanes to provide definition and a pedestrian refuge with a curbed median.
- Paved shoulders to provide space for bicyclists outside of the motor vehicle lanes.
- A continuous sidewalk to provide a pedestrian facility at key locations along the corridor.


## SAND CREEK ROAD PARK

A study was completed in 2021 by CHA Consulting to assess the potential development of a park on Townowned land at 620 Sand Creek Road. The wooded, undeveloped site is approximately 127 acres, spanning from Vly Road to Sand Creek Road. The developed concept includes minor improvements to the existing trail system and a 24 -space parking lot that can be accessed by Sand Creek Road, approximately 130 feet north of the intersection with Shaker Run.

## Chapter 4 - Public Outreach

Public involvement was critical for this study to understand the issues that the public faces within the Sand Creek Road corridor. The study included three (3) focus group sessions and two (2) public input session to provide members of the public, staff, stakeholders, and other agencies with opportunities to learn about and comment on the study, and a final presentation to the Village Board and residents.

In addition to the focus group sessions and the public input sessions, information was shared, and comments were received via the study website: https://www.sandcreekcompletestreets.com/쏘. The comments received via the website and during the focus group sessions and public meetings have been summarized and included in Appendix F of the study. These comments have all been considered in the development of the study and in many cases resulted in changes to the concept design.

## Summary of Focus Group Session \#1

The first focus group session took place on July 11, 2023. An open house was held at the Village Hall to provide the Sunset Boulevard Neighborhood Association with the opportunity to review the existing conditions and provide input on their concerns and the existing needs of the corridor as well as any ideas for improvements that should be considered as the study progresses. The open house was advertised via flyers that were handed out to the neighborhood.

Several residents stopped by to view the boards and leave feedback on what they would want to see improved along the study corridor. A summary of the session is included in Appendix $\mathbf{F}$.

## Summary of Focus Group Session \#2

The second focus group session took place on July 13, 2023. A presentation was made at the monthly Village Traffic Advisory Committee meeting with members of the Albany Bicycle Coalition in attendance. The presentation included an overview of the study, an explanation of complete streets, and a technical review of the existing conditions along the corridor.

Attendees had several opportunities to provide input, ask questions, and offer comments. This included an open forum question/comment session at the end of the presentation, as well as an open housestyle period following the presentation. A summary of the session is included in Appendix F.

## Summary of Focus Group Session \#3

The third focus group session took place on July 19, 2023. A hybrid presentation was made to local business stakeholders along and near the study corridor. The presentation included an overview of the

[^1]study, an explanation of complete streets, and a technical review of the existing conditions along the corridor.

At the end of the presentation, attendees had the opportunity to provide input, ask questions, and offer comments via an open forum question/comment session. A summary of the session is included in

## Appendix F

## Summary of Public Input Session \#1

The purpose of Public Input Session \#1 was to inform the public about the corridor study and provide them with an understanding of existing conditions. It also provided the opportunity to obtain input from the public on their concerns and the existing needs of the corridor as well as any ideas for improvements that should be considered as the study progresses.

The session was held as an open house at the Village's Family Recreation Center on July 24, 2023. The meeting was attended by residents, stakeholders, and study advisory committee members. The meeting included a presentation that provided attendees with a brief introduction to the study and an overview of the existing conditions that were displayed via boards at the back of the room.

Attendees provided input, asked questions, and offered comments via an open forum question/comment session at the end of the presentation, as well as an open house-style period following the presentation. Attendees were also given survey cards and encouraged to review the material on the website and provide comments via the website.

A summary of the session is included in Appendix F.

## Summary of Public Input Session \#2

The purpose of Public Input Session \#2 was to present the public with the recommendations for the Sand Creek Road corridor and obtain input. Public Input Session \#2 was the final public input session and was held on January 10, 2024 at the Village of Colonie Recreation Center. The meeting was an open house format where attendees had the opportunity to review the displayed materials, including poster boards and roll plots, discuss with representatives from the Village, and leave feedback.

A summary of the session is included in Appendix F.

## Study Survey

A survey was available on the study's website from June 9, 2023, to August 16, 2023. The purpose of the survey was to identify needs and opportunities for the corridor, and to understand what walking, bicycling, transit, and vehicular improvements the community supports. The survey results are included in Appendix F.

## Chapter 5 - Alternatives Evaluation

## Corridor Needs

Based on public feedback, input from the SAC, and previous studies conducted, transportation improvement alternative concepts were developed to address needs within the corridor and to enhance the character of the corridor. In many instances, the public concerns were related to safety and quality of life. The transportation improvement alternative concepts were developed considering the following needs and objectives, at a minimum:

- Vehicular speed reduction
- Improve deteriorating pedestrian facilities along the corridor
- Improve safety for pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicular traffic, particularly at locations with elevated crash rates
- Provide pedestrian and bicycle accommodations, particularly the multi-use path, mid-block raised crosswalks and providing sidewalk connections
- Make connections to existing multi-modal infrastructure
- Implement access management strategies for the Hannaford and NYSDOT office parking lots near Wolf Road


## Design Concept Alternatives

## Intersection Improvements

As requested by the Village, the Hunting Road and Computer Drive South intersections with Sand Creek Road were investigated for intersection improvements.

## Sand Creek Road and Hunting Road

Improvements to this intersection are needed to increase the safety of turning vehicles onto and off of Hunting Road as well as the safety of pedestrians crossing Hunting Road. This intersection is currently a free-flowing intersection that is stop-controlled on the minor street. Three alternatives were identified for this intersection, which include an all-way stop-controlled intersection, a signalized intersection, and a single lane roundabout alternative.

An all-way stop-controlled intersection would include adding stop signs and stop bars along the Sand Creek Road northbound and southbound approaches, in addition to the existing stop sign and stop bar on Hunting Road.

A traffic signal-controlled intersection would include the installation of a new traffic signal and stop bars along the northbound and southbound approaches on Sand Creek Road.

The roundabout alternative would include a single lane roundabout with curbed medians at each approach. This alternative has been dismissed as it cannot be retrofitted within the intersection without impacting adjacent residences.


Figure 5.1: Hunting Road Traffic Signal Alternative

## Future Traffic Analysis

To estimate the future traffic volumes, growth projection information was provided by the Transportation Council. An annual compound growth rate of $0.3 \%$ per year was applied to the 2023 Existing traffic volumes for the Future 2030, 2040 and 2050 traffic volume conditions. To assess the quality of traffic operations for the traffic signal intersection alternative, intersection capacity analyses were conducted with respect to Existing (2023), Future (2030), Future (2040) and Future (2050) traffic volume conditions.

At Sand Creek Road and Hunting Road, under future conditions, the lanes operate at LOS B or better for all approaches to the intersections for the AM and PM peak hours. The intersection capacity analysis results are summarized below in Table 5.1 and Table 5.2.

The Synchro output files are presented in Appendix B.

| No. | Alternative | Approach | Movement | Existing Delays from Table 2.5 | Existing (2023) | Future (2030) | Future <br> (2040) | Future (2050) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Traffic Signal | Eastbound | Left / Right | B (13.5) | B (12.9) | B (12.9) | B (12.8) | B (13.0) |
|  |  | Northbound | Left / Thru | A (8.3) | A (5.3) | A (5.4) | A (5.4) | A (5.4) |
|  |  | Southbound | Thru / Right | A (0.0) | A (5.6) | A (5.7) | A (5.7) | A (5.7) |
|  |  | Overall Intersection |  | N/A | A (6.6) | A (6.6) | A (6.7) | A (6.7) |


| No. | Alternative | Approach | Movement | Existing Delays from Table 2.5 | Existing (2023) | Future (2030) | Future (2040) | Future (2050) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Traffic Signal | Eastbound | Left / Right | C (16.5) | B (16.0) | B (16.1) | B (16.4) | B (16.7) |
|  |  | Northbound | Left / Thru | A (8.3) | A (6.3) | A (6.4) | A (6.6) | A (6.8) |
|  |  | Southbound | Thru / Right | A (0.0) | A (4.5) | A (4.6) | A (4.5) | A (4.5) |
|  |  | Overall Intersection |  | N/A | A (6.8) | A (6.9) | A (7.0) | A (7.1) |

Overall, the AM and PM peak hour intersection delays would slightly improve on the eastbound and northbound intersection approaches. Delays on the southbound intersection approach would increase with the introduction of a traffic signal. It should be noted that, per Table $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ in Chapter 2, the intersection LOS ranges for the letter designations differ between unsignalized and signalized intersections.

## Table 5.3: Sand Creek Road and Hunting Road Intersection Comparison

|  | Benefits | Disadvantages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Equal delay opportunity for all approaches <br> - Least expensive alternative <br> - Lower vehicular speeds entering intersection for improved pedestrian/bicyclists crossing safety | - Increased intersection delay / worsened LOS <br> - Potential for increased rear-end crashes <br> - Should not be used for traffic calming |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { Non } \\ & \text { ت000 } \end{aligned}$ | - Potential to reduce the number of rightangle crashes and potential crashes between vehicles and pedestrians/bicyclists <br> - Lower vehicular speeds entering intersection for improved pedestrian/bicyclists crossing safety | - Increased intersection delay / worsened LOS <br> - Potential for increased rear-end crashes <br> - Unwarranted signals potentially cause diversion of traffic onto unsignalized residential side streets <br> - Impacts to underground utilities |
|  | - Decreases overall intersection delay <br> - Improved safety for pedestrians and vehicles | - Property acquisitions <br> - Direct impacts to existing residential structures <br> - Utility relocations <br> - Most expensive alternative |

## Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South

This intersection is currently a four-way signalized intersection that sees a high volume of vehicular and truck traffic entering Sand Creek Road from Wolf Road and adjacent commercial and office properties. Two alternatives were identified for this intersection, which include improvements to the existing signal and a signal lane roundabout.

Alternative A: Improvements to the existing traffic signal include adding left-turn lanes to the Sand Creek Road approaches and re-timing the signal to accommodate the left-turn lanes and any required pedestrian signal upgrades.


Figure 5.2: Alternative A - Computer Drive South Signal Improvements
Alternative B: The roundabout alternative at Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South would include a single lane roundabout with curbed medians at each approach.


Figure 5.3: Alternative B - Computer Drive South Roundabout

## Future Traffic Analysis

To estimate the future traffic volumes, growth projection information was provided by the Transportation Council. An annual compound growth rate of $0.3 \%$ per year was applied to the 2023 Existing traffic volumes for the Future 2030, 2040 and 2050 traffic volume conditions. To assess the quality of traffic operations for the traffic signal improvements and roundabout intersection alternatives, intersection capacity analyses were conducted with respect to Existing (2023), Future (2030), Future (2040) and Future (2050) traffic volume conditions.

At Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South, under future conditions, the lanes operate at LOS C or better for all approaches to the intersections for the AM and PM peak hours. It should be noted that the effects of the Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB's), later discussed in Chapter 6, were not incorporated into the traffic model of the intersection. The intersection capacity analysis results are summarized below in Table 5.4 and Table 5.5.

The Synchro output files are presented in Appendix B.

Table 5.4: Sand Creek and Computer Drive Future AM LOS Table (Delay in Seconds)

| No. | Alternative | Approach | Movement | Existing Delays from Table 2.5 | Existing (2023) | Future (2030) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Future } \\ & \text { (2040) } \end{aligned}$ | Future (2050) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Traffic Signal Improvements | Southbound | Left | A (6.0) | A (5.7) | A (5.7) | A (5.7) | A (5.8) |
|  |  | Southbound | Thru / Right |  | A (7.3) | A (7.4) | A (7.5) | A (7.6) |
|  |  | Eastbound | Left / Thru / Right | B (16.1) | C (20.5) | C (20.5) | C (20.5) | C (20.6) |
|  |  | Northbound | Left | A (4.8) | A (7.2) | A (7.2) | A (7.2) | A (7.3) |
|  |  | Northbound | Thru / Right |  | A (8.6) | A (8.7) | A (8.7) | A (8.8) |
|  |  | Westbound | Left / Thru / Right | B (16.8) | C (21.5) | C (21.5) | C (21.6) | C (21.6) |
|  |  | Overall Intersection |  | A (6.5) | A (8.7) | A (8.7) | A (8.8) | A (8.9) |
| 2 | Roundabout | Southbound | Left / Thru / Right | A (6.0) | A (6.7) | A (6.8) | A (6.9) | A (7.1) |
|  |  | Eastbound | Left / Thru / Right | B (16.1) | A (4.6) | A (4.7) | A (4.7) | A (4.8) |
|  |  | Northbound | Left / Thru / Right | A (4.8) | A (5.1) | A (5.1) | A (5.2) | A (5.3) |
|  |  | Westbound | Left / Thru / Right | B (16.8) | A (4.1) | A (4.1) | A (4.2) | A (4.2) |
|  |  | Overall Intersection |  | A (6.5) | A (5.9) | A (6.0) | A (6.2) | A (6.3) |

Overall, the AM peak hour intersection delays would either remain relatively the same or increase for all of the intersection approaches with the introduction of the traffic signal improvements alternative. For the roundabout alternative, the southbound and northbound approach delays would increase, and the eastbound and westbound approach delays would decrease.

| No. | Alternative | Approach | Movement | Existing Delays from Table 2.5 | Existing (2023) | Future (2030) | Future (2040) | Future (2050) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Traffic Signal Improvements | Southbound | Left | C (21.2) | A (8.9) | A (9.2) | A (9.8) | B (10.4) |
|  |  | Southbound | Thru / Right |  | A (6.9) | A (7.2) | A (7.7) | A (8.2) |
|  |  | Eastbound | Left / Thru / Right | B (19.7) | C (21.8) | C (21.8) | C (21.8) | C (21.8) |
|  |  | Northbound | Left | A (6.2) | A (0.0) * | A (0.0) * | A (0.0) * | A (0.0) * |
|  |  | Northbound | Thru / Right |  | B (13.4) | B (13.9) | B (14.7) | B (15.7) |
|  |  | Westbound | Left / Thru / Right | C (22.8) | C (25.2) | C (25.2) | C (25.3) | C (25.3) |
|  |  | Overall Intersection |  | B (16.0) | B (12.3) | B (12.6) | B (13.1) | B (13.7) |
| 2 | Roundabout | Southbound | Left / Thru / Right | C (21.2) | B (12.2) | B (12.6) | B (13.4) | B (14.3) |
|  |  | Eastbound | Left / Thru / Right | B (19.7) | A (9.0) | A (9.2) | A (9.7) | B (10.1) |
|  |  | Northbound | Left / Thru / Right | A (6.2) | B (11.0) | B (11.4) | B (12.1) | B (12.8) |
|  |  | Westbound | Left / Thru / Right | C (22.8) | A (9.7) | A (10.0) | B (10.5) | B (11.0) |
|  |  | Overall Intersection |  | B (16.0) | B (11.3) | B (11.7) | B (12.4) | B (13.2) |

Notes: $\left(^{*}\right)$ - LOS is 0.0 seconds for the westbound left movement Sand Creek Road due to the PM volumes having no left-turns onto the access road.

Overall, the PM peak hour intersection delays would greatly improve for the southbound intersection approach and then increase for the northbound, eastbound, and westbound approaches for the traffic signal improvements alternative. For the roundabout alternative, the intersection delays would greatly improve for the southbound, eastbound, and westbound intersection approaches. However, the northbound intersection approach delays would increase.

The traffic analysis for Alternate A does not account for signal timing coordination the signal at the Sand Creek Road / Wolf Road intersection. The signal at Wolf Road favors the heavy north/south movements, and signal coordination with the potential to introduce additional delay to NB/SB Wolf Road movement to accommodate vehicles along Sand Creek Road is not likely warranted. During the preliminary design of intersection improvements, the traffic models should include both the Computer Drive South and Wolf Road intersections to determine whether additional signal coordination is warranted and would provide a benefit to the LOS for both intersections.

Table 5.6: Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South Intersection Comparison

## Benefits Disadvantages

- Decreases overall PM intersection delay
- Utility impacts

|  | - Least expensive alternative <br> - Coordinated signals will reduce number of stops and allow for continuous traffic flow <br> - Improves safety for vehicles by reducing the number of rear-end crashes on Sand Creek Road |
| :---: | :---: |

- Decreases overall AM and PM intersection delay

Alternative B:
Roundabout

- Improved safety for pedestrians
- Improves safety for vehicles by reducing the number of rear-end crashes on Sand Creek Road
- Adjusting embankment stone under I-87 bridge
- Increases overall AM intersection delay
- Improvement with the largest footprint
- Adjusting embankment stone under I-87 bridge
- Property acquisitions
- Relocation of commercial driveways
- Aerial utility relocations
- Most expensive alternative


## Pedestrian Signals

Upgrading the existing pedestrian signals and ensuring that all signalized crossings are ADA compliant will create a safer environment for pedestrians to travel the corridor.

As discussed in Chapter 2, many of the intersection crossings within the study area require pedestrian signal upgrades to conform with current design standards. Based on a visual inspection of the corridor and the above-mentioned concepts, the following intersections would require pedestrian signal upgrades: Sand Creek Road / Shaker Run, Sand Creek Road / Sunset Boulevard and Sand Creek Road /

Computer Drive South. Should a traffic signal be installed at the Sand Creek Road / Hunting Road intersection, pedestrian signals would be required at that intersection as well.

The remaining intersections do not require pedestrian signal improvements. The intersection of Watervliet Shaker Road and Sand Creek Road is a part of an Albany County-led project that is currently under construction. Pedestrian signal upgrades are expected to be completed at this intersection by the fall of 2024. The intersection of Wolf Road and Sand Creek Road currently has pedestrian signals with push buttons attached to the same pedestrian signal pole for crossing both Sand Creek Road and Wolf Road at all corners of the intersection.

## Bicycle Accommodations

## Shared Use Lanes

To provide accommodations for bicycle traffic in areas where available right-of-way is limited, shared-use lanes could be introduced. Shareduse lanes require a minimum of $13^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ to allow for adequate and comfortable clearance for motorists to pass bicyclists.

## Bicycles Lanes



Figure 5.5: Bicycle Lanes Example


Figure 5.4: Shared Use Lanes Example
In areas where space is not as limited, bicycle lanes can be implemented alongside vehicular travel lanes. Bicycle lanes require a minimum width of $5^{\prime}-0{ }^{\prime \prime}$. However, under specific situations such as narrow parking lanes and areas with high bicycle use, widths between $6^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ to $8^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ are recommended. Since Sand Creek Road does not have on-street parking and the current bicycle usage is low, a 5'-0" bicycle lane would be sufficient.

## Multi-Use Path

Multi-use paths provide a shared space for all non-motorized users, separated from vehicular traffic. Multi-use paths should be a minimum of $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ wide, but in certain situations, such as limited right-of-way, existing utilities, or environmentally sensitive features, widths can be reduced to $8^{\prime}-0 \prime$. For the Sand Creek Road corridor, a multi-use path width will vary to accommodate the existing right-of-way and major utility features.

https://cbbel.com/wpcontent/uploads/201 7/07/IMG_4209_post.jpg
Figure 5.6: Multi-Use Path Example

## Benefits

Disadvantages

- Minimal amount of work required to implement
- Provides bicyclists with connections to jobs, schools, retail stores and other bicyclist generators
- Allows cyclists to follow a more natural flow of traffic
- Bringing motorist attention to bicycles
- Decreased bicycle-vehicle crashes
- Bicycle awareness increases overall cyclist safety
- Provides bicyclists with connections to jobs, schools, retail stores and other bicyclist generators
- Dedicated bike lanes encourages cycling on the roadway

Cyclists have a false sense of security

- Debris, gravel, and trash build up on the side of the road creates hazards for bike lane users
- Potential for costly right-of-way acquisitions
- Takes bicycles off sidewalks improving pedestrian safety
- Creates a safe space for physical activity for all non-motorized users
Multi-Use Path
- Provides bicyclists and pedestrians with connections to jobs, schools, retail stores and other generators
- Safe barrier between vehicle traffic, bicyclists, and pedestrians

Based on the above comparisons and feedback from the public input sessions, the following alternatives were developed:

Alternative A: This alternative includes a multi-use path that begins at Watervliet Shaker Road and terminates at Mordella Road.

Alternative B: This alternative includes a multi-use path that begins at Watervliet Shaker Road and terminates at Computer Drive South.

Alternative C: This alternative includes a multi-use path that begins at Watervliet Shaker Road and terminates at Hunting Road.

To provide bicyclists with access to the south side of Wolf Road, where there are several pedestrian and bicycle generators, two options were evaluated. These options are applicable to all of the alternatives listed above.

- The first option investigated terminating the bicycle facility, whether that be a multi-use path, bicycle lanes, or shared-use lanes, at the Computer Drive South intersection. From there, bicyclists could choose to either travel south to access businesses along the southwest part of Wolf Road or travel north along Computer Drive South and cross Wolf Road at the Computer Drive West intersection. The intersection of Wolf Road with Computer Drive West exhibits lower traffic volumes than the intersection of Wolf Road and Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive East has wider shoulders than Sand Creek Road that can more safely accommodate bicyclists.
- The second option would be to maintain bicycle traffic to the Wolf Road intersection, with a delineated bicycle lane on the north approach. Due to the higher traffic volumes and minimum available shoulder of Sand Creek Road south of Wolf Road, this option is not recommended.


## Reconstruction of Sidewalks, Curbs and Curb Ramps

In general, concrete sidewalks are currently located on the eastern side of Sand Creek Road throughout most of the study area. The existing sidewalks are in disrepair in several locations along the corridor. There are no sidewalks on the western side of Sand Creek Road. Curb ramps are present at each intersection pedestrian crossing location; however, most do not meet ADA standards due to concrete deterioration, steep slopes, and insufficient turning spaces.

Benefits of new sidewalks and curb ramps include improved pedestrian connectivity between pedestrian origins and destinations along the corridor, particularly access to Watervliet Shaker Road, retail destinations on Wolf Road, Bauer Park, and Forest Park Elementary School. A grass buffer should be considered between the road and the sidewalks to provide room for snow storage as well as providing pedestrians with a buffer from roadway vehicles.

Sidewalks are needed along the east side of Sand Creek Road to bridge the existing gaps between Watervliet Shaker Road and Shaker Run. Feedback from the public input sessions showed that residents would like to see a sidewalk connection along Mordella Road from Sand Creek Road to Forest Park Elementary and along the west side of Sand Creek Road from Jodiro Lane to Wolf Road.

## Midblock Crossings

With the potential addition of sidewalks or multi-use paths along the west side of Sand Creek Road, the need for pedestrian crossings at existing and new locations would increase. The locations for pedestrian crossings were evaluated based on public feedback. The following locations have been identified as potential concept midblock crossings:

- South Family Drive Crossing
- Mordella Road Crossing
- Crossing between Computer Drive South and Wolf Road


Figure 5.7: Sand Creek Road looking south at South Family Drive


Figure 5.8: Sand Creek Road looking south at Mordella Road


Figure 5.9: Sand Creek Road looking south near Wolf Road
These mid-block crossing locations were specifically chosen based on the need to provide safe pedestrian crossings to pedestrian generators as well as frequently observed crossings along Sand Creek Road. The mid-block crossings will provide access to generators such as Forest Park Elementary School, Bauer Park, commercial businesses at the southern end of Sand Creek Road and access to South Family Drive for pedestrians and bicyclists to have access to the multi-use path on Watervliet Shaker Road.

## Pedestrian Crossings: Spacing Guidance

Based on the NYS Vehicle and Traffic Law, pedestrian crossing demand should be assumed at all intersecting public streets. Pedestrian crossings during busy times can be a challenge at unmarked locations and walking distances to the nearest protected pedestrian crossing can be long.
"Based on FHWA research and AASHTO guidance, 1 mile is recognized as the maximum walking distance that most healthy/able-bodied people would be willing to undertake. However, the research also states that the majority of pedestrian trips are 0.25 miles in length. Subject to good engineering judgment, 0.25 miles is an appropriate average distance for accommodating "most" pedestrians of all abilities, outside of high-pedestrian traffic zones. In high-pedestrian traffic zones, or central business/walking districts, pedestrian crossings spaced between 330 feet and 500 feet apart would be reasonable and may correspond with the typical block lengths in high-pedestrian traffic zones. Suggested spacing of crossings are as follows:

- Central business/walking districts - from 330 feet to 500 feet apart
- Urban or suburban residential/retail areas - not to exceed 0.25 miles.
- The maximum distance that people with disabilities should reasonably be expected to divert from their intended path would be between 165 feet and 250 feet."
- New York State Highway Design Manual Section 18.7.1

Pedestrian Street Crossing Dynamics

## Pedestrian / Bicyclist Amenities

The installation of amenities such as bicycle racks and benches would make traveling the corridor more desirable to pedestrians and bicyclists. Making the corridor more appealing to pedestrians and bicyclists has the potential to decrease dependency on vehicular travel, reducing congestion at peak hours. A few locations were identified for such amenities including Bauer Park and the mid-block crossing between the Computer Drive South and Wolf Road intersections.

## Access Management

## Driveways

Currently, there are two driveways between Computer Drive South and Wolf Road that provide access to the parking lot on the west side of Sand Creek Road, in addition to the access road from the Computer Drive South intersection. By providing another connection inside the parking lot and removing one of the driveways, pedestrian conflicts with turning vehicles and rear-end crashes would be reduced.


Figure 5.10: Picotte Office Driveway and Barnsider Driveway
Additional access management practices should be considered along the corridor, specifically in the area of the Smile Zone and Tiny Town driveway entrances. Currently, the existing layout of the Smile Zone driveway forces vehicles to utilize a private driveway to exit the parking lot. This area would benefit from clear, delineated driveways for all three properties.


Figure 5.11: Smile Zone / Tiny Town Driveway

## Medians

A common concern along the corridor was the delays and safety issues caused by vehicles attempting to make left turns onto and off Sand Creek Road between Computer Drive South and Wolf Road. A centerleft turn lane between these two intersections would provide a space for left-turning vehicles to mitigate delays and backups to either traffic signal. This median could either be curbed or striped.

## Lighting

Street lighting is lacking along Sand Creek Road between Watervliet Shaker Road and the residential homes after Shaker Run. Streetlights would provide pedestrians and bicyclists with a safer environment to travel in at night and would help motorists identify roadway obstructions such as pedestrians, bicyclists, and wildlife.

## Vehicle Weight Limit and Speed Enforcement

Multiple tactics were investigated in order to enforce the speed and vehicle weight limits along Sand Creek Road as this was a common concern among Village residents:

- Increased police presence along the corridor to bring attention to and enforce the limits
- Speed enforcement cameras strategically placed along the corridor
- Visually narrowing the corridor to deter speeding
- Submit requests directly to the makers of GPS devices / applications and online maps to clearly label the restricted route
- Radar feedback speed signs along the corridor to deter speeding

The legality of implementing cameras to issue tickets to speeding motorists must be investigated. The NYS Vehicle and Traffic Law currently only allows speed enforcement cameras in School Zones.

## Corridor Enhancements

Several locations along the Sand Creek Road corridor were investigated for enhancements such as landscaping, gateway improvements, and signage. Potential landscaping enhancements include street trees and shrubbery, mulched areas, and wildflowers. Should a multi-use path be implemented along Sand Creek Road, the existing Village of Colonie sign will need to be relocated, creating an opportunity for gateway improvements. Additionally, wayfinding signage would inform pedestrians and bicyclists about the nearby trails and other pedestrian generators close to the corridor.

## Chapter 6 - Recommended Improvements

The design alternatives discussed in Chapter 5 were presented to the Village of Colonie, Transportation Council, the SAC, and NYSDOT. Each entity was given the opportunity to review the concepts and provide feedback.

Recommended improvements have been identified for the Sand Creek Road corridor, as well as specific intersections throughout the corridor. The recommended improvements satisfy the study objectives and have been developed utilizing feedback from the involved municipal agencies and the public. Images of the concept-level improvements are provided within the subsections of this Chapter; however, full concept plans are available in Appendix G.

The following recommendations are conceptual in nature and do not commit the Village of Colonie (or other entities) to the proposed project(s). Concepts presented in this report may need to be investigated in more detail before any commitment for funding is made. Additional engineering or follow-up work will be based upon funding availability.

## Intersection Improvements

## Sand Creek Road and Hunting Road

The intersection with Hunting Road was evaluated for several intersection improvement alternatives including an all-way stop-controlled intersection, a signalized intersection, and a roundabout. Based on the feedback from the Village, Transportation Council, SAC, and the public, a signalized intersection is desired at this intersection. However, a signal warrant analysis was completed for this intersection, and it was determined that a signal is not warranted at this time. It is recommended that the intersection control remain as is, and a high visibility crosswalk be installed at the Hunting Road crossing. During Public Input Session \#2, several residents requested that turn lanes on Hunting Road be considered if a traffic light is not recommended. The feasibility of adding turn lanes to Hunting Road would need to be evaluated during design.

While not warranted, the Village may still elect to install the traffic signal with their own funds. The signalized intersection is preferred to the roundabout due to the overall construction cost and impacts to private property required to retrofit the roundabout. The signal concept proposes the existing intersection geometry to remain, adding stop bars on all approaches and high visibility crosswalks with pedestrian signals for the Hunting Road crossing and Sand Creek Road crossing. The use of a span wire or mast arm signal would need to be evaluated during design. A traffic signal will provide traffic on Hunting Road with a separate phase to make turns onto Sand Creek Road and allow for safe pedestrian crossing with installation of integrated pedestrian signals.


Figure 6.1: Hunting Road Intersection Recommendation
This graphic is conceptual in nature and does not commit the Village of Colonie (or other entities) to the proposed project(s).

## Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South

The intersection with Computer Drive South was evaluated for two intersection improvement alternatives; a single lane roundabout and existing traffic signal improvements. Based on feedback from the Village and the Transportation Council, traffic signal improvements are recommended for this intersection.

The traffic signal improvements alternative is preferred to the roundabout due to the overall construction cost and property acquisitions required to retrofit the roundabout. The recommended concept for the intersection consists of an $11^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ left-turn lane on both the north and south Sand Creek Road approaches. Shoulder widening would be required for the two added turn lanes and the stone embankments under the l-87 northbound bridge would need to be modified to allow for sidewalks along both sides of the road. The stone embankment slopes can be modified to a maximum of $1 \mathrm{~V}: 2 \mathrm{H}$. A minimum of 14 '-0" vertical clearance must be maintained under the bridges over Sand Creek Road as well as the adjacent sidewalks. If during design it is determined that this is not feasible, further coordination with the NYSDOT structures group will be required. Overall, the improvements to the intersection would reduce the delay for vehicles turning left off Sand Creek Road, allowing for a smoother transition through this heavily traveled corridor and improve bicycle and pedestrian connectivity. Utility relocations would be required for this concept; however, the impacts are considerably less than those required with the roundabout concept.


Figure 6.2: Computer Drive South Intersection Improvements
This recommendation is conceptual in nature and does not commit the Village of Colonie (or other entities) to the proposed project(s).

## Sand Creek Road Corridor Improvements

Sand Creek Road is a unique thoroughfare due to the changes in land use and the need to prioritize several modes of transportation including vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists. In many segments, the existing corridor lacks pedestrian and bicycle connectivity and is confined by residential homes, utility poles and narrow right-of way. The following corridor improvements have been identified with these constraints in mind.

## Pedestrian Signals

There are many intersections throughout the corridor that need pedestrian signal upgrades. Those intersections and recommended improvements are listed below.

Sand Creek Road and Shaker Run: Install accessible pedestrian signals (APS) with push buttons and signs for the Shaker Run crossing as well as the Sand Creek Road crossing from the multi-use path to the sidewalks on the east side of the road.

Sand Creek Road and Hunting Road: With the installation of a traffic signal at this intersection, APS with push buttons and signs would be required for the Hunting Road crossing as well as the Sand Creek Road crossing.

Sand Creek Road and Sunset Boulevard: Install APS with push buttons and signs for the Sunset Boulevard crossing.

Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South: Install APS with push buttons and signs for the southwest and southeast quadrant of the intersection crossing the access road and Sand Creek Road. The existing pedestrian signal crossing Computer Drive South was identified to not be in working order and would need to be updated to be operational.

## Bicycle Accommodations

Of the three bicycle alternatives evaluated, Alternatives $A$ and $C$ were preferred by the Village as feasible recommendations. Alternative $B$ is less desirable due to right-of-way constraints and utility pole relocations that would be required to construct a multi-use-path past Mordella Road.

Where there appears to be sufficient right-of-way between Watervliet Shaker Road and Mordella Road, it is recommended that a multi-use path be installed along the west side of Sand Creek Road, either terminating at Mordella Road (Alternative A) or Hunting Road (Alternative C). It is recommended that the multi-use path be $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ from Watervliet Shaker Road to approximately $200^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ south of the Shaker Run intersection where it would reduce to $8^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ for the remainder of the limits. The reduction in width is due to the right-of-way constraints through the area. Where the multi-use path terminates, Sand Creek Road would be signed and marked to accommodate $13^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ wide shared-use travel lanes where bicyclists will be able to travel with vehicular traffic from the end of the multi-use path to Computer Drive South. From the Computer Drive South intersection, bicyclists may then choose to travel south to access businesses along the southwest portion of Wolf Road or travel north along Computer Drive South to cross Wolf Road at the Computer Drive West intersection.

Alternatives A and C are depicted on the concept plans in Appendix G.

## Reconstruction of Sidewalks, Curbs and Curb Ramps

Limited reconstruction of sidewalks and curb ramps is recommended throughout the corridor based on the current condition of sidewalks, ADA compliance and to close the gaps in the existing network. The recommended sidewalk layout must provide a $5^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ clear pedestrian path free of appurtenances. $4^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ sidewalk width is allowed in areas where the $5^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ width cannot be met as long as a $5^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ passing space is provided at least every $200^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$. A grass buffer area between the sidewalk and the road would provide space for lighting, street trees and snow storage outside of the pedestrian access way with varying widths of $2^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ to $12^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ depending on the available right-of-way. Sidewalks are being recommended along the east side of Sand Creek Road to close the gaps between Watervliet Shaker Road and Shaker Run, through driveways where there is currently not sidewalk, along Mordella Road to provide a connection from Sand Creek Road to Forest Park Elementary School, and along the west side of Sand Creek Road from Mordella Road to Wolf Road, depending on the bicycle alternative selected. For more details on recommended sidewalk locations see Appendix $\mathbf{G}$ for the concept plans.

## Midblock Crossings

Three (3) mid-block crossings are recommended to improve pedestrian safety when crossing Sand Creek Road. Mid-block crossings are recommended on Sand Creek Road at the South Family Drive intersection, Delafield Drive intersection and between the Wolf Road and Computer Drive South intersections. All mid-block crossings shall be raised crosswalks with high visibility epoxy paint striping and Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB's). Per the NYSDOT Standard Details, raised crosswalks are acceptable on roads with less than or equal to 20,000 vehicles per day, less than or equal to $5 \%$ truck traffic, and a pavement surface score of 7 or greater. Sand Creek Road meets each of these requirements. Refer to Dwg. Nos. 1, 3 and 4 in Appendix G for additional details.

Prior to final design and construction of raised crosswalks, it is recommended that the Village set up a demonstration to determine if the feature will negatively affect vehicular turning movements. The
demonstration would involve installation of a temporary, rubberized speed table to mimic a raised crosswalk in order to visually evaluate whether travel patterns are negatively affected.


Figure 6.3: Raised Crosswalk Example

## South Family Drive Crossing

Bicyclists regularly utilize South Family Drive to access the existing multi-use path along Watervliet Shaker Road from the neighborhoods along Sand Creek Road. This location would provide a safe crossing for pedestrians as well as bicyclists to continue the connectivity between the neighborhoods and the multi-use path along Watervliet Shaker Road. The crossing would be located at the Sand Creek Road and South Family Drive intersection and would consist of a raised high visibility crosswalk with Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB) to bring vehicular attention to the crossing.


Figure 6.4: South Family Drive and Sand Creek Road Mid-Block Crossing
This recommendation is conceptual in nature and does not commit the Village of Colonie (or other entities) to the proposed project(s).

The mid-block crossing at the Delafield Drive intersection would be located along the northern approach to the intersection. This location would provide a marked crossing for pedestrian and bicycle traffic between Bauer Park, the existing sidewalks, Forest Park Elementary School, and the multi-use path. The crossing would consist of a raised high visibility crosswalk with Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB) to bring vehicular attention to the pedestrian crossing.


Figure 6.5: Delafield Drive and Sand Creek Road Mid-Block Crossing
This recommendation is conceptual in nature and does not commit the Village of Colonie (or other entities) to the proposed project(s).
The public expressed interest in another mid-block crossing at Mordella Road. A detailed pedestrian count and destination study should be completed during design to determine if one crossing at Delafield Drive is sufficient, or if a second crossing location should be added at Mordella Road.

## Crossing between Computer Drive South and Wolf Road

The mid-block crossing along Sand Creek Road would be located approximately 300' south of the Computer Drive South and Sand Creek Road intersection. This location would provide a safe crossing between the office buildings on the west side of Sand Creek Road and retail businesses on the east side. The crossing would consist of a raised high visibility crosswalk with Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB) to bring vehicular attention to the pedestrian crossing, as well as a curbed median island to allow for pedestrian refuge while crossing.


Figure 6.6: Sand Creek Road Mid-Block Crossing near Wolf Road
This recommendation is conceptual in nature and does not commit the Village of Colonie (or other entities) to the proposed project(s).
An engineering study is recommended for these crossings to determine where a rectangular rapid flashing beacon is warranted in accordance with the NYS Pedestrian Safety Action Plan (PSAP).

## Pedestrian / Bicyclist Amenities

It is anticipated that pedestrian and bicycle traffic within and surrounding the Village would increase given the implementation of the recommendations discussed within. To provide a more enjoyable experience for bicycle and pedestrian user groups, the installation of bicycle and pedestrian-related amenities, such as bicycle racks and benches, is recommended along the corridor near pedestrian generators such as retail destinations on Wolf Road, Bauer Park, and Forest Park Elementary School.

## Access Management

## Driveways

Access management is recommended in several locations along the corridor. In order to separate traffic between the Smile Zone and Tiny Town, it is recommended that the southern-most Smile Zone driveway be relocated to exit directly onto Sand Creek Road, instead of the private driveway. A grass buffer strip should be considered between the private driveway and the Tiny Town driveway to show a clear delineation between the two. Relocation of the existing irrigation system around the Smile Zone parking lot, if required will need to be coordinated with the owner during design.


Figure 6.7: Smile Zone / Tiny Town Driveways
This recommendation is conceptual in nature and does not commit the Village of Colonie (or other entities) to the proposed project(s).

It is recommended that the Barnsider Driveway on Sand Creek Road be removed, and an additional connection point be provided within the parking lot to reduce the number of access points between Computer Drive South and Wolf Road. Since both of these improvements encroach onto private property, additional approvals or agreements may be required from the property owners. Refer to the Medians section in this Chapter for a graphic of the recommendation.

## Medians

Installation of a curbed, landscaped median is recommended between Computer Drive South and Wolf Road. The curbed median would provide a refuge for pedestrians, separate from vehicular traffic, who are utilizing the mid-block crossings previously mentioned. A center left-turn lane to allow left turning vehicles access to the parking lots on either side of Sand Creek Road is also recommended. Coordination with emergency services is required to evaluate whether the median will negatively affect their routing. Refer to Dwg. No. 4 in Appendix G for additional details.


Figure 6.8: Curbed Median on Sand Creek Road, between Computer Drive and Wolf Road
This recommendation is conceptual in nature and does not commit the Village of Colonie (or other entities) to the proposed project(s).

## Lighting

Street lighting is lacking along Sand Creek Road between Watervliet Shaker Road and the residential homes after Shaker Run. It is recommended to install LED street lighting on the east side of Sand Creek Road from Watervliet Shaker Road to approximately 200' south of the Shaker Run intersection. Streetlights would provide pedestrians and bicyclists with a safer environment to travel in at night and would help motorists identify roadway obstructions such as pedestrians, bicyclists, and wildlife. The Village and Town of Colonie should consider upgrading all existing lighting infrastructure along Sand Creek Road to LED luminaires.

## Vehicle Weight Limit and Speed Enforcement

The enforcement of weight and speed is a concern for the Village and residents along the corridor. Multiple speed and weight enforcement tactics were investigated for Sand Creek Road.

Radar speed feedback signage is recommended within the corridor to deter speeding. The Village prefers the use of radar signs that do not display driver speed. The data collected from these radar signs can also be used to target when and where increased enforcement is needed. Increased police presence is also recommended along Sand Creek Road. The increased presence of police and speed signs will increase motorist awareness that their speed is being monitored and should drive at the posted speed limit along the road. Feedback from the public shows that most speeding occurs between Watervliet Shaker Road and Shaker Run. Because of this, it is recommended that the radar signs be placed along this segment of Sand Creek Road. Additionally, street trees should also be considered along Sand Creek Road between Watervliet Shaker Road and Shaker Run in order to visually narrow the corridor and deter speeding.

In addition to increased police presence, it is recommended that requests be submitted directly to the makers of GPS devices / applications and online maps to clearly label the restricted route for overweight vehicles. The advanced warning sign on the northern end of the study limits should be moved closer to the intersection with Watervliet Shaker Road to increase visibility for overweight trucks attempting to turn on Sand Creek Road. If this recommendation does not appear to mitigate truck traffic issues, the Village may consider installing flashing beacons on the warning signs to further increase visibility.

## Corridor Enhancements

Of the locations investigated for improvements along the Sand Creek Road corridor, the following are recommended; the existing Village of Colonie sign between Shaker Run and Hunting Road, the adjacent areas between Computer Drive South and Wolf Road, and the Bauer Park trailhead.

## Village of Colonie Gateway

Should a multi-use path be implemented, the existing Village of Colonie sign would be relocated to the opposite side of Sand Creek Road within the available Town right-of-way. The area would also benefit from street trees in order to give the appearance of a narrower corridor.


Figure 6.9: Village of Colonie Gateway Rendering

## Baver Park Trailhead

Since bicycles are not allowed on the boardwalks within Bauer Park, the Sand Creek Road trailhead would benefit from bicycle racks. Wayfinding signage is recommended to depict the trail loop and nearby trail connections as the area is frequently traveled by pedestrians and bicyclists.


Figure 6.10: Bauer Park Trailhead Rendering

## Computer Drive South / Wolf Road Boulevard

The area surrounding the recommended mid-block crossing between Computer Drive South and Wolf Road would benefit from street trees and shrub plantings as well as bicycle racks and benches.


Figure 6.11: Computer Drive South / Wolf Road Boulevard Rendering

## Chapter 7 - Implementation Strategies

This chapter provides information to assist the Village of Colonie with implementation of the Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Concept Plan. Included below is a list of potential funding sources, a breakout of major elements of the concept plan and anticipated project partners.

## Potential Funding Source

There are many potential funding sources that the Village of Colonie can pursue to help with implementation of the Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Concept Plan. The potential funding sources vary between federal, state, and local sources. It is important for the Village to submit applications for funding to the appropriate program, at the right time for the project, and with ample project information and support to show why the project is important to the Village and that the Village is prepared to provide the required local match. Since funding opportunities can arise throughout the year, it is imperative that the Village maintain contact with NYSDOT Region 1 Local Programs Bureau to ensure that no funding opportunities are missed.

## Federal Funding Programs

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP): The Federal Highway Administration manages funding for all projects eligible under the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG), and the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP). These reimbursement programs typically cover up to $80 \%$ of the project cost and the project Sponsor is responsible for the remaining $20 \%$. Projects must be within the right-of-way of federal aid eligible roadways. Sand Creek Road is federal aid eligible. The following formula funds serve as the basis for project programming in the TIP:

- National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)
- Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STP - Flexible and Large Urban)
- Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)

For more information on specific eligibility of federal fund sources, please visit the Transportation Council website.

To apply for federal funding, the Village can respond to a TIP solicitation advertised by the Capital Region Transportation Council. The Transportation Council staff review applications and recommend projects to be funded, while the ultimate decision is made by various committees and is subject to public comment. The next solicitation for new TIP projects is expected to begin in September 2024.

## State Funding Programs

NYSDOT TAP-CMAQ Program (TAP/CMAQ): Funding is available through NYSDOT to support bicycle, pedestrian, multi-use path, and non-motorized transportation-related projects and programs that
 support the goals of New York's national-led Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act (CLCPA). Although these programs are administered by NYSDOT, the fund sources are ultimately federal and require a $20 \%$ local match. Projects must be within the right-of-way of federal aid eligible roadways; all roadways evaluated as part of this study are eligible. Funded projects will receive a minimum of $\$ 500,000$ and a maximum of $\$ 5,000,000$ (prior to the $20 \%$ local match). Municipalities may request funding from two different fund sources:

- Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP): TAP funding helps communities deliver safe, transformative, and innovative transportation projects which expand, enhance, and modernize walking and biking options and connections to transit. TAP project funding focuses primarily on benefits for bicyclists, pedestrians, and other amenities for non-drivers. Projects are expected to improve mobility, accessibility, and the community's transportation character such that the street network is more vibrant, walkable, and safer for all transportation mode users, pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users, and drivers. Specific project categories directly related to the recommended projects include:
- Planning, design and construction of infrastructure-related projects to improve nondriver safety and access to public transportation and enhanced mobility;
- Safe routes to school (enable and encourages children to walk or bike to school); and
- Planning, design and construction of on-road and off-road trail facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists and non-motorized transportation users.
- The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program: The CMAQ program provides funding to State and local entities for transportation projects that reduce vehicle emissions and traffic congestion in areas where air quality does not meet or previously did not attain the National Ambient Air Quality Standards.
- Carbon Reduction Program (CRP): The CRP program provides funding for projects that support the reduction of transportation emissions in small urban areas and rural areas by facilitating the use of alternatives to single-occupancy vehicle trips, the development of facilities for biking, walking, and other forms of nonmotorized transportation, and other emission reduction strategies.

In anticipation of this Study being completed in early 2024, the Village has submitted an application for TAP funding in the 2023 TAP-CMAQ-CRP solicitation for the design and construction of pedestrian and bicycle improvements along Sand Creek Road.

NYSDEC Climate Smart Communities Program (CSC): The Climate Smart Communities Grant program was established in 2016 to provide 50/50 matching grants to cities, towns, villages, and counties of the State of New York for eligible climate change mitigation, adaptation, and planning and assessment projects. Municipalities need not be registered or certified as a Climate Smart Community to apply for a grant. Implementation projects for which funding can be sought are those related to the reduction of greenhouse gas (typically transportation alternatives) and climate change adaptation.
 There are two funding pools for this grant. The first funding pool includes projects requesting funds ranging from $\$ 5$ million to $\$ 100$ million. The second funding pool includes projects requesting funds ranging from $\$ 250,000$ to $\$ 4,999,999$.

The NYSDEC typically requests applications for the Climate Smart Community Grant Program annually. Applications are prepared and submitted online using the NYS Consolidated Funding Application (CFA).

NYSDOT Multi-Modal Program (MM): The Multi-Modal Program is managed through NYSDOT's Local Programs Bureau and provides reimbursement funding for five (5) specifically authorized transportation capital project "modes" found in State Transportation Law 14-k and NYSDOT Program Policy - Rail, Port, Fixed Ferry Facilities, Airport, and State and Local Highway and Bridge projects. The program does not have a required local match.

To obtain funding through the NYSDOT's Multi-Modal Program, the Governor or a Legislative Member must nominate the project, and NYSDOT will be notified when funding is secured. Additional information and current opportunities should be discussed with the NYSDOT Region 1 Local Programs Bureau. The funding ranges for this grant are not specified.

Regional Economic Development Council (REDC) Grants: Through the REDCs, community, business, academic leaders, and members of the public in each region of the state put to work their unique knowledge and understanding of local priorities and assets to help direct state investment in support of job creation and economic growth. The Village may consider REDC grants to fund sidewalk projects that will connect residents to businesses or to public transportation.

REDC Grants may be applied for through the CFA, which allows applicants to be considered for multiple sources of funding for a project by filling out just one application. The CFAs are typically announced in May each year with applications due at the end of July. Several of the grants under the CFA have a minimum funding amount, ranging from $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 150,000$.

Community Resiliency, Economic Sustainability and Technology (CREST) Grant Program: The CREST program, administered by the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York (DASNY), provides reimbursement-based grants of capital costs for projects undertaken by eligible entities. The minimum grant award is \$50,000.

Empire State Development Grants (ESD): Available through the Consolidated Funding Application process, ESD provides funds for infrastructure investments under certain programs. Funds may be used to finance infrastructure investments with a goal of attracting new

businesses and expanding existing businesses, thereby fostering further investment. Infrastructure projects may include transportation, water and sewer, and parking, among other investments. Depending on the applicable program, a funding match may be required.

## Local Funding Partners and Programs

National Grid Grants (GRID): National Grid Economic Development offers grant assistance for many different phases of economic development and community

## nationalgrid

 revitalization projects. National Grid may be able to help with staff assistance and resources from their Public Service Commission approved Economic Development Plan. These grants could be explored for assisting with relocation of existing utility poles and infrastructure, and installation of energy efficient site lighting. This grant can be considered in order to implement street lighting at intersections throughout the Village.The Capital Region Transportation Council has partnered with the Capital District Regional Planning Commission (CDRPC) to provide the Technical Assistance Program (Tech Assist). The Tech Assist Program is intended to fill gaps in local level planning needs and to enhance capacity to advance projects that resonate with one or more of the Quality Region Principles of the New Visions 2040 Regional Transportation Plan. The program offers The Capital Region Transportation Council and CDRPC staff time and expertise to local governments undertaking small scale community planning initiatives. The Tech Assist Program requires a minimum of a $25 \%$ local match for the total project cost. This program could be used to further study the level of service and capacity needs for the remaining intersections along Sand Creek Road that were not included in the concept study.

ADA Transition Plan: The Capital Region Transportation Council provides assistance to municipalities with collecting data on the condition of all sidewalks, curb ramps, roadway crossings, and pedestrian signals within the municipalities right-of-way.


CAPITAL REGION
Transportation Council

## Implementation

The recommendations identified in Chapter 6 together meet the project objectives; however, phasing of those improvements may be required to accommodate funding acquisition and approval processes. The Village may opt to seek funding for the design and construction of corridor improvements for a portion of Sand Creek Road, as opposed to the entire corridor. Alternatively, select elements could be implemented corridor-wide without implementing all recommended improvements. Many elements of the recommended corridor improvements are dependent on one another, and specific work elements cannot be broken into separate projects. For example, the intersection improvements could be implemented prior to the mid-block crossings and vice versa.

The following table details the recommendations identified during the Concept Plan development process and includes potential implementation partners and funding sources.

Many of the improvements recommended within this study are located within the Town of Colonie's jurisdiction. Coordination with the Town is required to implement these recommendations.

| Table 7.1: Implementation Plan |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recommended Improvement | Potential Grant <br> Funding Sources | Potential Project <br> Partners |
| Pedestrian Signal Upgrades / Traffic Signals |  |  |
| and Crosswalks | TIP, TAP, MM, CRP | Village of Colonie, Town of <br> Colonie |
| Mid-Block Crossings | TIP, TAP, CRP | Village of Colonie, <br> Town of Colonie |
| Curbed Median / Driveway Access | TIP, TAP, MM | Village of Colonie, <br> Shared-Use Lanes |
| TIP, CMAQ, TAP, | CRP Colonie |  |

## Planning-Level Cost Estimates

Order-of-magnitude cost estimates have been prepared for the recommended improvements as summarized below. These estimates are based on recent unit pricing available through the NYSDOT's Pay Item Catalog for Region 1 projects and are intended to give a sense of potential costs for major elements recommended. Further refinement through design and engineering will refine these planninglevel cost estimates. The cost estimate has been broken out into four (4) segments through the corridor and are listed below:

- Segment 1: Watervliet Shaker Road to Shaker Run
- Segment 2: Shaker Run to Mordella Road
- Segment 3: Mordella Road to Jodiro Lane
- Segment 4: Jodiro Lane to Wolf Road

| Table 7.2: Cost Estimate Summary |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sand Creek Road Improvements ${ }^{2}$ | Planning-Level Costs <br> (2023 Dollars ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) |
| Segment 1: Watervliet Shaker Road to Shaker Run | $\$ 4,640,000$ |
| Segment 2: Shaker Run to Mordella Road | $\$ 2,840,000^{3}$ |
| Segment 3: Mordella Road to Jodiro Lane | $\$ 2,060,000^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| Segment 4: Jodiro Lane to Wolf Road | \$2,150,000 |

1. Totals includes work zone traffic control, survey, mobilization, contingencies, construction inspection, and design costs. ROW acquisition and incidentals costs are not included.
2. Segment 1 is located entirely within the Town of Colonie ROW. Segment 3 is located entirely within the Village of Colonie ROW. Segments $2 \& 4$ are located within both the Town and Village of Colonie ROWs.
3. The costs listed for Segments $2 \& 3$ are for Bicycle Facilities Alternative C.

A more detailed summary of estimated costs is provided in Appendix $\mathbf{H}$ that breaks up the costs based on type of recommendation within the segment.

## Public Education

In addition to the physical design and construction of the recommended improvements, a public education component is needed to ensure the public is aware of the laws and recommended pedestrian safety best practices associated with pedestrian travel in urban corridors. With the new bicycle infrastructure in place, cyclists and pedestrians need to be educated on where the infrastructure is located and the regulations of the infrastructure to ensure the safety of vehicular users, pedestrians, and bicyclists alike. Education programs can include public workshops, school-based programs, and the distribution of educational materials to Village residents via websites, social media platforms, and mailings.

## Maintenance

Per Village and Town of Colonie codes, it is the Village's and Town's responsibility to clear snow and ice from sidewalks adjacent to their rights-of-way, maintain grass areas between the property line and the roadway, and to maintain all water service curb boxes at ground level. It is also the Village's and Town's responsibility to always maintain the sidewalks in good repair and in a safe condition for public use. Additionally, it is the Town and Village's responsibility to maintain vegetation along the road to prevent sight distance issues and to maintain the roadway striping.

## Coordination and Approvals

## Sidewalks and Curb Ramps

Due to the Village's ongoing effort to upgrade pedestrian infrastructure to meet ADA standards, all existing curb ramps shall be re-evaluated at the time of final design to determine the need for reconstruction.

## Right-of-Way

It should be noted that the right-of-way boundaries depicted on the concept plans are based on a tax map level of accuracy and will need to be confirmed during design. If work is to be proposed outside of the highway boundary, right-of-way would need to be acquired from the adjacent property owners. Property access releases may also be required to perform the work proposed, such as minor grading behind the multi-use path.

## NYSDOT

Coordination with the NYSDOT would be required in order to complete the work under and around the I-87 bridges as well as to make modifications to the existing stone aprons under the bridges. The NYSDOT will be given the opportunity to review the concept plans and provide feedback.

## Town of Colonie

Several of the recommendations mentioned in Chapter 6 are within the Town of Colonie right-of-way. The Town was given the opportunity to review the concept plans and provide feedback.

The implementation of the multi-use path and the modifications of the Shaker Run signal would need to be coordinated with the Town's proposed trailhead parking lot at 620 Sand Creek Road.

## APPENDIX A

## PROPERTY OWNERSHIP / ZONING MAPS






## SUMMARY TABLE OF MAP AND AMENDMENTS

| DATE | LOCAL LAW, NUMBER AND TITLE | REFER TO MAP(S) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 / 18 / 16$ | LOCAL LAW 7 OF 2016 | 29.20 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## ZONUNG MASP

NAYOR
HON. THOMAS TOBIN
TRUSTEES
EDWARD SIM
PATTY SCHWARZ LOCKART
ART WHITE
JAMES R. RUBINO
VILLAGE CLERTK JAMIE BLOT

CERTIFY That the zoning boundaries on
THIS MAP WERE ADOPTED BY THE VILLAGE
BOARD OF THE VILLAGE OF COLONIE,
LBANY COUNTY NEW YORK AT A MEETING OF the village of colonie.

DATE
the tax map information contained in HHIS DOCUMENT WAS OBTAINED FROM THE
COUNY OF ALBANY AND WAS LAST UPDATED In COVNY OF ALBANY AND WAS LAST UPDATE 2004. NO ZONING BOUNDARY INFORMATION WAS AMENDED AS A RESULT OF THE TAX MAP UPDATE.







## APPENDIX B

## TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

EXISTING TRAFFIC DATA

Weather: Cloudy
Serial Number: TU-1417
Collected By: N. Gibson
Other Comments:

File Name : MJ1820_Sand Creek_Hunting_AM
Site Code : 18200101
Start Date: 3/7/2023
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Passenger Vehicles - Heavy Vehicles - School buses

|  | Sand Creek Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Sand Creek Road Northbound |  |  |  |  | Hunting Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 110 | 13 | 1 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 30 | 234 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 85 | 14 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 28 | 194 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 64 | 10 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 7 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 34 | 170 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 66 | 11 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 22 | 159 |
| Total | 0 | 325 | 48 | 1 | 374 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 269 | 31 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 114 | 757 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 58 | 5 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 9 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 26 | 156 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 58 | 12 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 19 | 136 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 55 | 7 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 21 | 143 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 52 | 11 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 27 | 151 |
| Total | 0 | 223 | 35 | 0 | 258 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 26 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 93 | 586 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 548 | 83 | 1 | 632 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 385 | 0 | 0 | 504 | 57 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 207 | 1343 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 86.7 | 13.1 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 23.6 | 76.4 | 0 | 0 |  | 27.5 | 0 | 72.5 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 40.8 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 47.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.9 | 28.7 | 0 | 0 | 37.5 | 4.2 | 0 | 11.2 | 0 | 15.4 |  |
| Passenger Vehicles | 0 | 537 | 75 | 1 | 613 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 480 | 50 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 198 | 1291 |
| \% Passenger Vencicles | 0 | 98 | 90.4 | 100 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 95.3 | 0 | 0 | 95.2 | 87.7 | 0 | 98.7 | 0 | 95.7 | 96.1 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 23 |
| \% Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 7 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 2.4 | 1.7 |
| School buses | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 29 |
| \% School buses | 0 | 1.1 | 7.2 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 2.6 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.9 | 2.2 |

MJ Engineering and Land Surveying, PC
1533 Crescent Road Clifton Park, NY 12065

Weather: Cloudy
Serial Number: TU-1417
Collected By: N. Gibson
Other Comments:

File Name : MJ1820_Sand Creek_Hunting_AM
Site Code : 18200101
Start Date: 3/7/2023
Page No :2


|  | Sand Creek Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Sand Creek Road Northbound |  |  |  |  | Hunting Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toala | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 8:00:00 AM to 9:45:00 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 8:00:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00:00 AM | 0 | 110 | 13 | 1 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 30 | 234 |
| 8:15:00 AM | 0 | 85 | 14 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 28 | 194 |
| 8:30:00 AM | 0 | 64 | 10 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 7 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 34 | 170 |
| 8:45:00 AM | 0 | 66 | 11 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 22 | 159 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 325 | 48 | 1 | 374 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 269 | 31 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 114 | 757 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 86.9 | 12.8 | 0.3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 19.7 | 80.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 27.2 | 0 | 72.8 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 739 | . 857 | . 250 | 754 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 828 | . 844 | . 000 | . 000 | . 841 | . 861 | . 000 | . 769 | . 000 | . 838 | . 809 |
| Passenger veicices | 0 | 318 | 42 | 1 | 361 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 208 | 0 | 0 | 258 | 27 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 109 | 728 |
| \% Passenger venicices | 0 | 97.8 | 87.5 | 100 | 96.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94.3 | 96.3 | 0 | 0 | 95.9 | 87.1 | 0 | 98.8 | 0 | 95.6 | 96.2 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| \% Heary Vehicles | 0 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 6.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| School buses | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 23 |
| \% School buses | 0 | 1.8 | 10.4 | 0 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 2.6 | 3.0 |

MJ Engineering and Land Surveying, PC
1533 Crescent Road Clifton Park, NY 12065

Weather: Cloudy
Serial Number: TU-1417
Collected By: N. Gibson Other Comments:

File Name : MJ1820_Sand Creek_Hunting_AM Site Code : 18200101
Start Date: 3/7/2023
Page No : 3


1533 Crescent Road Clifton Park, NY 12065

Weather: Cloudy
Serial Number: TU-1414
Collected By: C. Detrick Other Comments:

File Name : MJ1820_Sand Creek_Computer Drive_AM
Site Code : 10700101
Start Date: 3/7/2023
Page No : 1

|  | Sand Creek Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Computer Drive South Westbound |  |  |  |  | Sand Creek Road Northbound |  |  |  |  | Access Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 08:00 AM | 27 | 134 | 11 | 0 | 172 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 80 | 4 | 0 | 85 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 273 |
| 08:15 AM | 26 | 81 | 6 | 0 | 113 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 54 | 3 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 188 |
| 08:30 AM | 24 | 83 | 8 | 0 | 115 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 191 |
| 08:45 AM | 17 | 72 | 8 | 0 | 97 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 174 |
| Total | 94 | 370 | 33 | 0 | 497 | 12 | 8 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 3 | 244 | 14 | 0 | 261 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 826 |
| 09:00 AM | 20 | 59 | 12 | 0 | 91 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 170 |
| 09:15 AM | 27 | 73 | 4 | 0 | 104 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 42 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 160 |
| 09:30 AM | 24 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 87 | 3 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 163 |
| 09:45 AM | 21 | 69 | 1 | 0 | 91 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 48 | 3 | 0 | 52 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 160 |
| Total | 92 | 262 | 19 | 0 | 373 | 17 | 5 | 38 | 0 | 60 | 3 | 199 | 6 | 0 | 208 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 653 |
| Grand Total | 186 | 632 | 52 | 0 | 870 | 29 | 13 | 78 | 0 | 120 | 6 | 443 | 20 | 0 | 469 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 1479 |
| Apprch \% | 21.4 | 72.6 | 6 | 0 |  | 24.2 | 10.8 | 65 | 0 |  | 1.3 | 94.5 | 4.3 | 0 |  | 50 | 35 | 15 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 12.6 | 42.7 | 3.5 | 0 | 58.8 | 2 | 0.9 | 5.3 | 0 | 8.1 | 0.4 | 30 | 1.4 | 0 | 31.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 1.4 |  |
| Passenger Vehicles | 184 | 616 | 51 | 0 | 851 | 23 | 13 | 75 | 0 | 111 | 6 | 414 | 17 | 0 | 437 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 1416 |
| \% Passenger Vehicles | 98.9 | 97.5 | 98.1 | 0 | 97.8 | 79.3 | 100 | 96.2 | 0 | 92.5 | 100 | 93.5 | 85 | 0 | 93.2 | 90 | 85.7 | 66.7 | 0 | 85 | 95.7 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 30 |
| \% Heavy Vehicles | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 0 | 1 | 17.2 | 0 | 3.8 | 0 | 6.7 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | 14.3 | 33.3 | 0 | 10 | 2 |
| School buses | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 33 |
| \% School buses | 0 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 4.5 | 5 | 0 | 4.5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2.2 |

1533 Crescent Road Clifton Park, NY 12065

Weather: Cloudy
Serial Number: TU-1414
Collected By: C. Detrick Other Comments:

File Name : MJ1820_Sand Creek_Computer Drive_AM
Site Code : 10700101
Start Date : 3/7/2023
Page No : 2


|  | Sand Creek Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Computer Drive South Westbound |  |  |  |  | Sand Creek Road Northbound |  |  |  |  | Access Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 08:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 27 | 134 | 11 | 0 | 172 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 80 | 4 | 0 | 85 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 273 |
| 08:15 AM | 26 | 81 | 6 | 0 | 113 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 54 | 3 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 188 |
| 08:30 AM | 24 | 83 | 8 | 0 | 115 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 191 |
| 08:45 AM | 17 | 72 | 8 | 0 | 97 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 174 |
| Total Volume | 94 | 370 | 33 | 0 | 497 | 12 | 8 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 3 | 244 | 14 | 0 | 261 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 826 |
| \% App. Total | 18.9 | 74.4 | 6.6 | 0 |  | 20 | 13.3 | 66.7 | 0 |  | 1.1 | 93.5 | 5.4 | 0 |  | 37.5 | 50 | 12.5 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 870 | . 690 | . 750 | . 000 | . 722 | . 500 | . 500 | . 909 | . 000 | . 789 | . 750 | . 763 | . 700 | . 000 | . 768 | . 375 | 1.0 | . 250 | . 000 | . 667 | . 756 |
| Passenger Vehicles | 93 | 358 | 33 | 0 | 484 | 7 | 8 | 40 | 0 | 55 | 3 | 225 | 11 | 0 | 239 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 786 |
| \% Passenger venicles | 98.9 | 96.8 | 100 | 0 | 97.4 | 58.3 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 91.7 | 100 | 92.2 | 78.6 | 0 | 91.6 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 95.2 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| \% Heary Venicles | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.7 | 0 | 2.0 | 14.3 | 0 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 |
| School buses | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| \% School buses | 0 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 8.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 0 | 5.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.9 |

MJ Engineering and Land Surveying, PC
1533 Crescent Road
Clifton Park, NY 12065

Weather: Cloudy
Serial Number: TU-1414
Collected By: C. Detrick
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1533 Crescent Road Clifton Park, NY 12065

Weather: Cloudy
Serial Number: TU-1417
Collected By: N. Gibson
Other Comments:

File Name : MJ1820_Sand Creek_Hunting_PM
Site Code : 18200103
Start Date : 3/7/2023
Page No : 1

|  | Sand Creek Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Sand Creek Road Northbound |  |  |  |  | Hunting Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 83 | 11 | 1 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 31 | 258 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 67 | 10 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 117 | 1 | 0 | 153 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 22 | 252 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 60 | 20 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 29 | 226 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 68 | 10 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 26 | 205 |
| Total | 0 | 278 | 51 | 1 | 330 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 379 | 1 | 0 | 503 | 34 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 108 | 941 |
| 06:00 PM | 0 | 56 | 6 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 23 | 187 |
| 06:15 PM | 0 | 43 | 6 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 23 | 175 |
| 06:30 PM | 0 | 38 | 6 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 19 | 135 |
| 06:45 PM | 0 | 35 | 9 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 127 |
| Total | 0 | 172 | 27 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 343 | 27 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 82 | 624 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 450 | 78 | 1 | 529 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 659 | 1 | 0 | 846 | 61 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 190 | 1565 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 85.1 | 14.7 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 22 | 77.9 | 0.1 | 0 |  | 32.1 | 0 | 67.9 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 28.8 | 5 | 0.1 | 33.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.9 | 42.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 54.1 | 3.9 | 0 | 8.2 | 0 | 12.1 |  |
| Passenger Vehicles | 0 | 450 | 75 | 1 | 526 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 656 | 1 | 0 | 841 | 60 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 186 | 1553 |
| \% Passenger Venicles | 0 | 100 | 96.2 | 100 | 99.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.9 | 99.5 | 100 | 0 | 99.4 | 98.4 | 0 | 97.7 | 0 | 97.9 | 99.2 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| \% Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| School buses | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| \% School buses | 0 | 0 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |

1533 Crescent Road Clifton Park, NY 12065

Weather: Cloudy
Serial Number: TU-1417
Collected By: N. Gibson
Other Comments:

File Name : MJ1820_Sand Creek_Hunting_PM
Site Code : 18200103
Start Date : 3/7/2023
Page No : 2


|  | Sand Creek Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Sand Creek Road Northbound |  |  |  |  | Hunting Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 5:00:00 PM to 6:45:00 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 5:00:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00:00 PM | 0 | 83 | 11 | 1 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 31 | 258 |
| 5:15:00 PM | 0 | 67 | 10 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 117 | 1 | 0 | 153 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 22 | 252 |
| 5:30:00 PM | 0 | 60 | 20 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 29 | 226 |
| 5:45:00 PM | 0 | 68 | 10 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 26 | 205 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 278 | 51 | 1 | 330 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 379 | 1 | 0 | 503 | 34 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 108 | 941 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 84.2 | 15.5 | 0.3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 24.5 | 75.3 | 0.2 | 0 |  | 31.5 | 0 | 68.5 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 837 | . 638 | . 250 | . 868 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 879 | . 810 | . 250 | . 000 | . 822 | . 850 | . 000 | . 841 | . 000 | . 871 | . 912 |
| Passenger Vehicles | 0 | 278 | 48 | 1 | 327 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 376 | 1 | 0 | 500 | 34 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 106 | 933 |
| \% Passenger Venicles | 0 | 100 | 94.1 | 100 | 99.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 99.2 | 100 | 0 | 99.4 | 100 | 0 | 97.3 | 0 | 98.1 | 99.1 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| \% Heary Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 2.7 | 0 | 1.9 | 0.4 |
| School buses | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| \% School buses | 0 | 0 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |

MJ Engineering and Land Surveying, PC
1533 Crescent Road Clifton Park, NY 12065

Weather: Cloudy
Serial Number: TU-1417
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Weather: Cloudy
Serial Number: TU-1414
Collected By: C. Detrick
Other Comments:

File Name : MJ1820_Sand Creek_Computer Drive_PM Site Code : 18200103
Start Date: 3/7/2023
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Passenger Vehicles - Heavy Vehicles - School buses

|  | Sand Creek Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Computer Drive South Westbound |  |  |  |  | Sand Creek Road Northbound |  |  |  |  | Access Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 05:00 PM | 26 | 91 | 2 | 0 | 119 | 8 | 2 | 41 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 116 | 2 | 0 | 118 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 311 |
| 05:15 PM | 26 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 12 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 115 | 1 | 0 | 116 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 300 |
| 05:30 PM | 34 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 13 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 275 |
| 05:45 PM | 13 | 85 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 106 | 1 | 0 | 107 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 242 |
| Total | 99 | 349 | 4 | 0 | 452 | 37 | 3 | 126 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 445 | 4 | 0 | 449 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 61 | 1128 |
| 06:00 PM | 21 | 71 | 1 | 0 | 93 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 205 |
| 06:15 PM | 6 | 56 | 7 | 0 | 69 | 5 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 86 | 1 | 0 | 87 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 206 |
| 06:30 PM | 12 | 52 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 78 | 2 | 0 | 80 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 180 |
| 06:45 PM | 11 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 135 |
| Total | 50 | 224 | 12 | 0 | 286 | 17 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 302 | 4 | 0 | 306 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 726 |
| Grand Total | 149 | 573 | 16 | 0 | 738 | 54 | 3 | 225 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 747 | 8 | 0 | 755 | 54 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 79 | 1854 |
| Apprch \% | 20.2 | 77.6 | 2.2 | 0 |  | 19.1 | 1.1 | 79.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 0 |  | 68.4 | 20.3 | 11.4 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 8 | 30.9 | 0.9 | 0 | 39.8 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 12.1 | 0 | 15.2 | 0 | 40.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 40.7 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0 | 4.3 |  |
| Passenger Vehicles | 148 | 571 | 16 | 0 | 735 | 53 | 3 | 224 | 0 | 280 | 0 | 743 | 8 | 0 | 751 | 54 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 78 | 1844 |
| \% Passenger Venicles | 99.3 | 99.7 | 100 | 0 | 99.6 | 98.1 | 100 | 99.6 | 0 | 99.3 | 0 | 99.5 | 100 | 0 | 99.5 | 100 | 93.8 | 100 | 0 | 98.7 | 99.5 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| \% Heary Vehicles | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 6.2 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| School buses | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| \% School buses | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 |

Weather: Cloudy
Serial Number: TU-1414
Collected By: C. Detrick
Other Comments:

File Name : MJ1820_Sand Creek_Computer Drive_PM
Site Code : 18200103
Start Date: 3/7/2023
Page No :2


|  | Sand Creek Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Computer Drive South Westbound |  |  |  |  | Sand Creek Road Northbound |  |  |  |  | Access Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toala | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toaal | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 5:00:00 PM to 6:45:00 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 5:00:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00:00 PM | 26 | 91 | 2 | 0 | 119 | 8 | 2 | 41 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 116 | 2 | 0 | 118 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 311 |
| 5:15:00 PM | 26 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 12 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 115 | 1 | 0 | 116 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 300 |
| 5:30:00 PM | 34 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 13 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 275 |
| 5:45:00 PM | 13 | 85 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 106 | 1 | 0 | 107 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 242 |
| Total Volume | 99 | 349 | 4 | 0 | 452 | 37 | 3 | 126 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 445 | 4 | 0 | 449 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 61 | 1128 |
| \% App. Total | 21.9 | 77.2 | 0.9 | 0 |  | 22.3 | 1.8 | 75.9 | 0 |  | 0 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 0 |  | 73.8 | 19.7 | 6.6 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 728 | . 909 | . 500 | . 000 | . 926 | . 712 | . 375 | . 768 | . 000 | . 814 | . 000 | . 959 | . 500 | . 000 | . 951 | . 804 | . 429 | . 500 | . 000 | . 663 | 907 |
| eenger Velicices | 98 | 348 | 4 | 0 | 450 | 36 | 3 | 125 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 441 | 4 | 0 | 445 | 45 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 60 | 1119 |
| \% Passenger venicices | 99.0 | 99.7 | 100 | 0 | 99.6 | 97.3 | 100 | 99.2 | 0 | 98.8 | 0 | 99.1 | 100 | 0 | 99.1 | 100 | 91.7 | 100 | 0 | 98.4 | 99.2 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| \% Heary Vehicles | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 8.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 0.5 |
| School buses | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| \% School buses | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |

MJ Engineering and Land Surveying, PC
1533 Crescent Road
Clifton Park, NY 12065

Weather: Cloudy
Serial Number: TU-1414
Collected By: C. Detrick Other Comments:
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24-Hour Volume Report
Site Code: 182001

Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: $325^{\prime}$ from Shaker Run

| 2/13/2023 | 2/13/2023 |  | 2/14/2023 |  | 2/15/2023 |  | 2/16/2023 |  | 2/17/2023 |  | Weekday Average |  | 2/18/2023 |  | 2/19/2023 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | North, Lane 1 | South, Lane 1 | North, Lane 1 | South, Lane 1 | North, Lane 1 | South, Lane 1 | North, Lane 1 | South, Lane 1 | North, Lane 1 | South, Lane 1 | North, Lane 1 | South, Lane 1 | North, Lane 1 | South, Lane 1 | North, Lane 1 |  | South, Lane 1 |
| 12:00 AM | * | * | 22 | 39 | 23 | 19 | 20 | 36 | 17 | 52 | 20 | 36 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 1:00 |  | * | 11 | 6 | 17 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 10 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 2:00 |  | * | 8 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 6 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 3:00 |  | * | 5 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 2 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 4:00 |  | * | 6 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 6 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 5:00 |  | * | 13 | 15 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 14 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 12 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 6:00 |  | * | 26 | 33 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 27 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 7:00 |  | * | 72 | 122 | 82 | 104 | 69 | 120 | 65 | 100 | 72 | 112 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 8:00 |  | * | 354 | 372 | 357 | 389 | 359 | 391 | 298 | 352 | 342 | 376 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 9:00 |  | * | 268 | 398 | 240 | 371 | 248 | 345 | 244 | 339 | 250 | 363 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 10:00 |  | * | 193 | 260 | 198 | 244 | 195 | 272 | 185 | 223 | 193 | 250 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 11:00 |  | * | 199 | 269 | 189 | 223 | 218 | 208 | 147 | 171 | 188 | 218 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 12:00 PM |  | * | 249 | 295 | 236 | 270 | 238 | 273 | * | * | 241 | 279 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 1:00 |  | * | 361 | 350 | 285 | 310 | 279 | 320 |  | * | 308 | 327 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 2:00 |  | * | 342 | 311 | 298 | 286 | 319 | 254 |  | * | 320 | 284 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 3:00 | * | * | 372 | 272 | 353 | 286 | 336 | 296 |  | * | 354 | 285 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 4:00 | 169 | 156 | 436 | 378 | 392 | 384 | 403 | 363 |  | * | 350 | 320 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 5:00 | 472 | 387 | 462 | 459 | 496 | 404 | 484 | 388 |  | * | 478 | 410 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 6:00 | 474 | 380 | 519 | 382 | 464 | 366 | 478 | 395 |  | * | 484 | 381 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 7:00 | 280 | 237 | 317 | 258 | 326 | 224 | 324 | 246 |  | * | 312 | 241 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 8:00 | 185 | 125 | 283 | 169 | 223 | 160 | 265 | 199 |  | * | 239 | 163 |  | * |  |  | * |
| 9:00 | 165 | 99 | 208 | 116 | 167 | 148 | 193 | 124 |  | * | 183 | 122 |  | * |  |  | * |
| 10:00 | 102 | 51 | 100 | 89 | 93 | 63 | 111 | 67 |  | * | 102 | 68 |  | * |  | * | * |
| 11:00 | 44 | 37 | 54 | 51 | 46 | 38 | 39 | 40 | * | * | 46 | 42 |  | * |  | * | * |
| Total | 1891 | 1472 | 4880 | 4656 | 4538 | 4342 | 4643 | 4396 | 1030 | 1313 | 4552 | 4340 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Day | 3363 |  | 9536 |  | 8880 |  |  |  | 2343 |  | 8892 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| AM Peak |  |  | 8:00 | 9:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 9039 |  | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume |  |  | 354 | 398 | 357 | 389 | 359 | 391 | 298 | 352 | 342 | 376 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak | 6:00 | 5:00 | 6:00 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 6:00 |  |  | 6:00 | 5:00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume | 474 | 387 | 519 | 459 | 496 | 404 | 484 | 395 |  |  | 484 | 410 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comb Total | 3363 |  | 9536 |  | 8880 |  | 9039 |  | 2343 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| ADT | ADT: 8,890 |  | AADT: 8,890 |  | 8880 |  | 9039 |  | 2343 |  | 8892 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Axle Classification Report
Site Code: 182001
Serial Number: 39513
Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: North, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 13 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5 \mathrm{AxI}$ Double | 5 Axle Double | >6 AxI <br> Double | $<6 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Multi | 6 Axle Multi | $>6 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Multi | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 4:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 5:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 6:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 7:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 8:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 9:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 10:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 11:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 4:00 | 1 | 127 | 27 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 169 |
| 5:00 | 1 | 373 | 71 | 6 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 472 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 386 | 70 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 474 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 237 | 33 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 280 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 143 | 31 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 185 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 140 | 21 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 88 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 35 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| Total | 2 | 1529 | 270 | 13 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1891 |
| Percent | 0.1\% | 80.9\% | 14.3\% | 0.7\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |  |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| PM Peak | 4:00 | 6:00 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 5:00 |  |  | 4:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 4:00 | 6:00 |
|  | 1 | 386 | 71 | 6 | 18 | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 3 | 474 |

Axle Classification Report
Site Code: 182001
Serial Number: 39513
Start Date: 2/13/2023
Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: North, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 14 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Double | 5 Axle Double | $\begin{aligned} & >6 \mathrm{Axl} \\ & \text { Double } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} <6 \text { Axl } \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{gathered} >6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 48 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| 8:00 | 2 | 253 | 61 | 12 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 354 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 212 | 38 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 142 | 33 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 150 | 37 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 199 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 193 | 43 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 249 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 285 | 55 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 361 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 271 | 44 | 3 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 342 |
| 3:00 | 3 | 280 | 52 | 13 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 372 |
| 4:00 | 1 | 345 | 60 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 436 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 368 | 63 | 5 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 462 |
| 6:00 | 1 | 432 | 59 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 519 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 269 | 40 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 317 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 221 | 51 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 283 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 172 | 29 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 89 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 44 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| Total | 8 | 3840 | 714 | 61 | 210 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 4880 |
| Percent | 0.2\% | 78.7\% | 14.6\% | 1.3\% | 4.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |  |
| AM Peak | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 7:00 |  |  |  | 8:00 |  |  |  | 8:00 | 8:00 |
|  | 2 | 253 | 61 | 12 | 22 | 1 | * | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | 2 | 354 |
| PM Peak | 3:00 | 6:00 | 5:00 | 3:00 | 2:00 | 2:00 |  | 5:00 | 6:00 |  |  |  |  | 4:00 | 6:00 |
|  | 3 | 432 | 63 | 13 | 23 | 1 | * | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | 9 | 519 |

Axle Classification Report
Site Code: 182001
Serial Number: 39513
Start Date: 2/13/2023
Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: North, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 15 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Double | 5 Axle Double | $>6$ AxI Double | $\begin{gathered} \text { <6 AxI } \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $>6 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Multi | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5:00 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 52 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 263 | 59 | 12 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 357 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 181 | 41 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 240 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 141 | 35 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 198 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 135 | 38 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 189 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 176 | 41 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 |
| 1:00 | 1 | 234 | 38 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 285 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 240 | 46 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 298 |
| 3:00 | 2 | 242 | 68 | 13 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 353 |
| 4:00 | 2 | 303 | 58 | 6 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 392 |
| 5:00 | 2 | 404 | 61 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 496 |
| 6:00 | 3 | 369 | 71 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 464 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 266 | 46 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 326 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 176 | 37 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 223 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 133 | 27 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 79 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 38 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Total | 12 | 3508 | 714 | 60 | 201 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 4538 |
| Percent | 0.3\% | 77.3\% | 15.7\% | 1.3\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |  |
| AM Peak | 5:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 7:00 |  | 11:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 8:00 | 8:00 |
|  | 1 | 263 | 59 | 12 | 18 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 5 | 357 |
| PM Peak | 6:00 | 5:00 | 6:00 | 3:00 | 3:00 | 3:00 |  | 5:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 6:00 | 5:00 |
|  | 3 | 404 | 71 | 13 | 23 | 1 | * | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | 5 | 496 |

Axle Classification Report
Site Code: 182001
Serial Number: 39513
Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: North, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 16 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | <5 AxI <br> Double | 5 Axle Double | $\begin{aligned} & >6 \mathrm{Axl} \\ & \text { Double } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { <6 AxI } \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $>6 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Multi | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 45 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 264 | 51 | 12 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 359 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 191 | 42 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 |
| 10:00 | 1 | 154 | 24 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 195 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 159 | 40 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 180 | 40 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 238 |
| 1:00 | 1 | 210 | 47 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 279 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 243 | 53 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 319 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 251 | 57 | 13 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 336 |
| 4:00 | 1 | 301 | 69 | 7 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 403 |
| 5:00 | 2 | 381 | 70 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 484 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 380 | 73 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 478 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 263 | 48 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 324 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 212 | 47 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 265 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 148 | 36 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 193 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 87 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| Total | 8 | 3569 | 748 | 64 | 215 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 4643 |
| Percent | 0.2\% | 76.9\% | 16.1\% | 1.4\% | 4.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |  |
| AM Peak | 7:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 |  | 8:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 8:00 | 8:00 |
|  | 1 | 264 | 51 | 12 | 24 | 1 | * | 3 | * | * | * | * | * | 4 | 359 |
| PM Peak | 5:00 | 5:00 | 6:00 | 3:00 | 5:00 | 3:00 |  | 12:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  | 4:00 | 5:00 |
|  | 2 | 381 | 73 | 13 | 20 | 1 | * | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | 6 | 484 |

Axle Classification Report

Location 2: 325 ' from Shaker Run
Direction: North, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 17 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | 2 Axle 6 Tire | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5$ Ax\| Double | 5 Axle Double | $>6$ Axl Double | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { <6 AxI } \\ & \text { Multi } \end{aligned}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{gathered} \hline>6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:00 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 46 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| 8:00 | 1 | 220 | 44 | 13 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 298 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 183 | 38 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 130 | 40 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 |
| 11:00 | 1 | 107 | 28 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 |
| 12:00 PM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 4:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 5:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 6:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 7:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 8:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 9:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 10:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 11:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| Total | 4 | 754 | 176 | 27 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1030 |
| Percent | 0.4\% | 73.2\% | 17.1\% | 2.6\% | 6.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| AM Peak | 2:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 10:00 |  | 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8:00 |
|  | 1 | 220 | 44 | 13 | 20 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 298 |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grand Total | 34 | 13200 | 2622 | 225 | 758 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 16982 |
| Percent | 0.2\% | 77.7\% | 15.4\% | 1.3\% | 4.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |  |

Axle Classification Report

Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: South, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 13 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5$ Axl Double | 5 Axle Double | >6 Axl Double | <6 Axl Multi | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{gathered} >6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 4:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 5:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 6:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 7:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 8:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 9:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 10:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 11:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 4:00 | 1 | 121 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 156 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 296 | 64 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 387 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 299 | 58 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 380 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 190 | 31 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 99 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 82 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 41 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 27 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| Total | 1 | 1155 | 216 | 14 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1472 |
| Percent | 0.1\% | 78.5\% | 14.7\% | 1.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |  |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * |
| PM Peak | 4:00 | 6:00 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 6:00 | 7:00 |  | 5:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 6:00 | 5:00 |
|  | 1 | 299 | 64 | 8 | 19 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 4 | 387 |

Axle Classification Report

Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: South, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 14 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $\begin{aligned} & \hline<5 \mathrm{AxI} \\ & \text { Double } \end{aligned}$ | 5 Axle Double | $>6 \mathrm{Axl}$ Double | $<6 \mathrm{Axl}$ <br> Multi | 6 Axle Multi | $>6 \mathrm{Axl}$ <br> Multi | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 88 | 24 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 279 | 56 | 11 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 372 |
| 9:00 | 1 | 302 | 66 | 6 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 398 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 207 | 36 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 260 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 202 | 49 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 269 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 229 | 46 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 295 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 257 | 64 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 350 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 244 | 36 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 311 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 215 | 36 | 6 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 272 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 272 | 65 | 7 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 378 |
| 5:00 | 4 | 357 | 62 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 459 |
| 6:00 | 1 | 301 | 53 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 382 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 203 | 39 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 258 |
| 8:00 | 1 | 129 | 31 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 169 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 92 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 76 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| Total | 8 | 3590 | 703 | 56 | 241 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 4656 |
| Percent | 0.2\% | 77.1\% | 15.1\% | 1.2\% | 5.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |  |
| AM Peak | 9:00 | 9:00 | 9:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 7:00 |  | 9:00 |  | 8:00 |  |  |  | 9:00 | 9:00 |
|  | 1 | 302 | 66 | 11 | 22 | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | 3 | 398 |
| PM Peak | 5:00 | 5:00 | 4:00 | 4:00 | 4:00 | 3:00 |  | 4:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 5:00 | 5:00 |
|  | 4 | 357 | 65 | 7 | 26 | 1 | * | 3 | * | * | * | * | * | 8 | 459 |

Axle Classification Report

Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2. 325 from Shaker Run

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 15 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Double | 5 Axle Double | $>6 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Double | $<6 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Multi | 6 Axle Multi | $>6 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Multi | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 6:00 | 1 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 70 | 23 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 299 | 51 | 9 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 389 |
| 9:00 | 2 | 284 | 57 | 6 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 371 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 186 | 45 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 170 | 36 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 223 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 195 | 51 | 5 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 |
| 1:00 | 1 | 233 | 48 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 310 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 216 | 47 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 286 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 211 | 49 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 286 |
| 4:00 | 1 | 288 | 60 | 12 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 384 |
| 5:00 | 2 | 319 | 53 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 404 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 300 | 47 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 366 |
| 7:00 | 3 | 175 | 38 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 224 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 130 | 25 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 119 | 20 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 55 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 30 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| Total | 12 | 3339 | 672 | 56 | 226 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 4342 |
| Percent | 0.3\% | 76.9\% | 15.5\% | 1.3\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |  |
| AM Peak | 9:00 | 8:00 | 9:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 |  | 8:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 8:00 | 8:00 |
|  | 2 | 299 | 57 | 9 | 21 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 7 | 389 |
| PM Peak | 7:00 | 5:00 | 4:00 | 4:00 | 1:00 |  |  | 1:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 5:00 | 5:00 |
|  | 3 | 319 | 60 | 12 | 23 | * | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 9 | 404 |

Axle Classification Report

Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: South, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} 2 / 16 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles | Cars \& Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5$ Axl Double | 5 Axle Double | >6 Axl Double | $\begin{gathered} <6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ \text { Multi } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{gathered} >6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 83 | 23 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 8:00 | 2 | 291 | 57 | 9 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 391 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 271 | 53 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 345 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 217 | 38 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 272 |
| 11:00 | 1 | 158 | 34 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 208 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 199 | 43 | 3 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 273 |
| 1:00 | 2 | 248 | 50 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 320 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 205 | 31 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 254 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 222 | 50 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 296 |
| 4:00 | 2 | 271 | 53 | 10 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 363 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 294 | 61 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 388 |
| 6:00 | 1 | 297 | 67 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 395 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 175 | 57 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 246 |
| 8:00 | 1 | 156 | 37 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 199 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 96 | 19 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 56 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 31 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| Total | 11 | 3345 | 706 | 42 | 242 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 4396 |
| Percent | 0.3\% | 76.1\% | 16.1\% | 1.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |  |
| AM Peak | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 6:00 |  | 7:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 8:00 | 8:00 |
|  | 2 | 291 | 57 | 9 | 24 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 7 | 391 |
| PM Peak | 1:00 | 6:00 | 6:00 | 4:00 | 12:00 PM | 12:00 PM |  | 1:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 4:00 | 6:00 |
|  | 2 | 297 | 67 | 10 | 24 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 7 | 395 |

Axle Classification Report
Serial Number: 39513

Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: South, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} 2 / 17 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles | Cars \& Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5$ Axl Double | 5 Axle Double | >6 Axl Double | <6 AxI <br> Multi | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{gathered} >6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ \text { Multi } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 40 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 70 | 20 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 8:00 | 1 | 270 | 47 | 9 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 352 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 258 | 52 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 339 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 166 | 33 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 223 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 115 | 39 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 171 |
| 12:00 PM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 4:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 5:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 6:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 7:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 8:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 9:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 10:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 11:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| Total | 1 | 979 | 209 | 20 | 93 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1313 |
| Percent | 0.1\% | 74.6\% | 15.9\% | 1.5\% | 7.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |  |
| AM Peak | 8:00 | 8:00 | 9:00 | 8:00 | 9:00 | 12:00 AM |  | 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 8:00 | 8:00 |
|  | 1 | 270 | 52 | 9 | 23 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 4 | 352 |
| PM Peak | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grand Total | 33 | 12408 | 2506 | 188 | 879 | 12 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 16179 |
| Percent | 0.2\% | 76.7\% | 15.5\% | 1.2\% | 5.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |  |

Axle Classification Report
Site Code: 182001
Serial Number: 39513
Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: Combined

| $\begin{array}{r} 2 / 13 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles | Cars \& Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | 2 Axle 6 Tire | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5$ Axl Double | 5 Axle Double | $>6$ AxI Double | $<6 \mathrm{AxI}$ Multi | 6 Axle Multi | $>6 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Multi | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 4:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 5:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 6:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 7:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 8:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 9:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 10:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 11:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 4:00 | 2 | 248 | 49 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 325 |
| 5:00 | 1 | 669 | 135 | 14 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 859 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 685 | 128 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 854 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 427 | 64 | 2 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 517 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 242 | 48 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 310 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 222 | 32 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 264 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 129 | 15 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 62 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| Total | 3 | 2684 | 486 | 27 | 142 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3363 |
| Percent | 0.1\% | 79.8\% | 14.5\% | 0.8\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |  |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| PM Peak | 4:00 | 6:00 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 7:00 |  | 4:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 6:00 | 5:00 |
|  | 2 | 685 | 135 | 14 | 35 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 6 | 859 |

Axle Classification Report
Site Code: 182001
Serial Number: 39513
Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { 2/14/2023 } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Double | 5 Axle Double | $\begin{aligned} & >6 \text { Axl } \\ & \text { Double } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline<6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ & \text { Multi } \end{aligned}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{gathered} >6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 55 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 43 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 59 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 136 | 35 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 194 |
| 8:00 | 2 | 532 | 117 | 23 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 726 |
| 9:00 | 1 | 514 | 104 | 11 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 666 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 349 | 69 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 453 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 352 | 86 | 4 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 468 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 422 | 89 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 544 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 542 | 119 | 2 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 711 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 515 | 80 | 6 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 653 |
| 3:00 | 3 | 495 | 88 | 19 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 644 |
| 4:00 | 1 | 617 | 125 | 13 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 814 |
| 5:00 | 4 | 725 | 125 | 12 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 921 |
| 6:00 | 2 | 733 | 112 | 6 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 901 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 472 | 79 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 575 |
| 8:00 | 1 | 350 | 82 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 452 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 264 | 41 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 324 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 165 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 91 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| Total | 16 | 7430 | 1417 | 117 | 451 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 9536 |
| Percent | 0.2\% | 77.9\% | 14.9\% | 1.2\% | 4.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |  |
| AM Peak | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 7:00 |  | 9:00 |  | 8:00 |  |  |  | 8:00 | 8:00 |
|  | 2 | 532 | 117 | 23 | 44 | 2 | * | 1 | * | 2 | * | * | * | 4 | 726 |
| PM Peak | 5:00 | 6:00 | 4:00 | 3:00 | 2:00 | 2:00 |  | 4:00 | 6:00 |  |  |  |  | 4:00 | 5:00 |
|  | 4 | 733 | 125 | 19 | 44 | 1 | * | 3 | 1 | * | * | * | * | 14 | 921 |

Axle Classification Report
Site Code: 182001
Serial Number: 39513
Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: Combined

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 15 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5 \mathrm{AxI}$ <br> Double | 5 Axle Double | $>6$ Axl Double | $\begin{aligned} & <6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ & \text { Multi } \end{aligned}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $>6 \mathrm{Axl}$ <br> Multi | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 5:00 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 6:00 | 1 | 39 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 122 | 40 | 8 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 562 | 110 | 21 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 746 |
| 9:00 | 2 | 465 | 98 | 10 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 611 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 327 | 80 | 4 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 442 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 305 | 74 | 6 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 412 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 371 | 92 | 7 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 506 |
| 1:00 | 2 | 467 | 86 | 2 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 595 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 456 | 93 | 6 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 584 |
| 3:00 | 2 | 453 | 117 | 17 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 639 |
| 4:00 | 3 | 591 | 118 | 18 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 776 |
| 5:00 | 4 | 723 | 114 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 900 |
| 6:00 | 3 | 669 | 118 | 3 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 830 |
| 7:00 | 4 | 441 | 84 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 550 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 306 | 62 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 383 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 252 | 47 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 315 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 134 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 68 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| Total | 24 | 6847 | 1386 | 116 | 427 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 8880 |
| Percent | 0.3\% | 77.1\% | 15.6\% | 1.3\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |  |
| AM Peak | 9:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 7:00 |  | 8:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 8:00 | 8:00 |
|  | 2 | 562 | 110 | 21 | 39 | 1 | * | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | 12 | 746 |
| PM Peak | 5:00 | 5:00 | 4:00 | 4:00 | 3:00 | 3:00 |  | 5:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 5:00 | 5:00 |
|  | 4 | 723 | 118 | 18 | 43 | 1 | * | 3 | * | * | * | * | * | 13 | 900 |

Axle Classification Report
Site Code: 182001
Serial Number: 39513
Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: Combined

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 16 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | < 5 Axl <br> Double | 5 Axle Double | $\begin{aligned} & >6 \mathrm{Axl} \\ & \text { Double } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { <6 AxI } \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{gathered} >6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 49 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 39 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 128 | 33 | 7 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| 8:00 | 2 | 555 | 108 | 21 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 750 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 462 | 95 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 593 |
| 10:00 | 1 | 371 | 62 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 467 |
| 11:00 | 1 | 317 | 74 | 3 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 426 |
| 12:00 PM | 2 | 379 | 83 | 6 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 511 |
| 1:00 | 3 | 458 | 97 | 5 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 599 |
| 2:00 | 2 | 448 | 84 | 5 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 573 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 473 | 107 | 18 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 632 |
| 4:00 | 3 | 572 | 122 | 17 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 766 |
| 5:00 | 2 | 675 | 131 | 11 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 872 |
| 6:00 | 1 | 677 | 140 | 3 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 873 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 438 | 105 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 570 |
| 8:00 | 1 | 368 | 84 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 464 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 244 | 55 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 317 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 143 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 64 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| Total | 19 | 6914 | 1454 | 106 | 457 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 9039 |
| Percent | 0.2\% | 76.5\% | 16.1\% | 1.2\% | 5.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |  |
| AM Peak | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 6:00 |  | 8:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 8:00 | 8:00 |
|  | 2 | 555 | 108 | 21 | 48 | 1 | * | 4 | * | * | * | * | * | 11 | 750 |
| PM Peak | 1:00 | 6:00 | 6:00 | 3:00 | 5:00 | 12:00 PM |  | 12:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  | 4:00 | 6:00 |
|  | 3 | 677 | 140 | 18 | 44 | 1 | * | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | 13 | 873 |

Axle Classification Report

Location 1: Sand Creek Road
Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: Combined $2 / 17 / 2023$ Motor Cars

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 17 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | Motor Cycles |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \end{gathered}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | <5 AxI <br> Double | 5 Axle Double | $\begin{aligned} & >6 \mathrm{Axl} \\ & \text { Double } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} <6 \mathrm{AxI} \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{gathered} >6 \text { Axl } \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | No Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 53 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 4:00 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 42 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 116 | 31 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 |
| 8:00 | 2 | 490 | 91 | 22 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 650 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 441 | 90 | 11 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 583 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 296 | 73 | 5 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 408 |
| 11:00 | 1 | 222 | 67 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 318 |
| 12:00 PM | , | * | * | * | , |  | * | * | * | * |  | * |  | * * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 4:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 5:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 6:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 7:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 8:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 9:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 10:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 11:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| Total | 5 | 1733 | 385 | 47 | 160 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2343 |
| Percent | 0.2\% | 74.0\% | 16.4\% | 2.0\% | 6.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |  |
| AM Peak | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 12:00 AM |  | 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  | 8:00 | 8:00 |
|  | 2 | 490 | 91 | 22 | 41 | 1 | * | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | 4 | 650 |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grand Total | 67 | 25608 | 5128 | 413 | 1637 | 22 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 33161 |
| Percent | 0.2\% | 77.2\% | 15.5\% | 1.2\% | 4.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |  |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: North, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 13 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \text { MPH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline>20-25 \\ & M P H \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>25-30 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>35-40 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline>50-55 \\ & M P H \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>65-70 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline>70-75 \\ & M P H \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | > 85 MPH | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 4:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 5:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 6:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 7:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 8:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 9:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 10:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 11:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 4:00 | 9 | 8 | 22 | 83 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 |
| 5:00 | 10 | 12 | 46 | 226 | 158 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 472 |
| 6:00 | 4 | 15 | 82 | 220 | 139 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 474 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 5 | 37 | 147 | 82 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 280 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 109 | 51 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 96 | 47 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 54 | 30 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| Total | 24 | 41 | 240 | 953 | 568 | 59 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1891 |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: North, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { 2/14/2023 } \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20-25 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25-30 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $>30-35$ | $\begin{gathered} >35-40 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65-70 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >70-75 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $>85 \mathrm{MPH}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 30 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| 8:00 | 8 | 13 | 46 | 174 | 99 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 112 | 113 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 268 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 84 | 89 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 |
| 11:00 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 85 | 77 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 |
| 12:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 17 | 126 | 92 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 249 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 176 | 141 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 361 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 141 | 153 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 342 |
| 3:00 | 6 | 5 | 19 | 165 | 164 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 372 |
| 4:00 | 11 | 4 | 26 | 195 | 180 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 436 |
| 5:00 | 5 | 2 | 33 | 224 | 179 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 462 |
| 6:00 | 9 | 11 | 50 | 279 | 160 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 519 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 2 | 40 | 174 | 93 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 317 |
| 8:00 | 1 | 6 | 32 | 141 | 99 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 283 |
| 9:00 | 1 | 4 | 34 | 99 | 64 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 43 | 42 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| Total | 49 | 50 | 430 | 2304 | 1812 | 217 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4880 |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: North, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} 2 / 15 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20-25 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25-30 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >35-40 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65-70 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >70-75 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | > 85 MPH | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 39 | 25 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| 8:00 | 12 | 26 | 41 | 166 | 105 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 357 |
| 9:00 | 3 | 3 | 26 | 95 | 104 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 99 | 75 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 |
| 11:00 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 103 | 61 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| 12:00 PM | 2 | 2 | 12 | 131 | 79 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 |
| 1:00 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 109 | 144 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 285 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 4 | 35 | 143 | 101 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 298 |
| 3:00 | 8 | 6 | 25 | 157 | 136 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 353 |
| 4:00 | 8 | 5 | 23 | 161 | 176 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 392 |
| 5:00 | 7 | 4 | 50 | 237 | 179 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 496 |
| 6:00 | 11 | 11 | 49 | 208 | 170 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 464 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 7 | 58 | 169 | 89 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 326 |
| 8:00 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 128 | 63 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 5 | 19 | 77 | 57 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 42 | 34 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Total | 56 | 79 | 439 | 2108 | 1656 | 180 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4538 |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: 325 ' from Shaker Run
Direction: North, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { 2/16/2023 } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0-20 \\ & \text { MPH } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20-25 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25-30 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ M P H \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >35-40 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ M P H \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65-70 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >70-75 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ \text { MPH } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $>85 \mathrm{MPH}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 36 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 8:00 | 12 | 17 | 60 | 160 | 101 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 359 |
| 9:00 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 131 | 90 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 |
| 10:00 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 96 | 67 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195 |
| 11:00 | 1 | 1 | 36 | 100 | 69 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 |
| 12:00 PM | 4 | 1 | 24 | 103 | 97 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 238 |
| 1:00 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 139 | 104 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 279 |
| 2:00 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 159 | 126 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 319 |
| 3:00 | 5 | 6 | 29 | 159 | 123 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 336 |
| 4:00 | 8 | 7 | 51 | 166 | 157 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 403 |
| 5:00 | 10 | 15 | 53 | 218 | 175 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 484 |
| 6:00 | 10 | 33 | 100 | 222 | 101 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 478 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 2 | 34 | 179 | 96 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 324 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 144 | 78 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 265 |
| 9:00 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 89 | 75 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 47 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| Total | 59 | 92 | 563 | 2188 | 1565 | 164 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4643 |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: $325^{\prime}$ from Shaker Run
Direction: North, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 17 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20-25 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25-30 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >35-40 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65-70 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >70-75 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $>85 \mathrm{MPH}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 34 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| 8:00 | 6 | 9 | 40 | 136 | 99 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 298 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 103 | 88 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 244 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 99 | 59 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 67 | 49 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 |
| 12:00 PM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 4:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 5:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 6:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 7:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 8:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 9:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 10:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 11:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| Total | 6 | 19 | 144 | 470 | 336 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1030 |
| Grand Total | 194 | 281 | 1816 | 8023 | 5937 | 671 | 48 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 16982 |
| Stats |  |  | Percentile | 15th | 50th | 85th | 95th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Speed | 30 | 34 | 38 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mean Speed | (Average) | 33.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10 MPH P | ace Speed | 30-39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Numb | er in Pace | 13878 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Perce | ent in Pace | 81.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Number | $>45 \mathrm{MPH}$ | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Percent | $>45 \mathrm{MPH}$ | 0.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

M.J. Engineering and

Land Surveying, P.C.
1533 Crescent Road, Clifton Park, NY 12065
Phone: 518.371 .0799 Fox: 518310202
Phone:518.371.0799/ Fax: 518.371.0822
wwww.mielscom
www.mjels.com
Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: South, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 13 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \text { MPH } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline>20-25 \\ & M P H \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>25-30 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>35-40 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>55-60 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>65-70 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline>70-75 \\ & M P H \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $>85 \mathrm{MPH}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 1:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 2:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 3:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 4:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 5:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * | * | * |  | * * | 0 |
| 6:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * | * | * |  | * * | 0 |
| 7:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 8:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 9:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * * | 0 |
| 10:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * * | 0 |
| 11:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 12:00 PM |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * * | * | * | * |  | * * | 0 |
| 1:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | 0 |
| 2:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * | * | * |  | * * | 0 |
| 3:00 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * | * | * |  | * * | 0 |
| 4:00 |  | 8 | 11 | 28 | 70 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 |
| 5:00 |  | 1 | 1 | 33 | 148 | 161 | 38 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 387 |
| 6:00 |  | 1 | 0 | 38 | 146 | 155 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 380 |
| 7:00 |  | 0 | 1 | 19 | 82 | 106 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 |
| 8:00 |  | 0 | 1 | 4 | 39 | 54 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| 9:00 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 38 | 44 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
| 10:00 |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 26 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 11:00 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| Total |  | 10 | 15 | 130 | 542 | 595 | 161 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1472 |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: South, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 14 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \text { MPH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20-25 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25-30 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >35-40 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65-70 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >70-75 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $>85 \mathrm{MPH}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 54 | 50 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 8:00 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 170 | 140 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 372 |
| 9:00 | 3 | 2 | 25 | 187 | 157 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 398 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 103 | 115 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 260 |
| 11:00 | 2 | 1 | 20 | 106 | 113 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 269 |
| 12:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 20 | 97 | 135 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295 |
| 1:00 | 1 | 1 | 27 | 96 | 176 | 42 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 |
| 2:00 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 121 | 127 | 38 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 311 |
| 3:00 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 92 | 117 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 |
| 4:00 | 6 | 1 | 18 | 160 | 163 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 378 |
| 5:00 | 6 | 0 | 36 | 189 | 190 | 35 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 459 |
| 6:00 | 5 | 1 | 24 | 137 | 180 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 382 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 90 | 114 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258 |
| 8:00 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 54 | 79 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 36 | 63 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 38 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 25 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| Total | 39 | 9 | 322 | 1756 | 2019 | 450 | 53 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4656 |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: South, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} 2 / 15 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \text { MPH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20-25 \\ M P H \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25-30 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ \text { MPH } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >35-40 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ M P H \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ M P H \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65-70 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >70-75 \\ \text { MPH } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ \text { MPH } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $>85 \mathrm{MPH}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 6:00 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 57 | 38 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| 8:00 | 2 | 1 | 38 | 169 | 159 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 |
| 9:00 | 4 | 5 | 43 | 187 | 113 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 371 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 115 | 91 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 87 | 88 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 |
| 12:00 PM | 2 | 3 | 23 | 97 | 110 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 |
| 1:00 | 2 | 1 | 27 | 105 | 136 | 35 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 310 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 125 | 118 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 286 |
| 3:00 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 102 | 121 | 38 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 286 |
| 4:00 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 127 | 181 | 37 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 384 |
| 5:00 | 8 | 3 | 35 | 154 | 163 | 39 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 404 |
| 6:00 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 132 | 173 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 366 |
| 7:00 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 72 | 93 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 224 |
| 8:00 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 52 | 71 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 43 | 51 | 34 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 23 | 19 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| Total | 31 | 25 | 357 | 1677 | 1764 | 439 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4342 |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: South, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 16 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \text { MPH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20-25 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25-30 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >35-40 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65-70 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >70-75 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ \text { MPH } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | > 85 MPH | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 6:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 51 | 47 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 8:00 | 7 | 2 | 35 | 180 | 145 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 391 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 168 | 126 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 2 | 37 | 101 | 109 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 |
| 11:00 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 94 | 70 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 44 | 125 | 74 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 |
| 1:00 | 4 | 1 | 34 | 147 | 105 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 75 | 120 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 |
| 3:00 | 4 | 2 | 25 | 115 | 122 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 296 |
| 4:00 | 8 | 0 | 52 | 161 | 119 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 363 |
| 5:00 | 4 | 1 | 28 | 148 | 165 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 388 |
| 6:00 | 2 | 4 | 51 | 149 | 157 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 395 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 81 | 116 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 246 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 77 | 91 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 40 | 52 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 31 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| Total | 33 | 20 | 434 | 1767 | 1702 | 399 | 34 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4396 |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: $325^{\prime}$ from Shaker Run
Direction: South, Lane 1

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 17 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20-25 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25-30 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >35-40 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65-70 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $>70-75$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $>85 \mathrm{MPH}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 24 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 42 | 36 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 8:00 | 4 | 1 | 37 | 167 | 116 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 7 | 47 | 168 | 103 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 339 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 4 | 32 | 98 | 76 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 77 | 48 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 |
| 12:00 PM | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 4:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 5:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 6:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 7:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 8:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 9:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 10:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 11:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| Total | 4 | 14 | 162 | 582 | 433 | 99 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1313 |
| Grand Total | 117 | 83 | 1405 | 6324 | 6513 | 1548 | 167 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16179 |
| Stats |  |  | Percentile | 15th | 50th | 85th | 95th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Speed | 31 | 35 | 39 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mean Speed | (Average) | 35.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10 MPH | ace Speed | 30-39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Num | er in Pace | 12735 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Perc | ent in Pace | 78.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Numbe | $>45 \mathrm{MPH}$ | 189 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Percen | $>45 \mathrm{MPH}$ | 1.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: $325^{\prime}$ from Shaker Run
Direction: Combined

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 13 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \text { MPH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20-25 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25-30 \\ \text { MPH } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >35-40 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65-70 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >70-75 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $>85 \mathrm{MPH}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * |  | * * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * |  | * * |  | * * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * * | 0 |
| 4:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * |  | * * | 0 |
| 5:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * |  | * * | 0 |
| 6:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * |  | * * | 0 |
| 7:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * |  | * * | 0 |
| 8:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * |  | * * | 0 |
| 9:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * * | 0 |
| 10:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * |  | * * | 0 |
| 11:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * |  | * * | 0 |
| 12:00 PM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * | * | * * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * |  | * * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * * |  | * * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * |  | * * |  | * * | 0 |
| 4:00 | 17 | 19 | 50 | 153 | 77 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 325 |
| 5:00 | 11 | 13 | 79 | 374 | 319 | 56 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 859 |
| 6:00 | 5 | 15 | 120 | 366 | 294 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 854 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 6 | 56 | 229 | 188 | 32 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 517 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 148 | 105 | 28 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 310 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 134 | 91 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 264 |
| 10:00 | 0 | , | 15 | 64 | 56 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 27 | 33 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| Total | 34 | 56 | 370 | 1495 | 1163 | 220 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3363 |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: Combined

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 14 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20-25 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25-30 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >35-40 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65-70 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >70-75 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | > 85 MPH | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 22 | 15 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 84 | 72 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 194 |
| 8:00 | 13 | 13 | 76 | 344 | 239 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 726 |
| 9:00 | 3 | 3 | 45 | 299 | 270 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 666 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 187 | 204 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 453 |
| 11:00 | 3 | 1 | 36 | 191 | 190 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 468 |
| 12:00 PM | 8 | 0 | 37 | 223 | 227 | 42 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 544 |
| 1:00 | 1 | 3 | 52 | 272 | 317 | 59 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 711 |
| 2:00 | 3 | 0 | 43 | 262 | 280 | 61 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 653 |
| 3:00 | 8 | 5 | 44 | 257 | 281 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 644 |
| 4:00 | 17 | 5 | 44 | 355 | 343 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 814 |
| 5:00 | 11 | 2 | 69 | 413 | 369 | 53 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 921 |
| 6:00 | 14 | 12 | 74 | 416 | 340 | 38 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 901 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 2 | 65 | 264 | 207 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 575 |
| 8:00 | 4 | 6 | 44 | 195 | 178 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 452 |
| 9:00 | 1 | 4 | 38 | 135 | 127 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 324 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 71 | 80 | 19 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 28 | 50 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| Total | 88 | 59 | 752 | 4060 | 3831 | 667 | 69 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9536 |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: 325 ' from Shaker Run
Direction: Combined

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 15 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0-20 \\ & \text { MPH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>20-25 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline>25-30 \\ & \text { MPH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>30-35 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>35-40 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >40-45 \\ & M P H \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>45-50 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>60-65 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>65-70 \\ \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >70-75 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>75-80 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | > 85 MPH | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 6:00 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 96 | 63 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 |
| 8:00 | 14 | 27 | 79 | 335 | 264 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 746 |
| 9:00 | 7 | 8 | 69 | 282 | 217 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 611 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 2 | 38 | 214 | 166 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 442 |
| 11:00 | 1 | 2 | 38 | 190 | 149 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 412 |
| 12:00 PM | 4 | 5 | 35 | 228 | 189 | 41 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 506 |
| 1:00 | 3 | 1 | 41 | 214 | 280 | 52 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 595 |
| 2:00 | 2 | 7 | 46 | 268 | 219 | 39 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 584 |
| 3:00 | 9 | 8 | 42 | 259 | 257 | 58 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 639 |
| 4:00 | 13 | 5 | 52 | 288 | 357 | 53 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 776 |
| 5:00 | 15 | 7 | 85 | 391 | 342 | 56 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 900 |
| 6:00 | 12 | 12 | 78 | 340 | 343 | 37 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 830 |
| 7:00 | 3 | 8 | 80 | 241 | 182 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 550 |
| 8:00 | 2 | 4 | 27 | 180 | 134 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 383 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 6 | 35 | 120 | 108 | 43 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 315 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 65 | 53 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 23 | 35 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| Total | 87 | 104 | 796 | 3785 | 3420 | 619 | 63 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8880 |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: Combined

| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2 / 16 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20-25 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25-30 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >35-40 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65-70 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >70-75 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $>85 \mathrm{MPH}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 18 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 6:00 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 18 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 87 | 64 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| 8:00 | 19 | 19 | 95 | 340 | 246 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 |
| 9:00 | 1 | 4 | 44 | 299 | 216 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 593 |
| 10:00 | 1 | 2 | 55 | 197 | 176 | 29 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 467 |
| 11:00 | 2 | 3 | 57 | 194 | 139 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 426 |
| 12:00 PM | 5 | 1 | 68 | 228 | 171 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 511 |
| 1:00 | 7 | 1 | 53 | 286 | 209 | 40 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 599 |
| 2:00 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 234 | 246 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 573 |
| 3:00 | 9 | 8 | 54 | 274 | 245 | 39 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 632 |
| 4:00 | 16 | 7 | 103 | 327 | 276 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 766 |
| 5:00 | 14 | 16 | 81 | 366 | 340 | 52 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 872 |
| 6:00 | 12 | 37 | 151 | 371 | 258 | 39 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 873 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 4 | 50 | 260 | 212 | 40 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 570 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 3 | 46 | 221 | 169 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 464 |
| 9:00 | 1 | 3 | 26 | 129 | 127 | 28 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 317 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 65 | 79 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 25 | 35 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| Total | 92 | 112 | 997 | 3955 | 3267 | 563 | 44 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9039 |

Vehicle Speed Report

Location 2: 325' from Shaker Run
Direction: Combined

| $\begin{array}{r} 2 / 17 / 2023 \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \\ & \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20-25 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25-30 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30-35 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >35-40 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40-45 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45-50 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50-55 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55-60 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60-65 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65-70 \\ M P H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \gg 70-75 \\ & M P H \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >75-80 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >80-85 \\ \text { MPH } \end{gathered}$ | $>85 \mathrm{MPH}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 29 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 17 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 76 | 50 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 |
| 8:00 | 10 | 10 | 77 | 303 | 215 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 650 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 10 | 82 | 271 | 191 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 583 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 4 | 52 | 197 | 135 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 408 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 5 | 44 | 144 | 97 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 318 |
| 12:00 PM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 1:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 2:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 3:00 | * | * * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 4:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 5:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | 0 |
| 6:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 7:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 8:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 9:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 10:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| 11:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| Total | 10 | 33 | 306 | 1052 | 769 | 150 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2343 |
| Grand Total | 311 | 364 | 3221 | 14347 | 12450 | 2219 | 215 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 33161 |
| Stats |  |  | Percentile | 15th | 50th | 85th | 95th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Speed | 31 | 34 | 38 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mean Speed | (Average) | 34.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10 MPH P | ace Speed | 30-39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Numb | er in Pace | 26613 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Perce | ent in Pace | 80.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Number | $>45 \mathrm{MPH}$ | 249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Percent | $>45 \mathrm{MPH}$ | 0.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EXISTING <br> TRAFFIC ANALYSIS RESULTS

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | SET | SER | NWL | NWT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 31 | 83 | 325 | 48 | 53 | 216 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 31 | 83 | 325 | 48 | 53 | 216 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 34 | 90 | 353 | 52 | 58 | 235 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 731 | 380 | 0 | 0 | 406 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 380 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 351 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 389 | 667 | - | - | 1153 | - |
| Stage 1 | 691 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 713 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 366 | 666 | - | - | 1152 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 366 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 690 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 672 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | SE |  | NW |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.5 |  | 0 |  | 1.6 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NWL NWT EBLn1 |  |  | SET | SER |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1152 | - | 545 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.05 | - | 0.227 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.3 | 0 | 13.5 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | 0.9 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | SET | SER | NWL | NWT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 34 | 74 | 278 | 51 | 123 | 376 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 34 | 74 | 278 | 51 | 123 | 376 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 37 | 80 | 302 | 55 | 134 | 409 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1008 | 331 | 0 | 0 | 358 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 331 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 677 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 269 | 715 | - | - | 1212 | - |
| Stage 1 | 732 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 509 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 230 | 714 | - | - | 1211 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 230 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 731 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 436 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | SE |  | NW |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 16.5 |  | 0 |  | 2.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NWL NWT EBLn1 |  |  | SET | SER |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1211 | - | 429 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.11 | - | 0.274 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.3 | 0 | 16.5 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.4 | - | 1.1 | - | - |


| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 94 | 370 | 33 | 3 | 244 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 40 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 94 | 370 | 33 | 3 | 244 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 40 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 102 | 402 | 36 | 3 | 265 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 9 | 43 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 220 | 813 | 68 | 75 | 1049 | 59 | 183 | 209 | 42 | 116 | 81 | 216 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 224 | 1356 | 113 | 3 | 1748 | 98 | 420 | 1043 | 209 | 146 | 405 | 1078 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 540 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1693 | 0 | 0 | 1849 | 0 | 0 | 1672 | 0 | 0 | 1629 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.19 |  | 0.07 | 0.01 |  | 0.05 | 0.37 |  | 0.12 | 0.20 |  | 0.66 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 1101 | 0 | 0 | 1182 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 0 | 0 | 412 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 1265 | 0 | 0 | 1366 | 0 | 0 | 754 | 0 | 0 | 728 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | A | A | B | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 540 |  |  | 283 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 65 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 6.0 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 16.1 |  |  | 16.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ |  | 15.0 |  | 35.0 |  | 15.0 |  | 35.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 20.0 |  | 35.0 |  | 20.0 |  | 35.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_ctl1), s |  | 2.2 |  | 10.3 |  | 3.6 |  | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.0 |  | 4.0 |  | 0.2 |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 99 | 677 | 8 | 0 | 623 | 6 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 37 | , | 126 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 99 | 677 | 8 | 0 | 623 | 6 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 37 | 3 | 126 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 136 | 744 | 16 | 0 | 649 | 12 | 56 | 28 | 8 | 52 | 8 | 164 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.73 | 0.91 | 0.50 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.50 | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.38 | 0.77 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 179 | 800 | 16 | 0 | 1178 | 22 | 246 | 110 | 24 | 129 | 31 | 216 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 163 | 1262 | 26 | 0 | 1859 | 34 | 760 | 593 | 129 | 262 | 166 | 1168 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 896 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 661 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1451 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1894 | 1481 | 0 | 0 | 1595 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 33.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.15 |  | 0.02 | 0.00 |  | 0.02 | 0.61 |  | 0.09 | 0.23 |  | 0.73 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1200 | 379 | 0 | 0 | 376 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1200 | 629 | 0 | 0 | 652 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ (50\%),veh/ln | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 21.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | A | A | A | A | A | B | A | A | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 896 |  |  | 661 |  |  | 92 |  |  | 224 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 21.2 |  |  | 6.2 |  |  | 19.7 |  |  | 22.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{C}$ ), $s$ |  | 15.2 |  | 40.0 |  | 15.2 |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 20.0 |  | 35.0 |  | 20.0 |  | 35.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 4.7 |  | 35.0 |  | 9.3 |  | 12.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.4 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.9 |  | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr Delay |  |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

FUTURE
TRAFFIC ANALYSIS RESULTS

1: Hunting Rd


| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\oplus$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 94 | 370 | 33 | 3 | 244 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 40 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 94 | 370 | 33 | 3 | 244 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 40 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 102 | 402 | 36 | 3 | 265 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 9 | 43 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 706 | 969 | 87 | 547 | 891 | 50 | 155 | 177 | 35 | 99 | 68 | 182 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 1692 | 151 | 1781 | 1753 | 99 | 420 | 1043 | 209 | 151 | 400 | 1077 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 102 | 0 | 438 | 3 | 0 | 280 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1781 | 0 | 1843 | 1781 | 0 | 1852 | 1672 | 0 | 0 | 1627 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.5 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.5 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.05 | 0.37 |  | 0.12 | 0.20 |  | 0.66 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 706 | 0 | 1055 | 547 | 0 | 941 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 349 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 735 | 0 | 1092 | 691 | 0 | 1098 | 638 | 0 | 0 | 616 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 5.6 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 5.7 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | C | A | A | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 540 |  |  | 283 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 65 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 7.0 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 20.5 |  |  | 21.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c)$ s | 15.0 | 5.2 | 38.8 | 15.0 | 9.1 | 35.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.2 | 2.0 | 9.9 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 7.2 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 8.7

HCM 6th LOS A

1: Hunting Rd


C Critical Lane Group

| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\oplus$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 94 | 370 | 33 | 3 | 244 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 40 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 94 | 370 | 33 | 3 | 244 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 40 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 104 | 410 | 37 | 3 | 271 | 16 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 9 | 44 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 701 | 968 | 87 | 540 | 888 | 52 | 155 | 176 | 35 | 98 | 67 | 184 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 1690 | 153 | 1781 | 1749 | 103 | 420 | 1043 | 209 | 149 | 394 | 1085 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 104 | 0 | 447 | 3 | 0 | 287 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1781 | 0 | 1843 | 1781 | 0 | 1852 | 1671 | 0 | 0 | 1627 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.5 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.5 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.06 | 0.37 |  | 0.12 | 0.20 |  | 0.67 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 701 | 0 | 1056 | 540 | 0 | 940 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 348 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 728 | 0 | 1092 | 684 | 0 | 1097 | 638 | 0 | 0 | 616 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 5.6 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 5.7 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | C | A | A | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 551 |  |  | 290 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 66 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 7.1 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 20.5 |  |  | 21.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 15.0 | 5.2 | 38.9 | 15.0 | 9.1 | 35.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.2 | 2.0 | 10.1 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 7.3 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.8 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 8.7

HCM 6th LOS A

1: Hunting Rd

c Critical Lane Group

Sand Creek - AM 2040 AM peak hour 8:00AM to 9:00AM 10:55 am 10/13/2023 Alt. A (AM 2040)
Synchro 11 Report
J. Beeman

Page 1

| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\oplus$ |  |  | $\oplus$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 94 | 370 | 33 | 3 | 244 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 40 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 94 | 370 | 33 | 3 | 244 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 40 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 107 | 422 | 38 | 3 | 278 | 16 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 14 | 9 | 46 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 696 | 969 | 87 | 531 | 888 | 51 | 141 | 196 | 32 | 100 | 65 | 183 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 1691 | 152 | 1781 | 1751 | 101 | 354 | 1156 | 189 | 156 | 385 | 1083 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 107 | 0 | 460 | 3 | 0 | 294 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1781 | 0 | 1843 | 1781 | 0 | 1852 | 1699 | 0 | 0 | 1624 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.5 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.5 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.05 | 0.33 |  | 0.11 | 0.20 |  | 0.67 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 696 | 0 | 1056 | 531 | 0 | 940 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 348 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 722 | 0 | 1091 | 674 | 0 | 1096 | 644 | 0 | 0 | 615 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 5.6 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 5.7 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | C | A | A | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 567 |  |  | 297 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 7.1 |  |  | 8.7 |  |  | 20.5 |  |  | 21.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 15.0 | 5.2 | 38.9 | 15.0 | 9.1 | 35.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.2 | 2.0 | 10.4 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 7.5 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.8 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 8.8
HCM 6th LOS

1: Hunting Rd

c Critical Lane Group

Sand Creek - AM 2050 AM peak hour 8:00AM to 9:00AM 11:11 am 10/13/2023 Alt. A (AM 2050)
Synchro 11 Report
J. Beeman

Page 1

| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{4}$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\oplus$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 94 | 370 | 33 | 3 | 244 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 40 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 94 | 370 | 33 | 3 | 244 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 40 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 110 | 434 | 39 | 4 | 286 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 14 | 9 | 47 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 690 | 968 | 87 | 522 | 889 | 50 | 163 | 175 | 28 | 99 | 64 | 184 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.01 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 1691 | 152 | 1781 | 1755 | 98 | 461 | 1034 | 166 | 154 | 379 | 1090 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 110 | 0 | 473 | 4 | 0 | 302 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1781 | 0 | 1843 | 1781 | 0 | 1853 | 1662 | 0 | 0 | 1624 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.6 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.6 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.05 | 0.40 |  | 0.10 | 0.20 |  | 0.67 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 690 | 0 | 1055 | 522 | 0 | 939 | 366 | 0 | 0 | 347 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 715 | 0 | 1090 | 663 | 0 | 1096 | 635 | 0 | 0 | 614 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 5.6 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 5.8 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | C | A | A | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 583 |  |  | 306 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 7.2 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  | 20.6 |  |  | 21.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 15.0 | 5.3 | 38.9 | 15.0 | 9.2 | 35.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.3 | 2.1 | 10.7 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 7.7 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.9 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 8.9

HCM 6th LOS


| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 99 | 677 | 8 | 0 | 623 | 6 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 37 | , | 126 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 99 | 677 | 8 | 0 | 623 | 6 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 37 | 3 | 126 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 136 | 744 | 16 | 0 | 649 | 12 | 56 | 28 | 8 | 52 | 8 | 164 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.73 | 0.91 | 0.50 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.50 | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.38 | 0.77 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 432 | 1212 | 26 | 425 | 924 | 17 | 228 | 102 | 22 | 121 | 29 | 211 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1810 | 1853 | 40 | 1810 | 1859 | 34 | 734 | 567 | 124 | 266 | 163 | 1170 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 136 | 0 | 760 | 0 | 0 | 661 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1810 | 0 | 1893 | 1810 | 0 | 1894 | 1425 | 0 | 0 | 1598 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.9 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.9 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 0.61 |  | 0.09 | 0.23 |  | 0.73 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 432 | 0 | 1238 | 425 | 0 | 941 | 353 | 0 | 0 | 362 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 448 | 0 | 1238 | 571 | 0 | 1098 | 566 | 0 | 0 | 597 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 8.5 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.6 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 8.9 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.4 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | B | C | A | A | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 896 |  |  | 661 |  |  | 92 |  |  | 224 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 7.2 |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 21.8 |  |  | 25.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 15.9 | 0.0 | 44.5 | 15.9 | 9.5 | 35.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+\|1), s | 5.1 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 10.0 | 3.9 | 18.3 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.4 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 4.2 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 12.3
HCM 6th LOS B

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 99 | 677 | 8 | 0 | 623 | 6 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 37 | 3 | 126 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 99 | 677 | 8 | 0 | 623 | 6 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 37 | 3 | 126 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 138 | 759 | 16 | 0 | 662 | 12 | 57 | 28 | 8 | 53 | 8 | 167 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.73 | 0.91 | 0.50 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.50 | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.38 | 0.77 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 422 | 1209 | 25 | 413 | 921 | 17 | 230 | 101 | 22 | 122 | 29 | 214 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1810 | 1854 | 39 | 1810 | 1860 | 34 | 733 | 554 | 121 | 267 | 161 | 1172 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 138 | 0 | 775 | 0 | 0 | 674 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1810 | 0 | 1893 | 1810 | 0 | 1894 | 1408 | 0 | 0 | 1600 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 2.0 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 2.0 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 0.61 |  | 0.09 | 0.23 |  | 0.73 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 422 | 0 | 1235 | 413 | 0 | 938 | 353 | 0 | 0 | 365 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.72 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 436 | 0 | 1235 | 559 | 0 | 1094 | 561 | 0 | 0 | 596 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 8.8 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.6 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 9.2 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | B | C | A | A | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 913 |  |  | 674 |  |  | 93 |  |  | 228 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 7.5 |  |  | 13.9 |  |  | 21.8 |  |  | 25.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 16.1 | 0.0 | 44.5 | 16.1 | 9.5 | 35.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+\|1), s | 5.2 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 10.2 | 4.0 | 18.9 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.4 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 4.3 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 12.6

HCM 6th LOS B

| Movement | EBL | EBR | SET | SER | NWL | NWT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | Kr |  | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 34 | 74 | 278 | 51 | 123 | 376 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 34 | 74 | 278 | 51 | 123 | 376 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 0.91 |  | 0.98 |  |  | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.98 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1698 |  | 1854 |  |  | 1877 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.89 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.82 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1541 |  | 1854 |  |  | 1558 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | $105 \%$ | $105 \%$ | $105 \%$ | $105 \%$ | $105 \%$ | $105 \%$ |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 39 | 84 | 317 | 58 | 140 | 429 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 70 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 53 | 0 | 365 | 0 | 0 | 569 |
| Confl Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |


| Confl. Peds. (\#hr) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 6 |


| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 27.4 |  | 27.4 |


| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 7.8 | 27.4 | 27.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 265 | 1123 | 944 |


| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.20 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio Perm | c 0.03 |  | c 0.37 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.60 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 16.0 | 4.4 | 5.5 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| Delay (s) | 16.4 | 4.5 | 6.6 |
| Level of Service | B | A | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 16.4 | 4.5 | 6.6 |
| Approach LOS | B | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 7.0 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.51 |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 45.2 | Sum of lost time (s) | 10.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $67.4 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | C |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 99 | 677 | 8 | 0 | 623 | 6 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 37 | 3 | 126 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 99 | 677 | 8 | 0 | 623 | 6 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 37 | 3 | 126 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 142 | 781 | 17 | 0 | 681 | 13 | 59 | 29 | 8 | 55 | 8 | 172 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.73 | 0.91 | 0.50 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.50 | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.38 | 0.77 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 405 | 1203 | 26 | 394 | 915 | 17 | 231 | 102 | 22 | 124 | 29 | 219 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1810 | 1852 | 40 | 1810 | 1858 | 35 | 725 | 545 | 115 | 272 | 158 | 1173 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 142 | 0 | 798 | 0 | 0 | 694 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1810 | 0 | 1893 | 1810 | 0 | 1894 | 1386 | 0 | 0 | 1603 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 2.1 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 2.1 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 0.61 |  | 0.08 | 0.23 |  | 0.73 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 405 | 0 | 1229 | 394 | 0 | 933 | 354 | 0 | 0 | 372 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 418 | 0 | 1229 | 539 | 0 | 1088 | 553 | 0 | 0 | 593 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 9.3 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.6 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 9.8 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | B | C | A | A | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 940 |  |  | 694 |  |  | 96 |  |  | 235 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 8.0 |  |  | 14.7 |  |  | 21.8 |  |  | 25.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 16.4 | 0.0 | 44.5 | 16.4 | 9.5 | 35.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 5.4 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 10.4 | 4.1 | 19.9 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.4 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 4.3 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 13.1
HCM 6th LOS B

| Movement | EBL | EBR | SET | SER | NWL | NWT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 34 | 74 | 278 | 51 | 123 | 376 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 34 | 74 | 278 | 51 | 123 | 376 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.91 |  | 0.98 |  |  | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.98 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1698 |  | 1854 |  |  | 1877 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.89 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.82 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1541 |  | 1854 |  |  | 1550 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 108\% | 108\% | 108\% | 108\% | 108\% | 108\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 40 | 87 | 326 | 60 | 144 | 441 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 72 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 55 | 0 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 585 |


| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |


| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 7.8 | 27.9 | 27.9 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 7.8 | 27.9 | 27.9 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 263 | 1131 | 946 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.20 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.04 |  | c0.38 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.62 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 16.3 | 4.3 | 5.6 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.2 |
| Delay (s) | 16.7 | 4.5 | 6.8 |
| Level of Service | B | A | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 16.7 | 4.5 | 6.8 |
| Approach LOS | B | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 7.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.53 |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 45.7 | Sum of lost time (s) | 10.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $68.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | C |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 99 | 677 | 8 | 0 | 623 | 6 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 37 | 3 | 126 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 99 | 677 | 8 | 0 | 623 | 6 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 37 | 3 | 126 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 146 | 803 | 17 | 0 | 701 | 13 | 61 | 30 | 0 | 56 | 9 | 177 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.73 | 0.91 | 0.50 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.50 | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.38 | 0.77 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 389 | 1198 | 25 | 376 | 911 | 17 | 230 | 102 | 23 | 124 | 31 | 223 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1810 | 1854 | 39 | 1810 | 1859 | 34 | 711 | 536 | 123 | 270 | 162 | 1175 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 146 | 0 | 820 | 0 | 0 | 714 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1810 | 0 | 1893 | 1810 | 0 | 1894 | 1369 | 0 | 0 | 1607 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 2.2 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 2.2 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 0.61 |  | 0.09 | 0.23 |  | 0.73 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 389 | 0 | 1224 | 376 | 0 | 928 | 355 | 0 | 0 | 378 | 0 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 401 | 0 | 1224 | 520 | 0 | 1083 | 546 | 0 | 0 | 591 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 9.8 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.7 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 10.4 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | A | A | A | A | B | C | A | A | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 966 |  |  | 714 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 242 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 8.5 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 21.8 |  |  | 25.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 16.6 | 0.0 | 44.6 | 16.6 | 9.6 | 35.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+\|1), s | 5.6 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 10.7 | 4.2 | 20.9 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.4 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 4.3 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 13.7
HCM 6th LOS B

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | SE |  | NW |  | NE |  | SW |
| Entry Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 540 |  | 283 |  | 8 |  | 65 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 551 |  | 288 |  | 8 |  | 66 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 25 |  | 111 |  | 527 |  | 276 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 317 |  | 424 |  | 49 |  | 123 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 6.7 |  | 5.1 |  | 4.6 |  | 4.1 |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  | A |  | A |
| Lane | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  |
| Designated Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| Assumed Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 551 |  | 288 |  | 8 |  | 66 |  |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1345 |  | 1232 |  | 806 |  | 1041 |  |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.980 |  | 0.982 |  | 0.990 |  | 0.982 |  |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 540 |  | 283 |  | 8 |  | 65 |  |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1318 |  | 1210 |  | 798 |  | 1023 |  |
| VIC Ratio | 0.410 |  | 0.234 |  | 0.010 |  | 0.063 |  |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 6.7 |  | 5.1 |  | 4.6 |  | 4.1 |  |
| LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 2 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 6.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |
| Approach | SE | NW | NE | SW |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 551 | 290 | 8 | 66 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 562 | 295 | 8 | 67 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 25 | 113 | 537 | 282 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 324 | 432 | 50 | 126 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 6.8 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.1 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 562 | 295 | 8 | 67 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1345 | 1230 | 798 | 1035 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.980 | 0.982 | 0.990 | 0.982 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 551 | 290 | 8 | 66 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1318 | 1207 | 790 | 1017 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.418 | 0.240 | 0.010 | 0.065 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 6.8 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.1 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 6.2 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |
| Approach | SE | 1 | 1 | SW |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 297 | 1 |  |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 567 | 303 | 9 | 69 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 578 | 117 | 553 | 70 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 26 | 445 | 51 | 290 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 334 | 0 | 0 | 130 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 1.000 | 0 |  |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 5.2 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, slveh | 6.9 | A | 4.7 | 4.2 |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 578 | 303 | 9 | 70 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1344 | 1225 | 785 | 1027 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.980 | 0.982 | 0.989 | 0.983 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 567 | 297 | 9 | 69 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1317 | 1202 | 776 | 1009 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.430 | 0.247 | 0.011 | 0.068 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 6.9 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 4.2 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | SE | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 306 | 10 | 70 |  |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 583 | 312 | 10 | 71 |  |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 595 | 121 | 569 | 300 |  |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 27 | 458 | 53 | 133 |  |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 344 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 4.2 |  |
| Approach Delay, slveh | 7.1 | A | A | A |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 595 | 312 | 10 | 71 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1342 | 1220 | 772 | 1016 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.980 | 0.982 | 0.990 | 0.983 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 583 | 306 | 10 | 70 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1316 | 1197 | 765 | 999 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.443 | 0.256 | 0.013 | 0.070 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 7.1 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 11.3 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |
| Approach | SE | NW | NE | SW |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 896 | 661 | 92 | 224 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 896 | 661 | 92 | 224 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 60 | 220 | 932 | 705 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 869 | 804 | 24 | 176 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 12.2 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 9.7 |
| Approach LOS | B | B | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 896 | 661 | 92 | 224 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1298 | 1103 | 533 | 672 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 896 | 661 | 92 | 224 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1298 | 1103 | 533 | 672 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.690 | 0.600 | 0.172 | 0.333 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 12.2 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 9.7 |
| LOS | B | B | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 11.7 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |
| Approach | SE | NW | NE | SW |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 913 | 674 | 93 | 228 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 913 | 674 | 93 | 228 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 61 | 223 | 950 | 719 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 886 | 820 | 24 | 178 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 12.6 | 11.4 | 9.2 | 10.0 |
| Approach LOS | B | B | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 913 | 674 | 93 | 228 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1297 | 1099 | 524 | 663 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 913 | 674 | 93 | 228 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1297 | 1099 | 524 | 663 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.704 | 0.613 | 0.178 | 0.344 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 12.6 | 11.4 | 9.2 | 10.0 |
| LOS | B | B | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 6 | 4 | 1 | 2 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 12.4 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  | NW | NE |
| Approach | SE | 1 | 1 | SW |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 694 | 1 |  |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 940 | 694 | 96 | 235 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 940 | 230 | 978 | 740 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 63 | 844 | 184 |  |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 912 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 12.1 | 9.7 | 10.5 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 13.4 | B | A | B |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR |  |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 4.909 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 235 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 940 | 694 | 96 | 649 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1294 | 1091 | 509 | 1.000 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 235 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 940 | 694 | 96 | 649 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1294 | 1091 | 509 | 0.362 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.636 | 0.189 | 10.5 |  |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 13.4 | 12.1 | 9.7 | B |
| LOS | B | B | 2 | 2 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 13.2 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |
| Approach | SE | NW | NE | SW |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 966 | 714 | 100 | 242 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 966 | 714 | 100 | 242 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 65 | 237 | 1005 | 762 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 939 | 868 | 26 | 189 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 14.3 | 12.8 | 10.1 | 11.0 |
| Approach LOS | B | B | B | B |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR |  | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Lane Util | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |  |
| Follow Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 714 | 100 | 242 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 966 | 1084 | 495 | 634 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1291 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 1.000 | 714 | 100 | 242 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 966 | 1084 | 495 | 634 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1291 | 0.659 | 0.202 | 0.381 |
| V/C Ratio | 12.8 | 10.1 | 11.0 |  |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 14.3 | $B$ | $B$ | B |
| LOS | B | 5 | 1 | 2 |

## APPENDIX C

## CRASH ANALYSIS

## CRASH SUMMARY TABLE

| Intersection / Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{3} \\ & \mathfrak{E} \\ & \frac{4}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{2} \\ & \sum_{3}^{3} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \stackrel{5}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Property Damage } \\ \text { Only } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Sand Creek Road / Watervliet Shaker Road | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 0 |
| 2. Sand Creek Road / South Family Drive | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| 3. Sand Creek Road / Shaker Run | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 4. Sand Creek Road / Hunting Road | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 5. Sand Creek Road / Peter Drive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 6. Sand Creek Road / Delafield Drive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7. Sand Creek Road / Mordella Road | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 8. Sand Creek Road / Terry Court | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 9. Sand Creek Road / Myers Court | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 10. Sand Creek Road / Space Boulevard | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 11. Sand Creek Road / Sunset Boulevard | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 12. Sand Creek Road / Jo Ann Court | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 13. Sand Creek Road / Jodiro Lane | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14. Sand Creek Road / Computer Drive South | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 0 |
| 15. Sand Creek Road / Wolf Road | 6 | 1 | 6 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 61 | 0 | 19 | 42 | 0 |
| Sand Creek Road (Segment) | 8 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 11 | 38 | 0 |
| Totals | 27 | 5 | 14 | 75 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 42 | 3 | 181 | 1 | 46 | 134 | 0 |

M.J. Engineering \& Land Surveying, P.C. 1533 Crescent Road - Clifton Park, N.Y. 12065<br>Phone: (518) 371-0799<br>Fax: (518) 371-0822

| Project | Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Study |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MJ No. | 1820 | P.I.N. |  |
| Sheet No. | 1 | of | 1 |
| Calculated By: | CKD | Date: | April 17, 2023 |
| Checked By: | MEB | Date: | April 17, 2023 |

## APPENDIX A - Accident Location Diagram and Rate Calculations

Accidents occuring between August 1, 2017 and July 31, 2022

## Accident Rate Calculations - SEGMENTS

Segment Accident Rate (acc/MVM)
$=\frac{1,000,000 \times \text { No. Accidents Per Year }}{365 \times \text { AADT } \times \text { Segment length (miles) }}$

Description: Sand Creek Road

| No. Accidents Per Year: | 9.80 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Sand Creek Road AADT (2023): | 10,114 |
| Segment Length (mi) | 1.890 |
| Statewide average $=2.32$ acc/MVM) |  | Village of Colonie, Albany County, NY





## APPENDIX D

ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION

## Environmental Mitigation

## Introduction

Per federal requirements, the Capital Region Transportation Council (the Transportation Council) undertakes an Environmental Features Scan in all Community and Transportation Linkage Planning Program (Linkage Program) initiatives. The Environmental Features Scan identifies the location of environmentally sensitive features, both natural and cultural in relation to project study areas. Although the conceptual planning stage is too early in the transportation planning process to identify specific potential impacts to environmentally sensitive features, the early identification of environmentally sensitive features is an important part of the environmental mitigation process. It should also be noted here that as specific projects advance through the project development process, the applicable NEPA and SEQRA regulations requiring potential environmental impact identification, analysis and mitigation will be followed by the implementing agencies as required by federal and state law. The Transportation Council is not an implementing agency.

## Data and Analysis

Transportation Council staff relies on data from several state and federal agencies to maintain an updated map-based inventory of both natural and cultural resources. The following features are mapped and reviewed for their presence within each study area as well as within a quarter mile buffer of the defined study area boundary.

- sole source aquifers
- aquifers
- reservoirs
- water features (streams, lakes, rivers, and ponds)
- wetlands
- watersheds
- 100-year flood plains
- rare animal populations
- rare plant populations
- significant ecological sites
- significant ecological communities
- state historic sites
- national historic sites
- national historic register districts
- national historic register properties
- federal parks and lands
- state parks and forests
- state unique areas
- state wildlife management areas
- county forests and preserves
- municipal parks and lands
- land trust sites
- NYS DEC lands
- Adirondack Park
- agricultural districts
- NY Protected Lands
- natural community habitats
- rare plant habitats
- Class I \& II soils

Map 3 provides an overview of the environmentally sensitive (cultural and natural) features located within the Village of Colonie Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Concept Study study area as well as within a quarter mile buffer of the defined study area boundary.


## Conclusion

Within one-quarter mile of the study area there is a water feature, wetlands, protected open space, an agricultural district, a national register historic district, class I \& II soils, and an aquifer. The Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Concept Study makes recommendations for intersection improvements, access management, bicycle and pedestrian accommodations, lighting, and vehicle weight limit and speed enforcement which, if implemented, will have no known impact on the environmentally sensitive features identified in the study area.

## Environmental Resource Mapper



The coordinates of the point you clicked on are:

UTM 18
Easting: 596212.7517502375

Longitude: -73.8247839205516
Longitude/Latitude

The approximate address of the point you clicked on is:
581 Sand Creek Rd, Albany, New York, 12205
County: Albany
Town: Colonie
Village: Colonie
USGS Quad: ALBANY

## Freshwater Wetlands Checkzone

This location is in the vicinity of one or more Regulated Freshwater Wetlands.

## Rare Plants and Rare Animals

This location is in the vicinity of Animals Listed as Endangered or Threatened - Contact NYSDEC Regional Office

If your project or action is within or near an area with a rare animal, a permit may be required if the species is listed as endangered or threatened and the department determines the action may be harmful to the species or its habitat.

If your project or action is within or near an area with rare plants and/or significant natural communities, the environmental impacts may need to be addressed.

The presence of a unique geological feature or landform near a project, unto itself, does not trigger a requirement for a NYS DEC permit. Readers are advised, however, that there is the chance that a unique feature may also show in another data layer (ie. a wetland) and thus be subject to permit jurisdiction.

Please refer to the "Need a Permit?" tab for permit information or other authorizations regarding these natural resources.
Disclaimer: If you are considering a project or action in, or near, a wetland or a stream, a NYS DEC permit may be required. The Environmental Resources Mapper does not show all natural resources which are regulated by NYS DEC, and for which permits from NYS DEC are required. For example, Regulated Tidal Wetlands, and Wild, Scenic, and Recreational Rivers, are currently not included on the maps.

## APPENDIX E

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE AND LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

## Environmental Justice

## Introduction

Per federal requirements, the Capital Region Transportation Council (the Transportation Council) undertakes an analysis of Environmental Justice in all Community and Transportation Linkage Planning Program (Linkage Program) initiatives to evaluate if transportation concepts and recommendations impact Environmental Justice populations. Impacts may be defined as those that are positive, potentially negative, and neutral as described in the Transportation Council's Environmental Justice Analysis document, dated March 2020. The goal of this analysis is to ensure that both the positive and negative impacts of transportation planning conducted by the Transportation Council, and its member agencies are fairly distributed and that defined Environmental Justice populations do not bear disproportionately high and adverse effects.

This goal has been set to:

- Ensure the Transportation Council's compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which states that "no person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance,"
- Assist the United State Department of Transportation's agencies in complying with Executive Order 12898 stating, "Each Federal agency shall make achieving environmental justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations."
- Address FTA C 4702.1B TITLE VI REQUIREMENTS AND GUIDELINES FOR FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION RECIPIENTS, which includes requirements for MPOs that are some form of a recipient of FTA.


## Data and Analysis

Transportation Council staff created demographic parameters using data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS). Threshold values were assigned at the census tract level to identify geographic areas with significant populations of minority or low-income persons. Tracts with higher than the regional average percentage of low-income or minority residents are identified as Environmental Justice populations.

Minority residents are defined as those who identify themselves as anything but white only, not Hispanic or Latino. Low-income residents are defined as those whose household income falls below the poverty line.

The transportation patterns by race/ethnicity, income, age, English ability, disability status, and sex in the Transportation Council's planning area are depicted in table III-2 through III-7, using the commute to work as a proxy for all travel. The greatest difference between the defined minority and non-minority population is in the Drive Alone and Transit categories: The minority population is almost $20 \%$ less likely to drive alone, $11 \%$ more likely to take transit, and is also more likely to walk and carpool. The defined low-income population and the non-low-income population follow the same trend, with the low-income
population $20 \%$ less likely to drive alone, $10 \%$ more likely to commute via transit, and more likely to walk and carpool. Other categories showed a lesser difference.

Table 1: Commute Mode by Race/Ethnicity

| By Race/Ethnicity | Drive Alone | Carpool | Transit | Other | Walk | Work at Home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Workers (16+) | $80.0 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| White Alone Not Hispanic or <br> Latino | $83.3 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Minority | $\mathbf{6 3 . 8 \%}$ | $11.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9 \%}$ | $2.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0 \%}$ | $3.3 \%$ |

Table 2: Commute Mode by Income

| By Income | Drive Alone | Carpool | Transit | Other | Walk | Work at Home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At/Above 100\% Poverty Level | $81.8 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Below 100\% Poverty Level | $\mathbf{6 1 . 3 \%}$ | $11.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 \%}$ | $2.4 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |

Table 3: Commute Mode By Age

| By Age | Drive Alone | Carpool | Transit | Other | Walk | Work at Home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 9}$ Years | $\mathbf{5 9 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2 \%}$ | $4.3 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0 \%}$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| $20-64$ Years | $80.8 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| $65+$ years | $80.7 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |

Table 4: Commute Mode by English Ability

| By English Ability | Drive Alone | Carpool | Transit | Other | Walk | Work at Home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speak English Very Well | $70.3 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Speak English Less than Very Well | $65.6 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |

Table 5: Commute Mode by Disability

| By Disability Status* | Drive Alone | Carpool | Transit | Other | Walk | Work at Home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Without any Disability | $80.7 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| With a Disability | $\mathbf{7 1 . 1 \%}$ | $11.2 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |

Table 6: Commute Mode by Sex

| By Sex* | Drive Alone | Carpool | Transit | Other | Walk | Work at Home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $80.1 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Female | $80.2 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |

*Data is from the American Community Survey 2017 5-year estimates, tables S0802, B08105H, B08101, B08122, S0801, B08113, and S1811. Other includes taxi, motorcycle, and bicycle.
*Data for sex and disability status include all people in Albany, Rensselaer, Saratoga, and Schenectady Counties.

Map 1 provides an overview of the Village of Colonie Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Concept Study study area. The Village of Colonie Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Concept Study is included in the Environmental Justice area based on one study area Census Tract having a higher than regional average percentage of minority residents and one Census Tract adjacent to the study area having a higher than regional average percentage of minority residents.

The Capital Region Indicators website, maintained by the Capital District Regional Planning Commission (CDRPC), provides information by race and ethnicity (White, Black, or African American, Asian, and Hispanic or Latino) that may be useful to further understand the population within a study area. Since this document is a regional analysis performed at the census tract level, small scale populations may be overlooked. It therefore may still be useful to scan the project area, particularly if the project area is small, as minority or low-income populations may form a significant portion of the study area residents but not be reflected in the larger census tract areas. In addition, the project should look for worksites and other generators where minority and/or low-income people are over-represented, as the data only captures the residential population.


Consideration for including these minority populations in the planning process was given in the following ways:

- The internet was used to display and advertise information about the study.
- Business cards with a QR code for the project website and survey were distributed at local business to raise awareness about the study.
- Project flyers about public meetings were distributed through local schools with "backpack flyers".
- Two formal public input sessions were held in an open house style format. Public meetings were advertised using the internet, and hard copy flyers.
- Final products will be displayed on the Transportation Council website, and the Village of Colonie Website.


## Conclusion

The Transportation Council defines plans and projects with a primary or significant focus on transit, bicycling, walking, or carpool as being "positive". As the primary purpose of the Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Concept Study is to develop recommendations for bicycle and pedestrian improvements along Sand Creek Road from Watervliet Shaker Road to Wolf Road which is adjacent to neighborhoods with Environmental Justice populations. It has been determined that the Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Concept Study will have a positive impact on the affected populations. The study makes recommendations for intersection improvements, access management, bicycle and pedestrian accommodations, corridor lighting, and vehicle weight limit and speed enforcement which, if implemented, will provide positive benefits for Environmental Justice populations.

## Limited English Proficiency

## Introduction

Inclusive public participation is a priority consideration in Transportation Council-sponsored plans, studies, and programs. Understanding and involvement are encouraged throughout the process. The Transportation Council encourages input from all stakeholders and ensures that all segments of the population, including those that do not speak English as their primary language and who have a limited ability to speak, read, write, or understand English, have the opportunity to be involved in the transportation planning process.

Executive Order 13166, "Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency" (LEP) was signed in 2000 to improve access to federally assisted programs and activities for persons who, as a result of national origin, are limited in their English proficiency. To ensure that programs and activities normally provided in English are accessible to LEP persons and thus do not discriminate on the basis of national origin in violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, recipients must take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to their programs and activities by LEP persons.

## Data and Analysis

According to 2016-2020 data from the American Community Survey (ACS), 3.4 percent of the region's population 5 years of age and older, or over 25,000 people, reported that they do not speak English "very well". USDOT guidance sets a written translation threshold at $5 \%$ of those eligible to be served or 1,000 people, whichever is less. Thus, any census tract with a rate of $5 \%$ or higher of LEP persons or 1,000 LEP persons are identified as LEP census tracts.

The Transportation Council project manager should seek further data sources or community knowledge to indicate which languages are present. If any of them constitute 1,000 people or $5 \%$ of the total study area population, whichever is less, key documents will be translated into those languages on request and requested oral interpreting services will be provided when necessary and possible. In addition, initial outreach materials should be translated into languages meeting the above criteria.

Map 2 provides an overview of the Village of Colonie Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Concept Study study area. The Village of Colonie Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Concept study area is included in the Limited English Proficiency area based on two of the study area Census Tracts having 5\% or more or at least 1000 limited English proficient residents. Further analysis using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) EJ Screen tool revealed that over 5\% of residents are LEP and speak "Other Indo-European" languages.


If a language group meets the $5 \%$ or 1,000 people thresholds, whichever is less, the following will apply. the Transportation Council's Limited English Proficiency Plan can be viewed at: https://www.cdtcmpo.org/images/othercdtcproducts/2020 Limited English Proficiency Plan.p df

- Identifying Individuals who May Need Language Assistance: Transportation Council staff will use Language Identification Flashcards when encountering a LEP individual to identify that person's primary language. The Language Identification Flashcards are free and available online at http://www.lep.gov/ISpeakCards2004.pdf and will be made available at public meetings. Once a LEP person's primary language is identified by means of the flashcards, Transportation Council staff will assess the feasibility of providing translation and/or interpretation assistance.
- Translation of Written Documents: Written executive summaries of studies conducted in geographic subareas where a language within the LEP population constitutes 1,000 people or $5 \%$ of the subarea will be translated into that language upon request and posted on-line.
- Oral Interpretation: Upon at least 48 hours request of LEP individuals speaking languages that meet the threshold, the Transportation Council will provide interpreting services at meetings, in person if possible. If formal interpretation is required and an interpreter is not available, the Transportation Council staff will use the telephone interpreter service, Language Line, at 1-800-752-6096.

Transportation Council staff will use a free online translation service for all other requests for translations of documents. The Transportation Council website may be translated into many different languages using free online translation services such as Google Translate. In this way, meeting agendas and minutes, notices of official actions, public comment requests, and other documents may be translated.

The Capital Region Indicators website, maintained by CDRPC, provides information on language spoken at home by ability to speak English that may be useful to further understand the population within a study area. Where the data shows a significant population speaking a broad language group, further investigation may be necessary. School districts maintain language data for attendees who do not speak English well and this information will generally reflect the children's families. There may be nearby religious institutions and local businesses that cater to people speaking a particular language or language group and could provide insight on the size of the population as well as appropriate ways to engage with them.

Since this document is a regional analysis performed at the census tract level, small scale populations may be overlooked. It therefore may still be useful to scan the project area, particularly if the project area is small, as people who don't speak English very well may form a significant portion of the study area residents but not be reflected in the larger census tract areas. In addition, the project should look for worksites and other generators where people who don't speak English very well are overrepresented, as the data only captures the residential population.

| A |  |  | $\angle(32), \Delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location: User-specified linear location <br> Ring (buffer): . 25 -miles radius <br> Description: Sand Creek Road Linkage |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Summary of ACS Estimates |  |  | 2016-2020 |
| Population |  |  | 2,324 |
| Population Density (per sq. mile) |  |  | 2,541 |
| People of Color Population |  |  | 415 |
| \% People of Color Population |  |  | 18\% |
| Households |  |  | 810 |
| Housing Units |  |  | 853 |
| Housing Units Built Before 1950 |  |  | 82 |
| Per Capita Income |  |  | 36,505 |
| Land Area (sq. miles) (Source: SF1) |  |  | 0.91 |
| \% Land Area |  |  | 100\% |
| Water Area (sq. miles) (Source: SF1) |  |  | 0.00 |
| \% Water Area |  |  | 0\% |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2016-2020 } \\ \text { ACS Estimates } \end{array}$ | Percent | MOE ( $\pm$ ) |
| Population by Race |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,324 | 100\% | 814 |
| Population Reporting One Race | 2,235 | 96\% | 1,200 |
| White | 1,909 | 82\% | 821 |
| Black | 28 | 1\% | 138 |
| American Indian | 0 | 0\% | 12 |
| Asian | 292 | 13\% | 170 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0\% | 12 |
| Some Other Race | 6 | 0\% | 47 |
| Population Reporting Two or More Races | 89 | 4\% | 132 |
| Total Hispanic Population | 14 | 1\% | 119 |
| Total Non-Hispanic Population | 2,310 |  |  |
| White Alone | 1,909 | 82\% | 821 |
| Black Alone | 15 | 1\% | 138 |
| American Indian Alone | 0 | 0\% | 12 |
| Non-Hispanic Asian Alone | 292 | 13\% | 170 |
| Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0\% | 12 |
| Other Race Alone | 5 | 0\% | 40 |
| Two or More Races Alone | 89 | 4\% | 126 |
| Population by Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 1,219 | 52\% | 629 |
| Female | 1,105 | 48\% | 252 |
| Population by Age |  |  |  |
| Age 0-4 | 127 | 5\% | 73 |
| Age 0-17 | 575 | 25\% | 257 |
| Age 18+ | 1,749 | 75\% | 365 |
| Age 65+ | 378 | 16\% | 122 |

## EJSCREEN ACS Summary Report

Location: User-specified linear location
Ring (buffer): . 25 -miles radius
Description: Sand Creek Road Linkage

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2016-2020 \\ \text { ACS Estimates } \end{array}$ | Percent | MOE ( $\pm$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 25+ by Educational Attainment |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,593 | 100\% | 376 |
| Less than 9th Grade | 87 | 5\% | 63 |
| 9th-12th Grade, No Diploma | 115 | 7\% | 98 |
| High School Graduate | 389 | 24\% | 148 |
| Some College, No Degree | 256 | 16\% | 146 |
| Associate Degree | 229 | 14\% | 225 |
| Bachelor's Degree or more | 517 | 32\% | 178 |
| Population Age 5+ Years by Ability to Speak English |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,197 | 100\% | 809 |
| Speak only English | 1,800 | 82\% | 650 |
| Non-English at Home ${ }^{1+2+3+4}$ | 397 | 18\% | 150 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Speak English "very well" | 232 | 11\% | 141 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Speak English "well" | 44 | 2\% | 48 |
| ${ }^{3}$ Speak English "not well" | 116 | 5\% | 92 |
| ${ }^{4}$ Speak English "not at all" | 5 | 0\% | 38 |
| ${ }^{3+4}$ Speak English "less than well" | 121 | 6\% | 92 |
| ${ }^{2+3+4}$ Speak English "less than very well" | 165 | 7\% | 96 |
| Linguistically Isolated Households* |  |  |  |
| Total | 38 | 100\% | 30 |
| Speak Spanish | 4 | 11\% | 12 |
| Speak Other Indo-European Languages | 7 | 18\% | 18 |
| Speak Asian-Pacific Island Languages | 27 | 71\% | 27 |
| Speak Other Languages | 0 | 0\% | 12 |
| Households by Household Income |  |  |  |
| Household Income Base | 810 | 100\% | 143 |
| < \$15,000 | 36 | 4\% | 56 |
| \$15,000-\$25,000 | 9 | 1\% | 67 |
| \$25,000-\$50,000 | 62 | 8\% | 46 |
| \$50,000-\$75,000 | 126 | 16\% | 70 |
| \$75,000 + | 577 | 71\% | 169 |
| Occupied Housing Units by Tenure |  |  |  |
| Total | 810 | 100\% | 143 |
| Owner Occupied | 628 | 78\% | 145 |
| Renter Occupied | 182 | 22\% | 89 |
| Employed Population Age 16+ Years |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,906 | 100\% | 669 |
| In Labor Force | 1,042 | 55\% | 344 |
| Civilian Unemployed in Labor Force | 0 | 0\% | 30 |
| Not In Labor Force | 865 | 45\% | 367 |

[^2]Location: User-specified linear location
Ring (buffer): .25-miles radius
Description: Sand Creek Road Linkage

|  | 2016-2020 <br> ACS Estimates | Percent | MOE ( $\pm$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population by Language Spoken at Home* |  |  |  |
| Total (persons age 5 and above) | 1,456 | 100\% | 403 |
| English | 1,253 | 86\% | 557 |
| Spanish | 3 | 0\% | 18 |
| French, Haitian, or Cajun | 0 | 0\% | 181 |
| German or other West Germanic | 7 | 1\% | 38 |
| Russian, Polish, or Other Slavic | 16 | 1\% | 77 |
| Other Indo-European | 75 | 5\% | 206 |
| Korean | 11 | 1\% | 64 |
| Chinese (including Mandarin, Cantonese) | 44 | 3\% | 61 |
| Vietnamese | 27 | 2\% | 75 |
| Tagalog (including Filipino) | 3 | 0\% | 18 |
| Other Asian and Pacific Island | 16 | 1\% | 88 |
| Arabic | 0 | 0\% | 17 |
| Other and Unspecified | 0 | 0\% | 3 |
| Total Non-English | 203 | 14\% | 688 |

Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic popultion can be of any race.
N/A meansnot available. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS) 2016-2020.
*Population by Language Spoken at Home is available at the census tract summary level and up.

## . 25 miles Ring around the Corridor, NEW YORK, EPA Region 2

Approximate Population: 2,324
Input Area (sq. miles): 1.01
Sand Creek Road Linkage

| Selected Variables | State <br> Percentile |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental Justice Indexes |  | USA <br> Percentile |
| Particulate Matter 2.5 EJ index | 33 | 17 |
| Ozone EJ index | 13 | 18 |
| Diesel Particulate Matter EJ index* | 35 | 44 |
| Air Toxics Cancer Risk EJ index* | 36 | 37 |
| Air Toxics Respiratory HI EJ index* | 33 | 26 |
| Traffic Proximity EJ index | 40 | 44 |
| Lead Paint EJ index | 25 | 42 |
| Superfund Proximity EJ index | 47 | 56 |
| RMP Facility Proximity EJ index | 32 | 27 |
| Hazardous Waste Proximity EJ index | 28 | 40 |
| Underground Storage Tanks EJ index | 41 | 50 |
| Wastewater Discharge EJ index | 0 | 0 |

EJ Indexes - The EJ indexes help users screen for potential EJ concerns. To do this, the EJ index combines data on low income and people of color populations with a single environmental indicator.


[^3]

## Sites reporting to EPA

| Superfund NPL | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities (TSDF) | 0 |

## EJScreen Report (Version 2.11)

 . 25 miles Ring around the Corridor, NEW YORK, EPA Region 2Approximate Population: 2,324
Input Area (sq. miles): 1.01
Sand Creek Road Linkage

| Selected Variables | Value | State Avg. | \%ile in State | USA <br> Avg. | \%ile in USA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pollution and Sources |  |  |  |  |  |
| Particulate Matter $2.5\left(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 7.33 | 7.86 | 34 | 8.67 | 18 |
| Ozone (ppb) | 38.3 | 41.5 | 10 | 42.5 | 22 |
| Diesel Particulate Matter* ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 0.287 | 0.637 | 39 | 0.294 | 60-70th |
| Air Toxics Cancer Risk* (lifetime risk per million) | 30 | 29 | 67 | 28 | 80-90th |
| Air Toxics Respiratory HI* | 0.3 | 0.39 | 49 | 0.36 | <50th |
| Traffic Proximity (daily traffic count/distance to road) | 680 | 870 | 65 | 760 | 74 |
| Lead Paint (\% Pre-1960 Housing) | 0.31 | 0.54 | 23 | 0.27 | 58 |
| Superfund Proximity (site count/km distance) | 0.26 | 0.24 | 79 | 0.13 | 89 |
| RMP Facility Proximity (facility count/km distance) | 0.17 | 0.52 | 37 | 0.77 | 32 |
| Hazardous Waste Proximity (facility count/km distance) | 0.87 | 6 | 27 | 2.2 | 53 |
| Underground Storage Tanks (count/km²) | 3.5 | 7.7 | 51 | 3.9 | 70 |
| Wastewater Discharge (toxicity-weighted concentration/m distance) | 1.5E-06 | 4 | 18 | 12 | 10 |
| Socioeconomic Indicators |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demographic Index | 16\% | 35\% | 25 | 35\% | 22 |
| Supplemental Demographic Index | 10\% | 14\% | 36 | 15\% | 32 |
| People of Color | 18\% | 45\% | 36 | 40\% | 36 |
| Low Income | 13\% | 29\% | 29 | 30\% | 24 |
| Unemployment Rate | 0\% | 6\% | 0 | 5\% | 0 |
| Limited English Speaking Households | 5\% | 8\% | 62 | 5\% | 74 |
| Less Than High School Education | 13\% | 13\% | 62 | 12\% | 65 |
| Under Age 5 | 5\% | 6\% | 57 | 6\% | 55 |
| Over Age 64 | 16\% | 17\% | 53 | 16\% | 54 |
| Low Life Expectancy | 17\% | 17\% | 45 | 20\% | 25 |

[^4]
## . 25 miles Ring around the Corridor, NEW YORK, EPA Region 2

Approximate Population: 2,324
Input Area (sq. miles): 1.01
Sand Creek Road Linkage

| Selected Variables | State <br> Percentile |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Supplemental Indexes |  | USA <br> Percentile |
| Particulate Matter 2.5 Supplemental Index | 39 |  |
| Ozone Supplemental Index | 11 | 18 |
| Diesel Particulate Matter Supplemental Index* | 42 | 20 |
| Air Toxics Cancer Risk Supplemental Index* | 47 | 55 |
| Air Toxics Respiratory HI Supplemental Index* | 42 | 50 |
| Traffic Proximity Supplemental Index | 52 | 31 |
| Lead Paint Supplemental Index | 23 | 57 |
| Superfund Proximity Supplemental Index | 63 | 46 |
| RMP Facility Proximity Supplemental Index | 37 | 70 |
| Hazardous Waste Proximity Supplemental Index | 29 | 32 |
| Underground Storage Tanks Supplemental Index | 48 | 49 |
| Wastewater Discharge Supplemental Index | 0 | 58 |

Supplemental Indexes - The supplemental indexes offer a different perspective on community-level vulnerability. They combine data on low-income, limited English speaking, less than high school education, unemployed, and low life expectancy populations with a single environmental indicator.


Supplemental Indexes

## State Percentile USA Percentile

[^5]
## APPENDIX F

## PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETINGS

# MEETING SUMMARY 

# Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Study <br> Village of Colonie, NY 

| Agenda: | Focus Group Session \#1 - Sunset Boulevard Neighborhood Association |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date \& Time: | Tuesday July 11, 2023, 6:30 PM - 7:30 PM |
| Platform: | Open House at the Village Hall, 2 Thunder Road, Albany |

Study Advisory Committee Attendees:

| Name: | Representing: | Name: | Representing: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kerry Bytner | Sunset Boulevard Neighborhood Association | Jim Rubino | Village of Colonie |
| Jacob Beeman | Capital Region Transportation Council | Jamie Blot | Village of Colonie |
| Ed Sim | Village of Colonie | Hannah Curran | Village of Colonie |
| Consultant Team Attendees: |  |  |  |
| Megan Bacon, Andris Blumbergs, Lisa Wallin - MJ Engineering \& Land Surveying, P.C. |  |  |  |

There were six (6) additional attendees that signed in, see attached sign-in sheet.

## Open House Summary:

1. Committee members arrived at 6:00 PM at the Village Hall for an open house for the Sunset Boulevard Neighborhood Association.
2. The open house began at 6:30 PM and a brief introduction to the Study was given to attendees at 6:45 PM.
3. Attendees were encouraged to walk around the room to view the informational boards, ask questions, and leave comments.
4. A summary of the comments left on the roll plots and poster board are below.
5. The open house concluded at 7:30 PM.

## Roll Plot Comments:

- A roundabout should be considered at the intersection of Sand Creek Road and Hunting Road.
- Crosswalks are needed at Mordella Road.
- The 4-ton weight limit on Sand Creek Road needs better enforcement.
- Consider re-timing the Sunset Boulevard signal to blink red after 7 PM.
- Visibility from the right coming out of Jodiro Lane is limited.
- A sidewalk is needed along the west side of Sand Creek Road from Jodiro Lane to Computer Drive South.
- A left turn lane from Sand Creek Road onto Computer Drive South should be considered.
- If the service road that connects to Sand Creek Road via the Computer Drive South intersection was better connected and maintained, it could alleviate traffic volumes at the Wolf Road intersection.


## Poster Board Comments:

- Consider a connection between the Nash Place and Jodiro Lane neighborhoods to eliminate the need for residents to utilize Mordella Road to get to Central Avenue / Sand Creek Road.
- Consider relocating aerial utilities underground and removing the utility poles.
- The 4-ton weight limit on Sand Creek Road needs better enforcement.
- A midblock crossing is needed at Mordella Road.
- Making left turns onto Sand Creek Road from intersecting streets and driveways is an issue.
- Create separation between the sidewalk and Sand Creek Road between Sunset Boulevard and Hannaford.


# MEETING SUMMARY 

Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Study Village of Colonie, NY

Agenda: Focus Group Session \#2 - Traffic Advisory Committee / Albany Bicycle Coalition

Date \& Time:
Platform:

Thursday July 13, 2023, 6:30 PM - 7:30 PM
Presentation during monthly Traffic Advisory Committee meeting at Village Hall, 2 Thunder Road, Albany

| Project Team Panelists: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name: | Representing: | Email |
| Andris Blumbergs | MJ Engineering \& Land Surveying, P.C. | $\underline{\text { ablumbergs@mjels.com }}$ |
| Lisa Wallin | MJ Engineering \& Land Surveying, P.C. | Iwallin@mjels.com |
| Jacob Beeman | Capital Region Transportation Council | ibeeman@cdtcmpo.org |

## Presentation Summary:

See attached minutes from July $13^{\text {th }}$ Traffic Advisory Committee meeting.
Mr. Gillivan provided comments from several residents via email:

- Mr. Bauer, a resident of 508 Sand Creek Road, stated that he has difficulties turning out of his driveway due to the amount of traffic. Motorists frequently use his driveway to go around vehicles waiting to turn left into Sunset Boulevard.
- Ms. Roberts, a resident of 555 Sand Creek Road, stated that there are several business and residential entrances and exits across the street from her house with no clear delineation between them, creating safety concerns. A signalized mid-block crossing is needed from Delafield Drive to Bauer Park as several pedestrians unsafely cross Sand Creek Road here. Traffic backups during peak times are a concern. Speed is a concern from Bauer Park to Watervliet Shaker Road as the corridor opens up into a straight away.


## Roll Plot Comments:

- In the area of the Shaker Run apartments, weeds along the road encroach onto the shoulder, forcing cyclists into the travel lane. These weeds need to be cut back regularly.
- Consider turning mailboxes so that they are parallel to the road to give cyclists more room along the shoulder.
- A curb ramp and a mid-block crossing are needed at the Delafield Drive intersection.
- A roundabout should be considered at the intersection of Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South.
- Pedestrian connections are needed across Sand Creek Road between Computer Drive South and Wolf Road.


## Poster Board Comments:

- Left turns into the Hannaford Plaza are an issue.
- Delays from turning vehicles create bottlenecks, especially with left turns onto Sand Creek Road.
- There is a lack of crosswalks along Sand Creek Road, especially at the Hunting Road intersection and Bauer Park.
- Residents along Sand Creek Road have a difficult time exiting their driveways.
- Bike and pedestrian safety is a concern along Sand Creek Road.
- There are concerns of unsafe passing on Sand Creek Road due to delays / impatience.
- The traffic signals should be coordinated across the three jurisdictions (NYSDOT, Town, and Village).
- There is concern about the future development at the end of Watervliet Shaker Road.
- This study is a great opportunity to create linkage for bicycle users.
- There is a concern about "crosswalks to nowhere".
- There is no stacking lane at the intersection of Sand Creek Road and Computer Drive South.


Village of Colonie

THOMAS J. TOBIN
villagehall@colonievillage.org
www.colonievillage.org
MINUTES
VILLAGE OF COLONIE TRAFFIC COMMITTEE
THURSDAY, JULY 13, 2023
The Village of Colonie Traffic Committee meeting was held on Thursday, July 13, 2023, at 2 Thunder Rd, Albany, NY 12205. Chairman Prevratil opened the meeting with the pledge of allegiance at 6:30 p.m.

Roll Call:
Chairman, Frank Prevratil
Dan Hornick
John Gillivan
George Lashoff
Les Samiof
Michael Normandin
Liasion Ed Sim
CPD Liaison Investigator DePaulo
Kevin France
Coordinator Hannah Curran

Present
Present
Absent
Absent
Present
Present
Present
Absent
Present
Present

The minutes from the regularly scheduled June $8^{\text {th }}$ meeting were reviewed by the present members of the committee. Mr. Lashoff made a motion to approve the minutes, and Mr. Samiof seconded this motion.

Vote: All in favor.
Liasion Sim introduced the Capital Region Transportation Council and MJ Engineering as the groups working on the Sand Creek Road Complete Streets study.

Mr. Jacob Beeman, from the CRTC, explained that the Study Advisory Committee was created to involve a myriad of agencies that have a stake in the Sand Creek Corridor. These agencies include the Village of Colonie, Town of Colonie, Albany County, CDTA, CRTC, South Colonie School District, CDRPC and DOT. He described the study area, which covers the stretch of Sand Creek Road between Wolf Road and Watervliet Shaker Road. Because this corridor is so highly traveled and connects many destinations, the study at hand intends to make recommendations to improve travel for all modes of transportation. A complete street is a street designed for all users and all modes of transportation. At the completion of the study, recommendations such as curb
extensions, bike lanes, widened shoulders, among others, may be made, based on calculations and other public input. It is important to consider the modal hierarchy, that offers it is not practical for all modes of transportation to be prioritized the same on a corridor, and this may be reflected in the concept recommendations. Further, it is also important to note that the future maintenance of these suggested changes should also be taken into consideration, and especially with Sand Creek Road, since it includes more than one municipality. Mr. Beeman went over the study milestones, beginning with the initiation of the study in Winter 2023, and the existing conditions summary that was presented in the Spring. Throughout the Summer, numerous public input sessions have been held to gather thoughts and concerns regarding Sand Creek Road. A draft concept plan will be available during the Fall of 2023, and another public input session will be held regarding these suggestions. Finally, in the Winter of 2023/2024, the final adopted plan will be made available to the Mayor and Board of Trustees. The completed report will then be used to apply for state and federal funding to implement these changes.

Mr. Andris Blumbergs, from MJ Engineering, introduced the existing conditions report for the project. He explained the difference between the land and zoning use along the corridor and noted some of the current bicycle and pedestrian accommodations present. The 5-year crash history was also analyzed and presented, which highlights how many crashes occurred at the intersections in question. It was also noted that for these intersections, the Village of Colonie, the Town of Colonie and DOT are involved in operating their respective traffic signals. Mr. Blumbergs stated that traffic counts were conducted over a 72 -hour period from Tuesday, 2/14, to Thursday, $2 / 16$. This resulted in an average of 10,114 cars traveling along the corridor daily. The turning movement counts were conducted during morning and afternoon peak times on March $7^{\text {th }}$. These counts were then used to determine the level of service, and how well the intersections seem to be operating. On average, according to these calculations, it appears that the Hunting Road and Computer Drive South intersections are operating between "excellent to good" levels. Chairman Prevratil asked who was monitoring the turns, and Mr. Blumbergs responded that it was representatives from MJ who physically recorded the turning movements.

Chairman Prevratil stated that he was shocked by the level of service determinations and claimed that it doesn't reflect what he sees daily. Liasion Sim added that he previously had this discussion with the Study Advisory Committee, as he shares these concerns. He reminded the committee that these are just the observed conditions, and it highlights the importance of including public input. Mr. Ed Brennan, representative of the Albany Bike Coalition, asked what the weather conditions were when the calculations were taken. Mr. Blumbergs stated that it was likely a sunny day, which is typically chosen to ensure accuracy of measurements.

Mr. Beeman added that there will be a section of the final report that will include a narrative of the observed conditions, as the Study Advisory Committee went on a site walk. It was noted that there are no crosswalks currently across Sand Creek Road, and sidewalks only on one side of the road. There were significant backups viewed, and especially with cars trying to make a left onto Sand Creek Road. It was also noted that the "Welcome to the Village of Colonie" sign has been hit numerous times and has had to be replaced.

Mr. Lashoff asked if this information would be available online, and Mr. Blumbergs stated yes, that the presentation would be posted. He explained that the Study Advisory Committee would
be meeting with business stakeholders the following week, and the input sessions would be concluded with a large public meeting on July $24^{\text {th }}$ at the Recreation Center. Again, he reiterated that the draft concept plan would be made available by the Fall. Liasion Sim added that flyers have been mailed out to every Village resident notifying them of the meeting. Mr. Blumbergs also directed everyone to the project website, which includes a link to a live survey where residents can give their input. At the conclusion of the presentation, the consultants invited committee members to provide their comments.

Chairman Prevratil shared that he feels the Hunting Road/Sand Creek Road intersection is one of the greatest problems. He added that right turns aren't so bad, but trying to make left turns onto Sand Creek is nearly impossible. If there's a backup caused by a car attempting to make a left, other cars try to take unsafe right turns by going around them. Chairman Prevratil mentioned that while he was leary about the initial data collected, he appreciated the feedback gathered from the site walk, and agrees with those comments.

Mr. Lashoff stated that he feels that the lack of crosswalks is a great concern. He added that he went door to door on Sand Creek Road to bring awareness to the project. He was concerned with individuals in wheelchairs that often use Sand Creek Road and adding crosswalks to make their commutes safer. He mentioned that residents had discussed suggesting a crosswalk by Hunting Road, and also to connect over to Bauer Park. Mr. Lashoff asked if the proposed development of apartments off of Watervliet-Shaker Road had been taken into consideration, as those residents will likely add to the traffic and number of cars on Sand Creek Road. Mr. Blumbergs stated that they will take this into consideration.

Mr. Brennan shared that Central Avenue has become increasingly dangerous for bicyclists, which leads them to using Sand Creek Road as an alternative. However, traveling on the sidewalks can be hazardous, but there is currently no bike lane. He added that he has noticed some homeowners have turned their mailboxes sideways, which creates more room on the sidewalk. Mr. Brennan also mentioned that there is a gutter on the opposite side of Sand Creek Road that poses a danger to bicyclists. He added that in Albany, they have depressed the gutter to make it part of the bike trail and suggested this as a possible solution.

Chairman Prevratil stated that he sees the need for stacking lanes at the Computer Drive South intersection, as cars also try to make unsafe passes. He added that the traffic signal at Sunset Boulevard helps to break traffic up, so perhaps a signal at Hunting Road would also be helpful. Mr. Lashoff agreed that a turning lane at Computer Drive South would be ideal. Ms. Lisa Wallin, from MJ Engineering, added that this feedback will be helpful once the concepts are drafted.

Mr. Hornick stated that traffic calming is one issue that should be addressed on Sand Creek Road. He added that ultimately, Sand Creek Road is a residential road, and he hopes that future development is taken into consideration with this study. He stressed the need for safe pedestrian crosswalks, but highlighted the problem that the crosswalk would lead to nowhere, since the sidewalk is only on one side of the street. He stated that with regard to bicyclists, we have the opportunity to create a linkage through the Village on this corridor, but the road would need to have some improvements made before it could be utilized as such. Mr. Hornick also asked the consultants if they have ever worked with a municipality that has so much different ownership of
the traffic signals. Mr. Blumbergs said yes, that they have a similar problem with a study between East and North Greenbush. Mr. Hornick stated that the residents that live on Sand Creek Road have difficulty getting in and out of their driveways, and this should be taken into consideration when timing the traffic signals. He hopes that through this study, we can create a more complete, calmer, and residential focus for our community. Liasion Sim added that at a previous meeting, the possibility of moving utilities underground had been brought up. This would result in no poles, bringing more of a community character to the street.

Mr. Brennan asked who maintains the brush on the side of Watervliet-Shaker Road that opposes the entrance to Shaker Run. He stated that the road is very narrow there, and when the brush is too overgrown, it makes it extremely difficult to travel. Liasion Sim stated that would be the town's responsibility, but the town is part of the Study Advisory Committee, so this information could be relayed back to them. Mr. Brennan also shared that while it appears there has been a decline in crashes since COVID-19, there has been an increase in fatalities and serious injuries when crashes do occur. He stated that this is due to increases in size and weight of new cars, as well as increased speeding. He stressed the importance of traffic calming and reducing speeds to reduce serious injuries from occurring. Chairman Prevratil agreed that speeding is a problem, and especially so on Central Avenue.

Mr. France asked if there were times and/or weather conditions associated with the crash history data, and Mr. Blumbergs stated that they could gather more information. Mr. France added that if some of these crashes were weather related, perhaps visibility issues should be addressed. Liasion Sim shared that the majority of the crashes are rear end crashes, and likely caused as a driver is waiting to make a left turn off of Sand Creek Road. Ms. Rima Shamieh, from CRTC, stated that the crash reports indicate a cluster of crashes on the Wolf Road end of Sand Creek Road, East of Sunset Boulevard. She added that she believes the character of the corridor changes here. Chairman Prevratil shared that he has witnessed cars passing each other on that section of the road, between Sunset and Computer Drive South.

Mr. Lashoff asked about the possibility of adding a traffic circle at the Hunting Road intersection. Ms. Wallin stated that this would change the flow of the intersection and may worsen some of the current problems. However, she added that this could certainly be looked into. Mr. Samiof added that some of the houses on Sand Creek Road are very close to the street, so it may not be possible.

Mr. Normandin addressed Mr. Gillivan's comments and traffic counts, which were also submitted to the consultants on his behalf in his absence. Mr. Normandin stated that Mr. Gillivan found the greatest number of pedestrians to be those traveling on the sidewalks, so it is important to keep their safety in mind while considering improvements. Pedestrian traffic is likely to increase as motor vehicle traffic does as well. Chairman Prevratil noted some of Mr. Gillivan's comments, as he went to speak with numerous residents from Sand Creek Road. He addressed one resident that asked why a light was placed at Computer Drive South in the first place. It was discussed that generally, this is a safer way to get in and out of Hannaford Plaza, but it could likely be improved and made safer with a turning lane.

Mr. Blumbergs handed out flyers and business cards to members of the committee, again, stressing the need for public feedback in order to create a comprehensive report. There is a live survey on the project website that residents should be encouraged to take as a means for providing their feedback.

Chairman Prevratil and members of the committee thanked the consultants for their time and presentation.

A motion was made by Mr. Hornick to adjourn the meeting at 7:32 p.m. This motion was seconded by Mr. Lashoff.

Vote: All in favor.

Respectfully submitted,

Hannah Curran
Traffic Advisory Committee Coordinator
Village of Colonie

# MEETING SUMMARY 

Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Study<br>Village of Colonie, NY

| Agenda: | Focus Group Session \#3 - Business Stakeholders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date \& Time: | Wednesday July 19, 2023, 9:30 AM - 10:15 AM |
| Platform: | Hybrid Presentation held at the Transportation Council Offices, 1 Park Place, Albany |


| Study Advisory Committee Attendees: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Name: | Representing: | Name: | Representing: |  |
| Kerry Bytner | Sunset Boulevard Neighborhood Association | Ed Sim | Village of Colonie |  |
| Jacob Beeman | Capital Region Transportation Council | Jim Rubino | Village of Colonie |  |
| Brian Sim | South Colonie School District | Jaime Blot | Village of Colonie |  |
| Frank Prevratil | Village Traffic Committee | Jim Mearkle | Albany County |  |
| Consultant Team Attendees: |  |  |  |  |
| Megan Bacon, Andris Blumbergs, Lisa Wallin - MJ Engineering \& Land Surveying, P.C. |  |  |  |  |
| Attendees: |  |  |  |  |
| David Perry | South Colonie School District |  |  |  |
| Kristen Blaze | Tiny Town of Colonie |  |  |  |
| Susan Olsen | NYSDOT Region 1 |  |  |  |

## Presentation Summary:

1. The presentation started at 9:30 AM.
2. Jacob welcomed the participants to the presentation and gave a brief overview of the study before handing the remainder of the presentation over to MJ.
3. Megan gave the technical presentation which covered the following:

- Introductions
- Study Overview \& Objectives
- Complete Streets Overview \& Examples
- Schedule Overview
- Existing Conditions
- Observed Site Conditions
- Pedestrian \& Bicycle Accommodations
- Crash History
- Intersections
- Traffic Data Collection
- Traffic Data Analysis
- Next Steps
- Q\&A


## Summary of Q \& A Session:

The following discussion points were raised during the Q\&A Session:

1. Susan Olsen:

- A lot of employees walk the corridor at lunch time; it's hot during the summer and not much shade.
- The access road that connects to Sand Creek via the Computer Drive South intersection was just paved by NYSDOT
because executive management requested it.
- The NYSDOT building is owned by OGS. Susan is going to check with OGS to see if they own or maintain the access road as well.

1. Kristen Blaze

- Parents want to cross Sand Creek Road at Delafield Drive to get to Bauer Park. It is difficult to do so now.
- A lot of people were observed walking on the east shoulder from South Family Drive to Shaker Run.

2. Jim Rubino

- Sand Creek Road, east of Wolf Road, has a center turn lane. Would that work here?

3. Brian Sim

- There are 11 school bus stops along the Sand Creek Road corridor from Shaker Run to Jodiro Lane; one of these stops being at Tiny Town of Colonie. Buses stop at these locations approximately 3 times per day between the elementary, middle, and high schools. There are concerns that adding a lane would allow cars to drive around stopped buses unsafely.

4. Frank Prevratil

- Frank wanted to reiterate that he disagrees with the traffic model as it does represent the conditions observed in the field and questioned if future development was taken into consideration.
- It was explained that the traffic analysis only analyzes the existing conditions. Any future development would be included in the proposed analysis typically done during the design phase of projects.


# MEETING SUMMARY 

Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Study<br>Village of Colonie, NY

| Agenda: | Public Input Session \#1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date \& Time: | Monday July 24, 2023, 6:30 PM - 8:00 PM |
| Platform: | Open House at the Village Family Recreation Center |


| Study Advisory Committee Attendees: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name: | Representing: | Name: | Representing: |
| Kerry Bytner | Sunset Boulevard Neighborhood Association | Ed Sim | Village of Colonie |
| Jacob Beeman | Capital Region Transportation Council | Jim Rubino | Village of Colonie |
| Rima Shamieh | Capital Region Transportation Council | Jamie Blot | Village of Colonie |
| Frank Prevratil | Village Traffic Committee | Hannah Curran | Village of Colonie |
| Consultant Team Attendees: |  |  |  |
| Megan Bacon, Andris Blumbergs, Lisa Wallin - MJ Engineering \& Land Surveying, P.C. |  |  |  |

There were 47 attendees that signed in; the sign in sheets are attached.

## Open House Summary:

1. Committee members arrived at 5:45 PM at the Village's Family Recreation Center for the Public Input Session \#1 open house.
2. The open house began at 6:30 PM and a brief introduction was given to attendees at 6:45 PM.
3. Ed Sim welcomed attendees before handing the remainder of the presentation over to MJ.
4. Lisa and Megan gave a brief introduction to the Study, which covered the following:

- Introductions
- Study Overview \& Objectives
- Complete Streets Overview \& Examples
- Schedule Overview
- Existing Conditions Overview

5. Ed thanked everyone for their time and encouraged attendees to walk around the room to view the informational boards, ask questions, and leave comments.
6. Several attendees had comments and questions that they requested be heard in front of the group:

- Bill Gariepy stated that there is a lot of truck traffic along Sand Creek Road, speeding is an issue, and his mailbox has been hit several times. Mr. Gariepy requested that 3-way stops at the intersections be considered.
- A Sand Creek Road resident questioned why Sand Creek Road was being called a corridor. She stated her opposition to bus stops and explained that the sidewalks are untraversable due to poor condition.
- A Space Boulevard resident stated that he was happy to hear that different modes of transportation will be considered for the study.
- In regard to a sentence on the study flyer, "the Village of Colonie is poised for growth and development", an attendee questioned what development is being referred to? It was clarified that the development in question refers to the development around the corridor within the Town of Colonie.
- An attendee asked if there were any plans to widen the road? It was stated that there are no plans for roadway widening at this time, and Ed reminded attendees that this is a Study to find out what improvements are warranted.
- An attendee stated that coordination with the Town of Colonie is needed for this study. It was confirmed that the Town has been involved with the study as part of the advisory committee.

7. Ed again thanked everyone and the group Q\&A concluded.
8. A summary of the comments left on the roll plots, poster board, and in the comment box are below.
9. The open house concluded at 8:00 PM.

## Roll Plot Comments:

- The section of Sand Creek Road from Watervliet Shaker Road to Shaker Run is dangerous for pedestrians, especially at night.
- Consider adding 3-way stops at major intersections.
- Dead-end Hunting Road, Mordella Road, and Delafield Drive as recommended in Phase III in the Village Master Plan.
- The vegetation located at 598 Sand Creek Road creates sight distance issues for residents trying to turn onto Sand Creek Road from their driveways.
- Access management is needed at the Smile Zone and Tiny Town of Colonie as the non-delineated entrances and exits create confusion and are a safety issue.
- Consider a bicycle/roller blade path along the corridor.
- Bauer Park needs a signalized mid-block crossing due to heavy pedestrian traffic. Maybe a raised crosswalk could be considered.
- Mordella Road needs a signalized mid-block crossing due to heavy pedestrian traffic from the school.
- Consider sidewalks on the west side of Sand Creek Road. Another attendee disagreed.
- The existing sidewalks along the east side of Sand Creek Road need to be replaced.
- Crosswalks should be considered from the side streets to the existing sidewalk on the east side of Sand Creek Road. Another attendee stated that not all side streets should receive a crosswalk.
- Consider a left turn lane into the Sunset Boulevard neighborhood.
- Consider protected bicycle lanes along Sand Creek Road. Another attendee agreed.
- Updated/new crosswalks are needed at the Sand Creek Road / Computer Drive South intersection.
- Roundabouts would allow people to take rights and ultimately travel north along Sand Creek Road.
- Consider a left turning lane onto Computer Drive South. Another attendee agreed.
- The light at Computer Drive South needs attention as it regularly backs up at certain times of the day.
- Vehicles attempting to make the left turn onto Computer Drive South create delays. This leads to motorists attempting to pass on the right where there is not sufficient room to do so.
- Consider access management for the driveways between Computer Drive South and Wolf Road.
- Vehicles making turns off of Sand Creek Road between Computer Drive South and Wolf Road create backups.
- The signal timing/phasing for motorists making a left from Sand Creek Road onto Wolf Road is confusing.


## Poster Board Comments:

- Central Avenue should be considered for a study as well.
- Consider a traffic light at Mordella Road to allow school buses and residents to enter and exit.
- Consider a traffic light at Delafield Drive and/or Mordella Road to allow for left turns onto Sand Creek Road.
- Widen the shoulders along Sand Creek Road, add a bicycle lane, or sign for bicycle route.
- Can the traffic counts be extended to 7:00 AM - 10:00 AM? Elementary start time is 9:15 AM.
- Traffic counts were taken continuously over a 72-hour period. The turning movement counts at the two intersections were taken from 8:00 AM - 10:00 AM.
- Consider a crosswalk from Delafield Drive to Bauer Park.
- Do traffic counts consider those who leave the area for the winter?
- Traffic counts are typically taken while school is in session as this is when traffic is at its peak. The decrease in traffic from those who leave the area for the winter does not typically affect the timing of peak traffic.
- Consider a streetlight at the Sand Creek Road and Mordella Road intersection.


## Verbal Comments:

- Consider fixing the sidewalk gaps and providing bicycle connectivity along the vacant parcels.
- Install cameras in speed signs to enforce the speed limit.
- Street lighting should be considered as there is a lot of deer/wildlife activity along Sand Creek Road between Hunting Road and Watervliet Shaker Road.
- There is frequent bicycle and pedestrian traffic.
- Improving public transit options along the corridor should be considered.


## MEETING SUMMARY

## Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Study <br> Village of Colonie, NY

| Agenda: | Final Public Input Session |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date \& Time: | Wednesday, January 10, 2023, 6:00 PM - 8:00 PM |
| Platform: | Open House at the Village Family Recreation Center |


| Study Advisory Committee Attendees: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name: | Representing: |
| Ed Sim | Village of Colonie |
| Jim Rubino | Village of Colonie |
| Frank Prevratil | Village of Colonie |
| Jamie Blot | Village of Colonie |
| Hannah Curran | Village of Colonie |
| Jacob Beeman | Capital Region Transportation Council |
| Rima Shamieh | Capital Region Transportation Council |
| Consultant Team Attendees: |  |
| Megan Bacon, Lisa Wallin, Andris Blumbergs, Connor Detrick - MJ Engineering \& Land Surveying, P.C. |  |

There were 67 attendees that signed in; the sign in sheets are attached. Additional staff from the Village attended to assist in conversations at the meeting.

The comments received during the open house as well as through the website are summarized in the attached table with responses provided.

| Comment \# | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Turning lanes should be considered on Hunting Road so that leftturning traffic does not impact right-turning traffic. | This will be added to the report to be considered and evaluated during the design phase. |
| 2 | Consider $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ travel lanes on Sand Creek Road to encourage lower speeds. | The minimum allowable travel lane width on Sand Creek Road is $11^{\prime}$ $0^{\prime \prime}$ in accordance with industry-standard design criteria for roadways. |
| 3 | The multi-use path should be extended to Wolf Road or bike lanes should be provided. Shared-use lanes are not desired. | Extending the multi-use path / providing bike lanes from Mordella Road to Wolf Road was considered. Due to the extensive utility relocations and property acquisitions required to implement these types of recommendations and the low bicycle traffic on this portion of Sand Creek Road, shared-use lanes represent the most feasible option when considering costs and impacts. |
| 4 | Many residents along Sand Creek Road did not approve of the multiuse path in front of their properties. | A 3rd concept will be added to the report that instead shows the multi-use path ending at the intersection with Hunting Road, a sidewalk from Hunting Road to Wolf Road, and shared-use lanes from Hunting Road to Computer Drive South. |
| 5 | The sidewalk on Mordella Road is not warranted. | Many residents and the Village Traffic and Safety Committee are in favor of the sidewalk on Mordella Road to provide a safe pedestrian connection between Sand Creek Road and the school. |
| 6 | A group Q\&A session would have been preferred. More input from residents is needed. | Input / sentiments are noted. Residents were given the opportunity to provide input at the first public input session as well as via the study's website that has been active since Spring 2023. Additional opportunities to provide input will be made available during preliminary design of the project once funding is secured. |
| 7 | Several comments from attendees who are not in favor of the recommendations were received. | Input / sentiments are noted. |
| 8 | Were textured/colored crosswalks considered instead of raised crosswalks? | Textured / colored crosswalks were considered; however, available vehicular speed data indicates that speed-deterrent measures are warranted on Sand Creek Road. The raised crosswalks would provide a safer pedestrian crossing while deterring speeding. |
| 9 | Where do bicyclists traveling northbound on Sand Creek Road go once the shared use lanes end? | The bicycles traveling northbound would need to turn left onto Mordella Road to enter the multi-use path. If Bicycle Facility Alternative A were selected, the northbound shared-use lane could be extended to Delafield Drive to provide bicyclists with a crosswalk to cross the road. |


| Comment \# | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Several utility and drainage issues were reported. | These issues would be addressed in design prior to construction. |
| 11 | Several areas were identified to have sight distances issues: (1) 602 Sand Creek Road, (2) stop sign leaving Hannaford Plaza onto Computer Drive South, (3) 88 Delafield Drive. | (1) (3) Language is included in the report to recommend that all landscaping within the Village's right-of-way be trimmed back to provide more adequate sight distance. Sight distance would be evaluated during the design phase. <br> (2) The stop sign leaving the Hannaford Plaza is outside of the Village's right-of-way / jurisdiction. This issue would need to be mitigated by the property owner. |
| 12 | A traffic signal should be considered at Family Drive South to alleviate peak hour congestion. | This intersection was not evaluated under this study. However, concerns will be noted in the report. |
| 13 | An eastbound turn lane should be considered at Sunset Boulevard to alleviate peak hour congestion. | This intersection was not evaluated under this study. However, concerns will be noted in the report. |
| 14 | The intersection at Sand Creek Road and the Hannaford Plaza should be evaluated. | A center turn lane is recommended within this segment of Sand Creek Road to mitigate delays caused by vehicles attempting left turns into the Hannaford Plaza. |
| 15 | A roundabout should be considered at Computer Drive South. | A roundabout alternative and an upgraded signal alternative were evaluated at the Computer Drive South intersection and the reductions in vehicular delays for both alternatives were comparable. It was determined that adding left-turn lanes and retiming the existing signal would provide a more cost-effective option and was favored by both the Village of Colonie and the Town of Colonie. When the project is funded, both the traffic signal and roundabout options will be reevaluated based on current traffic data at that time. |
| 16 | The curbed median recommendation was well received, but there are concerns regarding emergency vehicle access during peak times. | The fire department was consulted during concept development and brought these concerns to the Study Advisory Committee's attention. A median is recommended; however, the final treatment shall be determined in design after further coordination with emergency services. |
| 17 | Consider additional lighting in areas where there are crosswalks across Sand Creek Road. | Street lighting is recommended along Sand Creek Road. Specific lighting locations would be determined during the design phase. |
| 18 | The owner of the Smile Zone had several questions regarding the parking lot reconfiguration and proposed path: <br> 1. Owner would like confirmation that the reconfiguration would not result in the loss of any parking spaces and that the | 1. At this time, the plans shown are conceptual level only. If and when the recommendations receive funding in the future, the project will go through design where the feasibility of the reconfiguration will be further evaluated, in coordination with the |


| Comment \# | Comment | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | proposed layout is feasible. <br> 2. There is an existing irrigation system where the path and driveway entrance are laid out. Who is responsible for the cost and labor if relocation is needed? <br> 3. Owner would like parking lot construction to occur outside of business hours as the lot is typically full. Business hours are Monday - Thursday from $7 \mathrm{am}-5 \mathrm{pm}$ and Friday from $8 \mathrm{am}-1$ pm. | property owner. <br> 2. All privately owned features between the road and highway boundary are the responsibility of the owner to relocate onto their property. If the recommended improvements impact any privately owned features beyond the highway boundary, a right-of-way agreement would be created during the design process to cover the cost and labor of the required relocations. <br> 3. If and when the recommendations receive funding in the future, this requirement can be written into the construction contract. |
| 19 | When there are crashes on Central Avenue, traffic is often diverted to Sand Creek Road. | This comment has been noted. |
| 20 | National Grid is surveying the corridor to replace / relocate poles along Sand Creek Road. | This comment has been noted. |
| 21 | Several attendees stated that they did not wish to see Sand Creek Road widened. | The travel lanes on Sand Creek Road are currently $11^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ wide. This is standard for this classification of road and therefore, the study is recommending that the $11^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ travel lanes be maintained. Several residents expressed concerns during the first public input session about the lack of adequate space on Sand Creek Road to bike from the neighborhoods to the commercial area near Wolf Road. To alleviate these concerns, $13^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ shared-use lanes are recommended from the end of the multi-use path to Computer Drive South. The shared-use lanes could be implemented within the existing footprint of Sand Creek Road; however, the southbound shoulder would then be reduced to a non-standard width. |

## Data Collection

As part of the public engagement component of the Sand Creek Road Complete Streets effort, an online survey was developed. The goal of this survey was to gather input from community members, residents, visitors, business owners, and other stakeholders regarding perceived challenges and opportunities that exist along Sand Creek Road. The purpose of the survey results was to assist the Village of Colonie and project team in the preparation of the corridor concepts and the Final Sand Creek Road Corridor Study.

The online version of the survey was created and distributed using the Survey Monkey web platform and went live on June 5, 2023, and remained open until August 14, 2023, with 121 total responses received.

The survey was advertised on the project website www.SandCreekCompleteStreets.com, the Village website, and at all public and stakeholder meetings. Information and links to
 the survey were also distributed during the survey period on flyers throughout the Village and through the school district's portal, which included a Quick Response (QR) code so respondents could access the survey directly on a mobile device. Furthermore, the project team had hard copies available at the public input sessions and Village Hall throughout the survey period.

The corridor links significant community destinations, commercial activity, employment centers, recreation areas, regional transportation hubs, and residential neighborhoods. The Sand Creek Road Complete Streets Concept Study was proposed by the Village of Colonie to develop a plan to implement a Complete Street that is safe, and convenient for travel by all modes of transportation by promoting elements that can reduce vehicular speed and congestion, improve safety for bicyclists and pedestrians, and encourage economic growth in the study area. The Capital Region Transportation Council and the Village of Colonie are funding the study through Transportation Council's 2022-2023 Community and Transportation Linkage Planning Program.

## Data Limitations

The survey was one piece of numerous public engagement activities that helped identify key themes throughout the community. Because the survey respondents were self-selecting, survey responses are not a statistically valid representation of the overall community. The survey alone cannot be used to find the "answer" or "solution", but it can in part help guide the corridor study's long-term vision and identify the tools and actions needed to achieve that vision.

## SURVEY TOPIC AREAS

The survey design sequenced several topic areas to focus and categorize the questions. The survey topic areas include Demographic \& Background Information, Personal Experiences, and Ideal Vision. Below is a description of each survey topic area.


## Survey Highlights

The following is an overview of selected survey findings from each section of the survey.

## Demographic and Background Information

Question 1 (Q1) of the survey asked respondents for their zip code. The five most common zip codes and the occurrences for each are listed below:
12205 (66)
12309 (12)
12211 (10)
12206 (4)
12110 (4)


Question 2 (Q2) of the survey asked respondents to select their age cohort. Of the 118 total respondents, the two most prevalent age cohorts were the 35-44-year-old age group ( $25 \%$ ) and 65-74-year-old age group (24\%). The age groups of $25-34,45-54$, and $55-64$ years old were roughly the same percentage (around $15 \%$ ). A small portion of respondents were 75 years or older (5\%). It is important to note that no survey respondents were between 17 and 24 years old.

Question 3 (Q3) asked respondents if they have a disability, whether it is a mental or physical impairment that substantially limits their ability to walk, drive, etc. Although most respondents reported "No" at 92\%, some respondents do have a disability (8\%). Providing ADA compliant sidewalks like wide pathways for wheelchair-bound individuals and curb ramps is important in any community.


Question 4 (Q4) asked respondents to indicate their typical reason for traveling the Sand Creek Road Corridor. Having a destination on Wolf Road (57\%) and having a residence in a neighborhood near the corridor (56\%) were the most prevalent responses. Being a renter along the corridor was the least common response at less than 1\%. Respondents that chose "Other" report having family who live on Sand Creek Road or use the roadway for recreation.


Question 5 (Q5) asked how long it takes respondents to reach the Sand Creek Corridor. Many respondents appear to live near the corridor, traveling less than 5 minutes to get there (67\%). Approximately $21 \%$ of respondents travel between 5 and 10 minutes to reach the corridor. It appears that improving Sand Creek Road would directly benefit individuals who live on or close to the roadway.

(Q6) How easy is it for you to get to the Sand Creek Road corridor?

Question 6 (Q6) implored respondents to rate how easy it is for them to reach the Sand Creek Road corridor. Half of the respondents report "Very Easy" and 41\% describe it as "Easy." Those that found access to the corridor difficult or impossible were encouraged to explain why. Comments from those respondents include:

Too many cars turning in a short stretch of road.
It is very dangerous to turn left onto Sand Creek from Hunting Road.

Question 7 (Q7) asked respondents how frequently they use different modes of transportation along the Sand Creek Road corridor. Weighted averages were calculated based on the frequency of method of transportation, with respondents choosing between daily, 2-3 times per month, and never. Driving was the most common means of transportation along the corridor (4.28). The least common means to travel were taxi/Uber/Lyft (1.13) and public transit/bus (1.1).
(Q8) When on Sand Creek Road, how do you feel about the following:


Question 8 (Q8) invited respondents to share their feelings toward Sand Creek Road and the road's amenities or lack thereof. A large number of respondents find that driving speed is a problem. Other problems include sidewalks being blocked, crosswalks unavailable, and drivers don't yield to pedestrians.


Question 9 (Q9) asked respondents to rate the importance of accommodating types of transportation. Driving was the most prevalent response (86\%) with walking following close behind (80\%). Respondents chose accommodating buses as "not important" at 20\%.


Question 10 (Q10) asked respondents rate their driving or vehicular experience on Sand Creek Road. Only 4\% of respondents rate the driving/vehicular experience on Sand Creek Road as "excellent." The most common responses were "Good" (42\%) or "Fair" (44\%) experience. However, $10 \%$ rate the driving experience on Sand Creek Road as "Poor."


Question 11 (Q11) invited respondents to rate their walking experience on Sand Creek Road. Although many respondents consider their walking experience on Sand Creek Road as "Fair" (31\%), over a quarter consider it as "Poor". Those that chose "No Opinion" (21\%) may not walk along Sand Creek Road.


Question 12 (Q12) asked respondents for pedestrian improvements that they would like to see. The most prevalent response was additional or improved pedestrian crossings (71\%). However, all suggested improvements garnered more than a quarter of the support from respondents. Those that selected "Other" (29\%) suggest better traffic control, bike lanes, and continuous sidewalks along Sand Creek Road.


Question 13 (Q13) invited respondents to rate their bicycling experience on Sand Creek Road. The most prevalent response was "Poor' at $39 \%$. The second most common response was "No opinion" which may suggest that these respondents have never bicycled along Sand Creek Road and thus have no experience to rate.


Question 14 (Q14) asked respondents what type of bike facilities they would like to see on Sand Creek Road. Off-street multi-use path was the most popular response at 64\%. However, approximately 54\% would enjoy on-street bicycle lanes.


Question 15 (Q15) asked respondents to rate their overall environment and travel experience on Sand Creek Road. The majority of respondents consider the overall environment and travel experience on Sand Creek Road as "Fair" (44\%) or "Good" (39\%). Very few consider it as "Excellent" (4\%) and over 10\% consider the environment and travel experience as "Poor."

Question 16 (Q16) was open ended and asked respondents to share any other ideas for improvements to Sand Creek Road. Of the sixty-two (62) responses, these are summarized themes that are the most prevalent.

## Safety for Biking \& Walking:

Community members are concerned about the lack of safety for cyclists and pedestrians on Sand Creek Road. They wish for dedicated bike lanes, improved pedestrian facilities, safer crossing options, and better visibility at intersections to improve safety for non-drivers.

## Traffic Management \& Speed Control:

Speeding and traffic congestion are key issues for the community. They suggest measures such as reduced speed limits, traffic-calming techniques, and using speed indicators to manage traffic speed effectively.

## Infrastructure Improvement:

The condition of Sand Creek Road's infrastructure is a concern. Residents are looking for road repairs, addressing potholes, improving drainage systems to prevent flooding, and maintaining and enhancing pedestrian facilities.

## Local Community Concerns:

Community members want to maintain the area's small-town feel and character. They're cautious about road widening, express concerns about safely exiting streets, advocate for preserving green spaces, and emphasize enforcing speed limits and traffic rules.

## APPENDIX G

## CONCEPTUAL PLANS \& TYPICAL SECTIONS

## CONCEPTUAL PLANS

## bicycle Alternative A






## CONCEPTUAL PLANS BICYCLE ALTERNATIVE C (FROM HUNTING ROAD TO MORDELLA ROAD)




## EGEND <br> $\square$ New Concrete Sidewalk <br> $\square$ New Multi-Use Path <br> $\square$ Grass Area



 SCALE $1^{\prime \prime}=5^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$


## APPENDIX H

## COST ESTIMATES

## Sand Creek Road Cost Summary Watervliet Shaker Road to Shaker Run (Segment 1)

Recommended Improvements

## Sidewalks

concrete sidewalks, curb ramps, detectable warning units, striping, grass restoration, \$333,000 driveway apron reconstruction

## Multi-Use Path

asphalt path, curb ramps, detectable warning units, grass restoration, driveway apron \$416,000
reconstruction

## Pavement Rehabilitation

shoulder reconstruction, resurfacing, curb installation ${ }^{1}$, striping
\$945,000

## Pedestrian Signals \& Midblock Crossings

pedestrian signal heads, push buttons, signs, raised crosswalks, rectangular rapid flashing \$50,000 beacon assemblies

Traffic Signals
traffic signal modifications, new controller and cabinet
\$50,000

Drainage Improvements
new \& replacement drainage structures \& pipes
\$391,000
Roadway Lighting
light fixtures, light poles, foundations, conduit, wire, pull boxes, photoelectric control \$375,000 equipment

Corridor Enhancements
landscaping, gateway features, pedestrian \& bicycle amenities
$\$ 25,000$

|  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Construction Sub-Total | $\$ 2,585,000$ |  |
| Construction Costs ${ }^{2}$ | Work Zone Traffic Control (5\%) | $\$ 129,250$ |
|  | Survey (2\%) | $\$ 51,700$ |
|  | Mobilization (4\%) | $\$ 110,638$ |
| Contingency (30\%) | $\$ 862,976$ |  |
| Construction Inspection \& | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ Construction Total | $\$ 3,739,564$ |
| Design (Preliminary and Final) Costs ${ }^{2}$ | CI (9\%) | $\$ 336,561$ |
|  | Design (15\%) | $\$ 560,935$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | $\$ 4,637,060$ |  |
| SAY | $\$ 4,640,000$ |  |

## Notes:

1. It has been assumed that the existing cross slopes of Sand Creek Road would be maintained and curb would only be replaced in areas exhibiting deterioration.
2. The percentages listed are based on standard values indicated on grant applications.
3. ROW acquisition and incidental costs are not included in this estimate.
4. NYSDOT cost estimate tools were utilized to determine the roadway lighting and pedestrian signal construction costs.

## Sand Creek Road Cost Summary Shaker Run to Mordella Road (Segment 2)

## Cost

## Sidewalks

concrete sidewalks, curb ramps, detectable warning units, striping, grass restoration, \$357,000 driveway apron reconstruction

| Shared Use Lanes |
| :--- |
| striping, signs |$\quad \$ 10,000$

Multi-Use Path
asphalt path, curb ramps, detectable warning units, grass restoration, driveway apron \$166,000 reconstruction

## Pavement Rehabilitation

shoulder reconstruction, resurfacing, curb installation ${ }^{1}$, striping
\$691,000

## Midblock Crossings

raised crosswalks, rectangular rapid flashing beacon assemblies
\$30,000

Drainage Improvements
new \& replacement drainage structures \& pipes

| Corridor Enhancements trailhead signage, pedestrian \& bicycle amenities |  | \$15,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Construction Sub-Total | \$1,581,000 |
| Construction Costs ${ }^{2}$ | Work Zone Traffic Control (5\%) | \$79,050 |
|  | Survey (2\%) | \$31,620 |
|  | Mobilization (4\%) | \$67,667 |
|  | Contingency (30\%) | \$527,801 |
|  | 2023 Construction Total | \$2,287,138 |
| Construction Inspection \& | Cl (9\%) | \$205,842 |
| Design (Preliminary and Final) Costs ${ }^{2}$ | Design (15\%) | \$343,071 |
|  | GRAND TOTAL | \$2,836,051 |
|  | SAY | \$2,840,000 |

Notes:

1. It has been assumed that the existing cross slopes of Sand Creek Road would be maintained and curb would only be replaced in areas exhibiting deterioration.
2. The percentages listed are based on standard values indicated on grant applications.
3. ROW acquisition and incidental costs are not included in this estimate.
4. NYSDOT cost estimate tools were utilized to determine the roadway lighting and pedestrian signal construction costs.

| Sand Creek Road Cost Summary <br> Mordella Road to Jodiro Lane (Segment 3) <br> Recommended Improvements | Cost |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sidewalks <br> concrete sidewalks, curb ramps, detectable warning units, striping, grass restoration, driveway apron reconstruction | \$263,000 |
| Shared Use Lanes striping, signs | \$10,000 |
| Pavement Rehabilitation shoulder reconstruction, resurfacing, curb installation¹, striping | \$544,000 |
| Pedestrian Signals pedestrian signal heads, push buttons, signs | \$10,000 |
| Traffic Signals traffic signal modifications | \$40,000 |
| Drainage Improvements new \& replacement drainage structures \& pipes | \$276,000 |
| Construction Sub-Total | \$1,143,000 |
| Work Zone Traffic Control (5\%) | \$57,150 |
| Construction Costs ${ }^{1}$ Survey (2\%) | \$22,860 |
| Mobilization (4\%) | \$48,920 |
| Contingency (30\%) | \$381,579 |
| 2023 Construction Total | \$1,653,510 |
| Construction Inspection \& Cl (9\%) | \$148,816 |
| Design (Preliminary and Final) Costs ${ }^{1}$ Design (15\%) | \$248,026 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$2,050,352 |
| SAY | \$2,060,000 |

## Notes:

1. It has been assumed that the existing cross slopes of Sand Creek Road would be maintained and curb would only be replaced in areas exhibiting deterioration.
2. The percentages listed are based on standard values indicated on grant applications.
3. ROW acquisition and incidental costs are not included in this estimate.
4. NYSDOT cost estimate tools were utilized to determine the roadway lighting and pedestrian signal construction costs.

## Sand Creek Road Cost Summary Jodiro Lane to Wolf Road (Segment 4)

Recommended Improvements

## Cost

## Sidewalks

concrete sidewalks, curb ramps, detectable warning units, striping, grass restoration, \$236,000 driveway apron reconstruction

```
Shared Use Lanes
striping, signs
    $5,000
```


## Pavement Rehabilitation \& Curbed Median

shoulder reconstruction, resurfacing, curb installation ${ }^{1}$, striping
\$556,000

## Pedestrian Signals \& Midblock Crossings

pedestrian signal heads, push buttons, signs, raised crosswalks, rectangular rapid flashing \$60,000 beacon assemblies

## Traffic Signals

traffic signal modifications
$\$ 20,000$

## Drainage Improvements

new \& replacement drainage structures \& pipes
\$276,000

## Corridor Enhancements

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { landscaping, gateway features, pedestrian \& bicycle amenities } & \$ 40,000\end{array}$

|  | Construction Sub-Total | \$1,193,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction Costs ${ }^{2}$ | Work Zone Traffic Control (5\%) | \$59,650 |
|  | Survey (2\%) | \$23,860 |
|  | Mobilization (4\%) | \$51,060 |
|  | Contingency (30\%) | \$398,271 |
|  | 2023 Construction Total | \$1,725,842 |
| Construction Inspection \& | Cl (9\%) | \$155,326 |
| Design (Preliminary and Final) Costs ${ }^{2}$ | Design (15\%) | \$258,876 |
|  | GRAND TOTAL | \$2,140,043 |
|  | SAY | \$2,150,000 |

## Notes:

1. It has been assumed that the existing cross slopes of Sand Creek Road would be maintained and curb would only be replaced in areas exhibiting deterioration.
2. The percentages listed are based on standard values indicated on grant applications.
3. ROW acquisition and incidental costs are not included in this estimate.
4. NYSDOT cost estimate tools were utilized to determine the roadway lighting and pedestrian signal construction costs.

[^0]:    ## Access Highway <br> A highway designated for use by Surface Transportation Assistance Act (STAA) vehicles and 53' trailers. These vehicle combinations may not travel off the access highway for any distance. <br> - NYSDOT Official Description of Designated Qualifying and Access Highways in New York State

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The study website will be active until February 20, 2025.

[^2]:    Data Note: Datail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of anyrace.
    N/A means not available. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS)
    *Households in which no one 14 and over speaks English "very well" or speaks English only.

[^3]:    *Diesel particular matter, air toxics cancer risk, and air toxics respiratory hazard index are from the EPA's Air Toxics Data Update, which is the Agency's ongoing, comprehensive evaluation of air toxics in the United States. This effort aims to prioritize air toxics, emission sources, and locations of interest for further study. It is important to remember that the air toxics data presented here provide broad estimates of health risks over geographic areas of the country, not definitive risks to specific individuals or locations. Cancer risks and hazard indices from the Air Toxics Data Update are reported to one significant figure and any additional significant figures here are due to rounding. More information on the Air Toxics Data Update can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/haps/air-toxics-data-update.

[^4]:    
    
    
    
    
     before taking any action to address potential EJ concerns.

[^5]:    This report shows the values for environmental and demographic indicators, EJScreen indexes, and supplemental indexes. It shows environmental and demographic raw data (e.g., the estimated concentration of ozone in the air), and also shows what percentile each raw data value represents. These percentiles provide perspective on how the selected block group or buffer area compares to the entire state, EPA region, or nation. For example, if a given location is at the 95th percentile nationwide, this means that only 5 percent of the US population has a higher block group value than the average person in the location being analyzed. The years for which the data are available, and the methods used, vary across these indicators. Important caveats and uncertainties apply to this screening-level information, so it is essential to understand the limitations on appropriate interpretations and applications of these indicators. Please see EJScreen documentation for discussion of these issues before using reports. For additional information, see: www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice.

